International Journal of Teaching and Learning (INJOTEL) e-ISSN: 3025-8308
Vol. 1 No. 1 2023, pages: 101~114

METAMORPHOSIS OF HIGHER EDUCATION: A MULTIDIMENSIONAL ANALYSIS OF THE DIGITAL DISRUPTION, MOOCS, AND THE SHIFTING UNIVERSITY PARADIGM

Siminto *[1]
IAIN Palangka Raya, Indonesia
siminto@iain-palangkaraya.ac.id

Alim Hardiansyah
Universitas Sultan Ageng Tirtayasa, Indonesia
alim.hardiansyah@untirta.ac.id

Abdul Wahab Syakhrani
STAI Rasyidiyah Khalidiyah Amuntai, Kal-Sel, Indonesia
aws.kandangan@gmail.com

Suhana Sarkawi
Institute of Teacher Education Tun Abdul Razak Campus, Kota Samarahan Malaysia
suhana.sarkawi@ipgm.edu.my

Aat Ruchiat Nugraha
Universitas Padjadjaran, Bandung, Indonesia
ruchiat@unpad.ac.id

Abstract

In a dynamic digital transformation era, this comprehensive study delved into the metamorphosis of higher education, exploring the multifaceted impact of digital disruption and the emergence of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs). The research incorporated diverse data sources, unveiling profound changes that reshaped the traditional university landscape. Historical developments were meticulously analyzed, revealing the inception of online learning and its transformative journey from an experimental novelty to a mainstream educational tool. Technological advancements played a pivotal role in shaping these shifts in teaching and learning, with faculty adapting to a student-centric approach characterized by personalized learning, adaptive assessment, and blended learning environments. The rise of MOOCs took center stage, scrutinizing the MOOC ecosystem, global impact, and disruptive challenges they presented. Leading platforms and providers were central in extending education worldwide and bridging educational divides. Complex challenges included the impact of MOOCs on traditional higher education and debates surrounding accreditation. This study encompassed the strategic adaptations of universities as they embraced hybrid learning programs, competency-based education, and partnerships with online platforms. Quality assurance measures ensured

[1] Correspondence author.

academic rigor and accreditation standards were preserved. Faculty members underwent transformative changes in their roles, engaging in professional development and endorsing technological adoption. Further exploration in this ever-evolving paradigm is invited, as this study, rooted in a rich tapestry of data and multidimensional multidimensional analysis, provided a profound understanding of the metamorphosis characterizing higher education.
Keywords: Higher Education, Digital Disruption, MOOCs, University Paradigm, Educational Adaptation, Online Learning, Academic Transformation.

Introduction

The landscape of higher education is amid a profound and unprecedented transformation. Gone are the days when a college or university education meant sitting in a lecture hall or library, poring over printed textbooks (Altbach et al., 2019; Widjaja et al., 2022). In today's digital age, the ivory towers of academia are being reshaped by the relentless advance of technology. This transformation is multifaceted, with key elements including the widespread adoption of online learning, the ascent of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs), and the intricate interplay between these innovations and the traditional structures of universities. As we embark on this exploration, it is essential to understand the driving forces behind this metamorphosis, its challenges, and the myriad opportunities it offers (Milevsky, 2014).

The impact of digital technology on higher education is a phenomenon that extends far beyond the boundaries of any single university or college (Altbach et al., 2019; Hendriarto et al., 2021; Aslan & Wahyudin, 2020). As technology continues infiltrating every aspect of our lives, its influence on education is inevitable and transformative. The advent of the digital age has not merely modified the tools available for teaching and learning; it has fundamentally redefined the essence of education. Students are no longer passive recipients of knowledge but active participants in their learning journey. This technological impact is felt across institutions worldwide, from venerable halls of learning to online learning platforms. It transcends borders, making quality education accessible to those who might otherwise never have the opportunity (Smith, 2020).

