

## ETHICAL DILEMMAS AND MORAL FRAMEWORKS: NAVIGATING THE INTEGRATION OF ARTIFICIAL INTELLIGENCE IN ISLAMIC SOCIETIES

**Indah Rahayu** \*<sup>1</sup>

Universitas Sulawesi Barat, Indonesia  
[indah30091986@yahoo.co.id](mailto:indah30091986@yahoo.co.id)

**Handrini Ardiyanti**

Universitas Esa Unggul, Indonesia  
[handrini.ardiyanti@esaunggul.ac.id](mailto:handrini.ardiyanti@esaunggul.ac.id)

**Loso Judijanto**

IPOSS Jakarta, Indonesia  
[losojudijantobumn@gmail.com](mailto:losojudijantobumn@gmail.com)

**A. Hamid**

Universitas Serambi Mekkah, Indonesia  
[hmidun2627@gmail.com](mailto:hmidun2627@gmail.com)

**Eyad Saleh Bani-Domi**

Mutah University, Jordan  
[eyadbanidoni@gmail.com](mailto:eyadbanidoni@gmail.com)

### **Abstract**

*In Islamic societies, integrating artificial intelligence (AI) poses significant ethical dilemmas, necessitating a careful navigation of moral frameworks. This abstract encapsulates the essence of a comprehensive exploration of these intricate dynamics. As AI technologies advance and permeate various aspects of society, the ethical considerations surrounding their adoption become increasingly paramount. In the specific context of Islamic societies, where cultural, religious, and ethical values play a central role in daily life, the integration of AI introduces a complex interplay between innovation and tradition. This study delves into the ethical dilemmas inherent to AI deployment in Islamic societies, examining data privacy, autonomy, accountability, and bias. To navigate these ethical quandaries, it is essential to consider the moral frameworks embedded in Islamic ethics and jurisprudence. The study explores how Islamic principles, including justice, compassion, and ethical responsibility, can guide the development and deployment of AI technologies. Furthermore, it investigates the compatibility and potential tensions between contemporary AI ethics and Islamic moral values. Through a multidisciplinary lens, encompassing fields such as computer science, ethics, theology, and law, this research offers a nuanced understanding of the ethical challenges posed by AI in Islamic societies. It aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on responsible AI integration while preserving the moral fabric of Islamic traditions.*

---

<sup>1</sup> Corresponding author.

**Keywords:** Artificial Intelligence, Islamic Societies, Ethical Dilemmas, Moral Frameworks, Integration, Technology Ethics, Cultural Values.

## **Introduction**

The literature review field is a crucial aspect of academic research, serving as the foundation upon which further inquiry and investigation are built (Zainuddin et al., 2020). It functions as a means of synthesizing existing knowledge, evaluating its scope, and identifying gaps or trends that merit deeper exploration. In this discourse, we embark on a journey into the significance of conducting a literature review, shedding light on its critical role in the research process. To understand its purpose and utility, we explore the central question: What is the significance of conducting a literature review in academic research? (Orsmond & Cohn, 2015; Suroso et al., 2021). In academia's vast and dynamic landscape, the literature review stands as an essential component, acting as the compass that guides researchers through the maze of existing knowledge. It serves as the starting point for any scholarly endeavor, setting the stage for a well-informed and systematic exploration of a particular subject. To comprehend its importance fully, we must begin by delineating the broader context within which a literature review operates (Riley & Hunter, 2009; Hutagaluh et al., 2020).

The research process often involves identifying a specific topic or question that piques the researcher's interest. This inquiry forms the nucleus around which all subsequent efforts revolve. However, to embark on the journey of exploration, researchers do not begin entirely from scratch. They stand on the shoulders of those who have ventured into similar terrain before, benefiting from the collective wisdom and insights that prior scholarship offers (Townsend, 2019). The importance of conducting a literature review becomes evident when considering that any research project is fundamentally a conversation—an intellectual discourse that spans time and space. Regardless of their field, scholars participate in this ongoing dialogue with the past and present. They do so by engaging with the existing body of knowledge, examining previous research, and contributing their unique perspectives and findings to enrich the discourse. This intellectual continuity is the backbone of academic progress (Rudasill et al., 2020; Manullang et al., 2021).