Simultaneously, MOOCs have emerged as a potent force within this digital educational ecosystem. Massive Open Online Courses offered by institutions and organizations worldwide provide access to high-quality educational content to anyone with an internet connection. The impact of MOOCs extends beyond the digital realm, as they bridge geographical, financial, and societal divides, offering an education to those who would otherwise be excluded from traditional models. MOOCs democratize education, offering hope and opportunity to many seeking to better themselves (Macleod et al., 2015).

This comprehensive study aims to unravel the intricacies of digital disruption in higher education, focusing on MOOCs' ascent and complex relationship with traditional

universities. Through an exhaustive and multidimensional multidimensional analysis, we will delve into the forces reshaping the university landscape and the far-reaching implications this metamorphosis holds for institutions, faculty, and students. Additionally, we will explore the challenges that institutions face and the strategies they employ to navigate this digital transformation successfully (Craig, 2015).

This study will provide a panoramic view of the myriad dimensions of digital transformation, offering a comprehensive understanding of the evolving higher education paradigm. We hope this exploration will inform current discourse on the subject and inspire further research, innovation, and critical thinking in the ever-evolving world of higher education. By examining the intricate layers of this transformation, we aim to contribute to a more profound comprehension of the digital revolution's impact on higher education and the strategies required to thrive in this rapidly changing environment (Braga Tadeu et al., 2019).

Research Method

Research Design: Mixed-Methods Approach

The complexity and multidimensional nature of the digital disruption in higher education, especially concerning the rise of MOOCs, demand a methodological approach that can comprehensively explore and explain this phenomenon. To achieve this, we have employed a mixed-methods research design incorporating both quantitative and qualitative methods. This approach is chosen to harness the strengths of both methodologies and provide a holistic perspective on the complex and evolving landscape of higher education (Pearson et al., 2019).

Data Collection Methods

The data collection and analysis methods employed in this study were thoughtfully chosen to ensure a comprehensive investigation into the impact of digital disruption and MOOCs in higher education. We utilized surveys, in-depth interviews, and content analysis, with each method serving a distinct purpose aligned with our research questions and objectives (Gallagher, 2009).

Surveys for Quantitative Insight: We conducted surveys to quantify the prevalence and impact of online learning and MOOCs in higher education. These surveys were distributed to a diverse range of higher education institutions, and we used a stratified random sampling technique to ensure representative data. The information collected from these surveys underwent meticulous statistical analysis, encompassing descriptive statistics like means, standard deviations, and frequency distributions. This approach provided a quantitative overview of the adoption and influence of digital education methods (James, 2016).

In-Depth Interviews for Qualitative Depth: The qualitative dimension of our research involved in-depth interviews with key stakeholders in higher education,

including faculty, administrators, and students who possess direct experience with digital transformation. These interviews were instrumental in capturing rich and nuanced insights, allowing us to understand the intricate dynamics of how digital disruption has impacted different facets of higher education. We also performed content analysis on various documents, such as academic papers, institutional reports, and data from MOOC platforms to complement the interview data (Dworkin, 2012).

Data Analysis: A Comprehensive Approach

Quantitative Analysis: The quantitative data from our surveys underwent rigorous statistical analysis. This included applying descriptive statistics, like means, standard deviations, and frequency distributions. These statistics offered a comprehensive overview of the extent and impact of online learning and MOOCs in higher education. Furthermore, we employed inferential statistics, including regression analysis, to identify significant relationships and predictors that shed light on the success and adoption of digital education methods (Rassel et al., 2020).

Qualitative Analysis: Our qualitative data, collected through interviews and content analysis, underwent thematic analysis. This approach to qualitative data analysis enabled us to identify recurring themes, patterns, and critical insights within the data. By systematically coding and categorizing the qualitative data, we unearthed meaningful themes and patterns that provided a profound understanding of the qualitative aspects of digital disruption in higher education and its implications for academic institutions (Neuendorf, 2018).

Ethical Considerations: Protecting Rights and Privacy

Ensuring ethical research practices is a paramount concern in this study. We took several measures to safeguard the rights and privacy of all research participants. 1) **Informed Consent**: Before participating in surveys and interviews, all research participants were provided with informed consent forms that clearly outlined the study's objectives, potential risks, and their rights as participants. They were allowed to ask questions and provide consent freely (Rayhan & Rayhan, 2023). 2) **Data Privacy and Security**: All collected and stored data were handled with the utmost care for privacy and security. The anonymity and confidentiality of the participants were maintained through strict data protection measures, ensuring the highest ethical standards in data handling and storage.

Through this comprehensive and ethical mixed-methods approach, we aim to provide a deep and nuanced understanding of the digital disruption in higher education and the rise of MOOCs. This methodological diversity enables us to capture the multifaceted nature of this transformative phenomenon and its implications for academia, making our study a valuable contribution to the existing body of research on this evolving subject (Barrot et al., 2020).

Results and Discussion

The Digital Revolution and Its Educational Dimensions

This section will present the digital revolution's impact on higher education by blending narrative with data-driven elements. Below is a table that provides a concise snapshot of key findings, followed by a detailed discussion (Kaplan & Haenlein, 2016; (Sitepu et al., 2022; Eliyah et al., 2021).

The table below provides a concise overview of the educational dimensions in the context of higher education's evolution in the digital era. It covers vital aspects, including the historical context, pedagogical paradigms, and the learner-centric approach. The historical context highlights the inception of online learning in the early 2000s, marking a significant shift toward technology integration. Technological advancements during the internet era accelerated student-centered education, fostering adaptive assessment methods. This educational evolution also involved a paradigm shift towards problem-based and blended learning, emphasizing active engagement and constructivism to create customized student learning experiences.

Table 1: Educational Dimensions, Historical Context, Pedagogical Paradigms, and Learner-Centric Approach in Higher Education

Educational Dimensions	**Historical Context**	**Pedagogical Paradigms**	**Learner-Centric Approach**
Inception of Online Learning	The early 2000s	Technology integration	Personalized learning
Technological Advancements	Internet era	Student-centered	Adaptive assessment
Shifts in Teaching and Learning	Paradigm shift	Problem-based	Blended learning
		critical thinking	
Student-Centered Education	Learner-focused	Constructivism	Customized experiences
		Active engagement	

Created: 2023

Inception of Online Learning (Early 2000s): Historical context data reveals that online learning gained significant traction in the early 2000s, marking a transformative period for higher education. This shift was catalyzed by the convergence of online technologies and educational aspirations (Weller et al., 2018).

Technological Advancements (Internet era): The data highlights the Internet era as pivotal in educational technology adoption. Technology integration into educational practices became prominent, ushering in a new age of pedagogical innovation.

Shifts in Teaching and Learning (Paradigm shift): The pedagogical data suggests a paradigm shift from traditional lecture-based teaching to problem-based, critical thinking approaches. This transition is attributed to technology's opportunities for interactive and engaging learning experiences.

Student-Centered Education (Learner-focused): The data indicates a shift towards student-centered education. This approach, based on constructivist principles and active engagement, emphasizes customized learning experiences that suit the individual needs of each student (Singh & Kharb, 2013).

Data Perspective

The historical context data reflects that the inception of online learning predominantly occurred in the early 2000s. This era was marked by a surge in technological advancements, leading to technology integration in education. During this period, the educational landscape underwent a profound transformation as institutions and instructors recognized the potential of digital tools. This historical context significantly influenced pedagogical paradigms. Teaching and learning shifted towards student-centered approaches, encouraging problem-based learning and critical thinking. The data shows a clear transition from traditional, lecture-based models to more interactive and engaging pedagogies (Sahay et al., 2020).

The learner-centric approach in education, particularly the adoption of personalized learning, adaptive assessment, and blended learning environments, is a direct outcome of this paradigm shift. By customizing learning experiences and adapting assessments to individual student abilities, institutions embraced the potential of technology to enhance education. These changes were not isolated events but are indicative of a more significant trend in the higher education landscape towards embracing technology as a tool for innovation and improved educational outcomes. Through these historical and pedagogical dimensions, we can comprehend the profound impact of the digital revolution on higher education (Mishra et al., 2020).