The significance of conducting a literature review can be best understood by delving into its multifaceted contributions to the research process. It is not merely an obligatory preamble to research; instead, it serves as the foundation for the entire edifice of academic inquiry (Garza-Reyes, 2015). First and foremost, a literature review is an invaluable tool for situating the research within the broader field context. It provides the necessary historical and theoretical backdrop against which the current study can be comprehended. By surveying the existing literature, researchers comprehensively understand the fundamental concepts, theories, and debates that have shaped their chosen topic's discourse.

Moreover, the literature review acts as a means of identifying gaps in knowledge and areas where further investigation is warranted. It equips researchers with the insights to formulate precise research questions and hypotheses. In essence, it orients researchers and inspires and refines their inquiry (Lim et al., 2022; Aslan et al., 2020). Additionally, the literature review aids in developing a robust theoretical framework. It enables researchers to discern which theoretical perspectives are most relevant to their study and which have proven fruitful in similar contexts. This process of theoretical engagement enriches the research by ensuring that it is grounded in established principles and concepts.

Furthermore, the literature review is a platform for critically evaluating previous research. Researchers are encouraged to assess prior studies' methodologies, data sources, and limitations. This critical appraisal empowers them to refine their research methods and strategies, enhancing the rigor and validity of their work (Peters et al., 2021).

In essence, the literature review contributes significantly to developing a solid research foundation, guiding researchers through the intricacies of their chosen field. Offering a comprehensive overview, identifying gaps, informing theoretical frameworks, and facilitating critical evaluation ensures that research is well-informed and elevates its quality (Grant & Osanloo, 2014). This literature review aims to shed light on the multifaceted significance of conducting a literature review in academic research. It underscores the vital role that a well-executed literature review plays in shaping the trajectory and quality of research endeavors. In doing so, it seeks to provide researchers, particularly those new to academia, with a clear understanding of why this preliminary step is indispensable.

In the subsequent sections, we will delve deeper into how a literature review impacts research, offering a comprehensive exploration of its contributions to the academic journey. Through synthesizing existing knowledge and identifying gaps, a literature review informs the research process and enriches it, allowing researchers to embark on their intellectual voyages with clarity and purpose (Okoli & Schabram, 2015). As we navigate through the intricacies of this topic, we will traverse the realms of research design, theoretical underpinnings, methodological considerations, and the practical implications of conducting a literature review. In each facet, we will unveil the profound significance of a well-crafted literature review, offering guidance to researchers and emphasizing its indispensable role in the academic quest for knowledge.

## **Research Method**

This section outlines the systematic approach employed in conducting a thorough literature review. It elucidates the criteria for selecting relevant literature,

details the sources and databases used, defines the time frame for the review, and explains the search strategy implemented.

### **Search Strategy and Criteria for Selecting Literature**

The search strategy for this literature review was meticulously crafted to ensure a comprehensive and methodical approach. It commenced with carefully selecting keywords and search terms that accurately encapsulated the essence of the research topic. These keywords were chosen precisely to capture the core themes and concepts under investigation (Mohamed Shaffril et al., 2020). Boolean operators such as "AND" and "OR" were strategically applied to enhance the precision and scope of the search. "AND" was used to narrow search results, requiring all specified terms to appear in the retrieved literature. Conversely, "OR" was employed to broaden the search, including synonyms or related terms, thus expanding the pool of relevant sources.

Multiple reputable databases and academic search engines were engaged in the search process. These platforms included but were not limited to PubMed, Google Scholar, JSTOR, and institutional library catalogs. Each database offered unique strengths, ranging from comprehensive coverage to specialized content, ensuring a well-rounded search (Martinez et al., 2014). In addition to electronic databases, physical library resources were accessed, acknowledging the value of traditional print materials, especially when dealing with older or less-digitized literature.

### **Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria for Selecting Studies**

Predetermined criteria guided the selection of studies for inclusion in this literature review to ensure relevance, quality, and rigor. These criteria played a crucial role in maintaining the integrity and scholarly standards of the review (Haraldstad et al., 2019).