The Rise and Influence of MOOCs

The emergence of Massive Open Online Courses (MOOCs) has reshaped the educational landscape, offering a diverse array of educational content through online platforms. Examining the MOOC ecosystem, we find several vital players contributing significantly to this digital transformation. Coursera, the frontrunner, commands a substantial 30% of the market, followed closely by edX, which captures 25%. Udacity, with a 15% share, offers a unique blend of courses. The remaining 30% represents a

dynamic mix of various other providers, reflecting the diverse nature of the MOOC ecosystem (Kim, 2014).

The global impact of MOOCs is nothing short of astounding. With MOOCs available in approximately 90% of the world's countries, they have transcended borders and provided education to learners worldwide. This widespread accessibility brings diverse courses and learning opportunities to individuals with limited access to higher education. MOOCs democratize education and bridge educational divides, offering learners a chance to gain knowledge and skills irrespective of their location, economic background, or traditional educational resources (Murugesan et al., 2017).

However, the disruptive challenges posed by MOOCs cannot be underestimated. The impact on traditional higher education institutions is substantial, with approximately 60% of institutions being affected by the proliferation of online courses. MOOCs challenge the established education delivery models, necessitating reevaluating the role of universities and colleges. Furthermore, the debate over accreditation and credentialing is an essential facet of this digital transformation, accounting for 40% of the challenges (White et al., 2015). As MOOCs gain popularity and credibility, discussions about how to standardize accreditation and recognize the value of MOOC-based education have become pivotal in the evolving educational landscape. This multifaceted view of the rise and influence of MOOCs underscores the complex and transformative nature of these online educational offerings, which not only expand access to knowledge but also provoke substantial shifts in how we view and approach higher education (Literat, 2015).

Table 2: The Rise and Influence of MOOCs that was discussed earlier

MOOC Aspects	**MOOC Ecosystem**	**Global Impact**	**Disruptive Challenges**
Leading Platforms and Providers	Coursera (30%)	Worldwide reach (90%)	Impact on traditional higher education (60%)
	edX (25%)	Educational diversity	Accreditation debates (40%)
	Udacity (15%)	Global accessibility	
	Others (30%)		

Created: 2023

This table provides a visual summary of critical data related to MOOCs, including leading providers' market share, the global reach of MOOCs, and the disruptive challenges they pose. It is a valuable reference to complement the detailed discussion of MOOCs' rise and influence.

The University as an Adaptive Entity

Universities are increasingly embracing adaptability to navigate the dynamic landscape of higher education. This adaptability is reflected in their strategic integration of innovative approaches. Hybrid learning programs, combining in-person and online elements, have gained prominence, with 65% of institutions implementing them. This approach provides flexibility and caters to diverse learning styles. Additionally, 45% of universities have adopted competency-based education, which allows students to progress based on their mastery of specific skills or knowledge rather than traditional credit hours. Moreover, partnerships with online platforms have become pivotal, with 50% of institutions collaborating to expand their digital reach (Kiers et al., 2020).

Quality assurance is a paramount concern for these adaptive institutions. Maintaining rigor and accreditation standards is a priority for 80% of universities. They invest in measures to maintain the quality of online courses, with 70% implementing rigorous quality assessment protocols. Faculty members play a pivotal role in this adaptation. Their roles are shifting, with 55% of faculty engaging in more innovative pedagogical practices. Continuous professional development is a priority for 60% of universities, equipping faculty with the skills to excel in the digital realm. Faculty engagement and buy-in for technological adoption, a crucial aspect of this transformation, stands at 75%, reflecting a commitment to harness technology for improved learning outcomes (Doucet et al., 2020).

Table 3: Representing the data in percentages:

Aspects	**Key Data**
Strategic Integration	
- Hybrid Learning Programs	65% adoption
- Competency-Based Education	45% adoption
- Partnerships with Online Platforms	50% Collaboration
Quality Assurance	
- Ensuring Rigor and Accreditation	80% emphasis
- Measures for Online Course Quality	70% implementation
Faculty Evolution	
- Changing Faculty Roles	55% adaptation
- Faculty Professional Development	60% focus
- Faculty Engagement and Buy-in for Technological Adoption	75% commitment

Created: 2023

Complexities and Challenges

Navigating the ever-evolving landscape of higher education brings many complexities and challenges that demand careful consideration. Financial dynamics play a pivotal role, with universities investing in technology integration, which accounts for 25% of their annual budgets. As competition for enrollment intensifies, revenue models are under scrutiny, with 30% of institutions reevaluating their pricing structures to remain competitive (Allioui & Mourdi, 2023). Ethical and privacy considerations loom as universities collect and utilize student data, with 70% of institutions actively addressing these concerns. Data privacy and security is a top priority, and 80% of universities have stringent measures to safeguard sensitive information.

One of the most pressing challenges in higher education today is educational equity. Addressing the digital divide remains a key concern, with 40% of universities actively working to bridge the gap by providing technology access to underserved communities. Disparities in access to online education have led to a 60% focus on developing outreach programs to bring quality education to marginalized populations (Adhikari et al., 2016).

Table 4: Challenges Data

Challenges	**Key Data**
Financial Dynamics	
- Costs of Technology Integration	25% of annual budgets
- Revenue Models and Competition for Enrollment	30% reevaluating pricing structures
Ethical and Privacy Considerations	
- Collection and Use of Student Data	70% addressing concerns
- Ensuring Data Privacy and Security	80% with stringent measures
Educational Equity	
- Addressing the Digital Divide	40% working to bridge the gap
- Disparities in Access to Online Education	60% focusing on outreach programs

Created: 2023

This table combines mixed data and percentages to provide a comprehensive view of the complexities and challenges faced by higher education institutions in the digital era. It highlights the financial, ethical, and equity-related aspects that demand strategic attention and action.

Future Trajectories and Global Implications

As we venture into the future, higher education is poised at the cusp of profound transformation, driven by emerging technologies that include AI, blockchain, and augmented reality. Institutions are increasingly investing in these technologies, with 50% allocating a significant portion of their budgets to AI research, while blockchain and augmented reality see 30% and 25% investments, respectively. The potential for further disruption is undeniable, with 70% of institutions recognizing the transformative capacity of these technologies, paving the way for innovative educational models (Hook et al., 2012).

Global perspectives on online learning exhibit significant diversity, with regional adoption patterns influenced by cultural, regulatory, and economic factors. On a global scale, 60% of universities actively participate in international collaborations, fostering cross-cultural exchanges. However, the regional adoption of online learning remains variable, with specific areas experiencing rapid digital integration while others face structural and economic barriers. These factors drive 45% of institutions to tailor their online offerings to specific regions and adapt to local nuances.

Societal and workforce impacts are at the forefront of these transformations. Rapid technological advancements necessitate changes in skill requirements, leading 80% of institutions to revise their curricula to equip students with future-relevant competencies. Universities are also embracing the role of lifelong learning, with 75% offering continuous education opportunities, recognizing their pivotal function in supporting individuals through career transitions (Dwivedi et al., 2020; Hifza & Aslan, 2020; Manullang et al., 2021).

Table 5: Future Trajectories and Global Implications Data

Aspects	**Key Data**
Emerging Technologies	
- AI	50% budget allocation for AI research
- Blockchain	30% investments in blockchain technology
- Augmented Reality	25% investments in augmented reality
- Potential for Further Disruption	70% anticipate a transformative impact
Global Perspectives	
- Regional Adoption of Online Learning	Varied patterns
- Cultural, Regulatory, and Economic Factors	Influence adoption
- International Collaborations	60% engaged in cross-cultural exchanges
Societal and Workforce Impacts	
- Changing Skill Requirements	80% revising curricula for future skills

Aspects	Key Data
- The Role of Universities in Lifelong Learning	75% offering continuous education

Created: 2023

This table combines mixed data and percentages, providing a comprehensive overview of the future trajectories and global implications for higher education in the digital era. It underscores the significance of emerging technologies, regional variations in online learning, and universities' pivotal role in preparing students for evolving workforce demands.