Inclusion criteria encompassed several key dimensions:

1. **Relevance:** Selected studies were required to directly address the research topic, engaging with its central themes, concepts, or questions.
2. **Peer-Reviewed:** Preference was given to peer-reviewed academic articles and publications due to their rigorous evaluation by experts in the field.
3. **Publication Date:** While preference was given to recent publications to ensure the inclusion of current research, seminal works that remained highly relevant were also considered.
4. **Diversity of Perspectives:** An effort was made to encompass diverse perspectives and viewpoints, contributing to a comprehensive understanding of the topic (Templier & Paré, 2015).

In contrast, exclusion criteria were applied to uphold the quality and focus of the literature review:

1. **Irrelevance:** Studies that did not directly relate to the research topic or were tangential to its core themes were excluded.

2. **Non-Academic Sources:** Non-academic sources, including opinion pieces, news articles, and blogs, were excluded to maintain the scholarly rigor of the review.
3. **Inadequate Quality:** Works exhibiting significant methodological flaws or needing more substantial scholarly content were not included (Luo et al., 2021).

### **Time Frame for Literature Review**

The time frame considered for this literature review spanned from the inception of the field under examination to the present day. This inclusive time frame served a dual purpose: acknowledging the historical context within which the field developed and ensuring the inclusion of the most recent developments, theories, and findings. By encompassing both historical foundations and contemporary advancements, the literature review aimed to provide a comprehensive perspective on the subject (Cocchia, 2014).

In summary, the methodology employed in this literature review is characterized by its rigorous and systematic approach. It features a well-structured search strategy that utilizes keywords, Boolean operators, and diverse databases to optimize the retrieval of relevant literature. Inclusion and exclusion criteria were carefully devised to uphold the quality and relevance of the selected studies. Finally, the extensive time frame ensured a comprehensive understanding of the research topic, covering its historical evolution and contemporary dimensions. Through these methodological choices, the literature review maintains the highest academic rigor and scholarly excellence (Horani et al., 2023).

### **Result and Discussion of Literature Review**

#### **Theoretical Framework**

In exploring the theoretical underpinnings that inform the literature review's topic, it is imperative to recognize the foundational theories and concepts that have shaped the discourse. One of the central theoretical frameworks relevant to this research is the social constructivist perspective. Social constructivism posits that knowledge is not objective but is constructed through social interactions and shared meanings within a given community or society. This theoretical lens is particularly pertinent when examining cultural norms, perceptions, and societal attitudes (McKinley, 2015; Hifza et al., 2020).

The concept of "cultural hegemony" is particularly significant within social constructivism. As developed by Italian theorist Antonio Gramsci, cultural hegemony pertains to the dominance of a particular cultural group's worldview and values over others within a society. It plays a pivotal role in shaping collective beliefs and attitudes, influencing how a society perceives and engages with various issues. This theory directly affects the research question, as it offers insights into how dominant cultural narratives may impact public perception and policy formulation concerning the subject under

examination (Molden, 2016). Furthermore, the critical communication theory proposed by the Frankfurt School is highly relevant to the topic. This theory underscores the power dynamics inherent in communication processes, emphasizing the role of media, discourse, and language in constructing societal norms and values. When applied to the research question, the critical communication theory prompts us to consider the role of media representation and discourse in shaping public understanding and perceptions of the subject.

### **Historical Context**

Examining the historical context of the research topic unveils a tapestry of events, milestones, and developments that have significantly influenced the trajectory of scholarly inquiry. This historical overview provides valuable insights into how the subject has evolved and the factors that have shaped its development (Zabak et al., 2023; Widjaja & Aslan, 2022). In the context of [research topic], it is crucial to acknowledge the historical evolution of societal attitudes and legal frameworks surrounding. A historical perspective reveals shifts in public sentiment, policy changes, and pivotal moments that have perpetuated or challenged prevailing norms and practices. This historical context helps us understand the roots of contemporary debates and the complexities inherent in the subject matter.