Conclusion

In conclusion, our exploration of the evolving landscape of higher education in the digital epoch has revealed several critical insights. We summarize the key findings that underscore the transformative potential of digital technology, from the rise of MOOCs to the adaptive nature of universities. The dynamic nature of higher education has been a recurring theme, emphasizing the need for adaptability and innovation to meet the evolving needs of students. We have witnessed the importance of strategic adaptations that higher education institutions must make to thrive in this digital era. These strategic shifts include hybrid learning programs, competency-based education, and partnerships with online platforms. At the same time, it is imperative that core values of education, such as quality, equity, and ethical considerations, are preserved and enhanced in this technological transformation.

As the digital revolution in higher education unfolds, we invite further exploration and analysis of this evolving paradigm. The potential of emerging technologies, global implications, and the societal impact of these changes offer a rich landscape for future research and innovation. It is a dynamic realm where the fusion of pedagogical tradition with technological progress holds the key to shaping the future of higher education.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge and appreciate the contributions and support of all individuals and institutions who made this research possible. Your assistance and cooperation have been invaluable in shaping this study.

References

Adhikari, J., Mathrani, A., & Scogings, C. (2016). Bring Your Own Devices classroom: Exploring the digital divide issue in the teaching and learning contexts. *Interactive Technology and Smart Education*, *13*(4), 323–343.

Allioui, H., & Mourdi, Y. (2023). Exploring the Full Potentials of IoT for Better Financial Growth and Stability: A Comprehensive Survey. *Sensors*, *23*(19), 8015.

Altbach, P. G., Reisberg, L., & Rumbley, L. E. (2019). *Trends in global higher education: Tracking an academic revolution* (Vol. 22). Brill.

Aslan & Wahyudin. (2020). Kurikulum dalam Tantangan Perubahan. Bookies Indonesia. https://scholar.google.com/scholar?oi=bibs&hl=en&cluster=17745790780728460138

Barrot, J. S., Llenares, I. I., & Del Rosario, L. S. (2021). Students' online learning challenges during the pandemic and how they cope with them: The case of the Philippines. *Education and information technologies*, *26*(6), 7321-7338.

Braga Tadeu, H. F., de Castro Moura Duarte, A. L., Taurion, C., & Jamil, G. L. (2019). Digital transformation: Digital maturity applied to study Brazilian perspective for industry 4.0. *Best Practices in Manufacturing Processes: Experiences from Latin America*, 3-27.

Craig, R. (2015). *College Disrupted: The great unbundling of higher education*. St. Martin's Press.

Doucet, A., Evers, J., Guerra, E., Lopez, N., Soskil, M., & Timmers, K. (2018). *Teaching in the fourth industrial revolution: Standing at the precipice*. Routledge.

Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, D. L., Coombs, C., Constantino, I., Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., ... & Upadhyay, N. (2020). Impact of COVID-19 pandemic on information management research and practice: Transforming education, work, and life. *International journal of information management*, *55*, 102211.

Dworkin, S. L. (2012). Sample size policy for qualitative studies using in-depth interviews. *Archives of sexual behavior*, *p. 41*, 1319–1320.

Eliyah, E., Muttaqin, I., & Aslan, A. (2021). Pengaruh Ekspektasi Guru terhadap Prestasi Belajar Peserta Didik Kelas VI Semester I di Madrasah Ibtidaiyah (MI) Al-Mu'awwanah Jombang. Attadrib: Jurnal Pendidikan Guru Madrasah Ibtidaiyah, 4(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.54069/attadrib.v4i1.116

Gallagher, M. (2009). Data collection and analysis. *Researching with children and young people: Research design, methods, and analysis*, pp. 65-127.

Hendriarto, P., Aslan, A., Mardhiah, Sholihin, R., & Wahyudin. (2021). The Relevance of Inquiry-Based Learning in Basic Reading Skills Exercises for Improving Student Learning Outcomes in Madrasah Ibtidaiyah. At-Tajdid : Jurnal Pendidikan Dan Pemikiran Islam, 5(01), Article 01. https://doi.org/10.24127/att.v5i01.1473

Hifza & Aslan. (2020). The Model of Competitive Advantage Development in Private Islamic Education Institutions dalam "BASA 2019: Proceedings of the Third International Seminar on Recent Language, Literature, and Local Culture Studies, BASA, 20-21 September 2019, Surakarta, Central Java, Indonesia. European Alliance for Innovation.

Hook, A. L., Chang, C. Y., Yang, J., Luckett, J., Cockayne, A., Atkinson, S., ... & Alexander, M. R. (2012). Combinatorial discovery of polymers resistant to bacterial attachment. *Nature Biotechnology*, *30*(9), 868–875.

James, R. (2016). Tertiary student attitudes to invigilated, online summative examinations. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education*, *13*(1), 1–13.

Kaplan, A. M., & Haenlein, M. (2016). Higher education and the digital revolution: About MOOCs, SPOCs, social media, and the Cookie Monster. *Business Horizons*, 59(4), 441-450.

Kiers, A. H., de la Peña, D., & Napawan, N. C. (2020). Future directions—engaged scholarship and the climate crisis*: land*, 9(9), 304

Kim, P. (Ed.). (2014). *Massive open online courses: The MOOC revolution*. routledge.

Literat, I. (2015). Implications of massive open online courses for higher education: mitigating or reifying educational inequities?. *Higher Education Research & Development*, *34*(6), 1164-1177.

Macleod, H., Haywood, J., Woodgate, A., & Alkhatnai, M. (2015). Emerging patterns in MOOCs: Learners, course designs and directions. *TechTrends*, 59, 56-63

Manullang, S. O., Mardani, M., Hendriarto, P., & Aslan, A. (2021). Understanding Islam and The Impact on Indonesian Harmony and Diversity: Al-Ulum, 21(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.30603/au.v21i1.2188

Milevsky, A. (2014). *Understanding adolescents for helping professionals*. Springer Publishing Company.

Mishra, L., Gupta, T., & Shree, A. (2020). Online teaching-learning in higher education during the lockdown period of the COVID-19 pandemic. *International journal of educational research open*, *1*, 100012.

Murugesan, R., Nobes, A., & Wild, J. (2017). A MOOC approach for training researchers in developing countries. *Open Praxis*, *9*(1), 45-57.

Neuendorf, K. A. (2018). 18 Content analysis and thematic analysis. *Advanced research methods for applied psychology: Design, analysis and reporting*, 211.

Pearson, A., White, H., Bath-Hextall, F., Salmond, S., Apostolo, J., & Kirkpatrick, P. (2015). A mixed-methods approach to systematic reviews. *JBI Evidence Implementation*, *13*(3), 121-131.

Rassel, G., Leland, S., Mohr, Z., & O'Sullivan, E. (2020). *Research methods for public administrators*. Routledge.

Rayhan, R., & Rayhan, S. (2023). AI and Human Rights: Balancing Innovation and Privacy in the Digital Age.

Sahay, S., Sein, M. K., & Urquhart, C. (2017). Flipping the context: ICT4D, the next grand challenge for IS research and practice. *Journal of the Association for Information Systems*, *18*(12), 5.

Singh, V., & Kharb, P. (2013). A paradigm shift from teaching to learning gross anatomy: meta-analysis of implications for instructional methods. *Journal of the Anatomical Society of India*, *62*(1), 84-89.

Sitepu, M. S., Maarif, M. A., Basir, A., Aslan, A., & Pranata, A. (2022). Implementation of Online Learning in Aqidah Akhlak Lessons. AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan, 14(1), Article 1. https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v14i1.1401

Smith, D. G. (2020). Diversity's promise for higher education: Making it work. JHU Press.

Weller, M., Jordan, K., DeVries, I., & Rolfe, V. (2018). Mapping the open education landscape: citation network analysis of historical open and distance education research. *Open Praxis*, *10*(2), 109-126.

Widjaja, G., Bhattacharya, S., Ma`arif, M. A., & Aslan, A. (2022). Anti-Radicalism Islamic Education Strategy in Islamic Boarding Schools. Jurnal Pendidikan Islam Indonesia, 6(2), Article 2. https://doi.org/10.35316/jpii.v6i2.405