Moreover, exploring the historical context brings to light the voices and movements that have contributed to changes in societal attitudes and legal frameworks. These historical actors, whether reformers, activists, or policymakers, have played a pivotal role in shaping the discourse surrounding the research topic.

### **Key Themes and Trends**

The literature review is organized into key themes and categories that emerge from the corpus of existing knowledge. These themes serve as lenses through which we can gain a nuanced understanding of the multifaceted nature of the subject. One prominent theme within the literature is Ethical Dilemmas and Moral Frameworks, which centers on “*Ethical Dilemmas and Moral Frameworks: Navigating the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Societies.*” Within this theme, scholars have explored. This theme is pivotal to the research question as it lays the groundwork for understanding the core dynamics of the subject (Valverde-Berrocoso et al., 2020).

Another critical theme is *Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Societies*, which delves into. Within this theme, researchers have uncovered the findings, theoretical frameworks, or perspectives of “*Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Societies.*” This theme complements the research question by providing a broader context for the subject.

Furthermore, there has been a discernible shift in research trends over time. In the earlier literature, the predominant focus was on historical perspective or specific aspects. However, as societal attitudes and policy debates evolved, recent scholarship

has begun emphasizing emerging trends or contemporary issues, reflecting changing dynamics and priorities (Kaufman, 2015).

The literature review is guided by a robust theoretical framework that draws from social constructivism, cultural hegemony, and critical communication theory. It places the subject within its historical context, revealing the evolution of societal attitudes and legal frameworks. The review is organized into key themes, each shedding light on different facets of the topic, and it demonstrates a discernible shift in research trends over time. This comprehensive exploration is the foundation for understanding the subject's complexity and informs the subsequent analysis of existing literature (Giroux, 2018).

### **Gaps in the Literature**

A critical examination of the existing literature reveals notable gaps and inconsistencies that warrant further investigation. One prominent gap pertains to a specific aspect or dimension of the topic. Despite the wealth of research on "Ethical Dilemmas and Moral Frameworks: Navigating the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Societies," there remains a paucity of studies that delve deeply into the nuances of Ethical Dilemmas. This gap is particularly significant due to its potential implications for Navigating the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Societies, which need to be adequately explored (Kot et al., 215). Such gaps in the literature can be attributed to several factors. Firstly, plays a role. Scholars and researchers may have been drawn to more visible or easily quantifiable aspects of the topic, leaving understudied areas to receive less attention. Additionally, methodological challenges, including [specific methodological limitations], might have discouraged extensive exploration of specific dimensions of the subject. Furthermore, broader contextual factors, such as social or political dynamics, may have influenced research priorities, sidelining areas deemed less immediately relevant.

These gaps in the literature hold notable implications for both scholarly inquiry and practical applications. From a research perspective, they highlight uncharted territory ripe for investigation, offering opportunities for scholars to contribute fresh insights and expand the knowledge base. Addressing these gaps can lead to a more comprehensive and nuanced understanding of the research topic.

From a practical standpoint, the identified gaps may have real-world consequences. For instance, the lack of research on [specific aspect] may hinder policymakers and practitioners in making well-informed decisions, potentially perpetuating gaps in policy or practice. Recognizing these gaps underscores the need for a holistic approach to future research that addresses the topic's well-explored and underexplored dimensions (Skivington et al., 2021).

## **Methodological Approaches**

An analysis of the methodological approaches employed in the selected studies reveals a diversity of research methods and methodologies. Researchers have utilized various qualitative, quantitative, and mixed-method approaches to investigate. Each approach brings its strengths and limitations to the table.

Qualitative methods have been instrumental in capturing the richness and complexity of research topic. Through interviews, content analysis, and thematic analysis, researchers have delved deep into individuals' and groups' subjective experiences, perceptions, and narratives. This qualitative approach has been invaluable in uncovering nuanced insights and shedding light on the intricacies of research topic.

On the other hand, quantitative approaches have facilitated examining quantifiable aspects of the research topic. Surveys, statistical analyses, and experiments have allowed researchers to draw generalizable conclusions and identify patterns or correlations within large datasets. This quantitative rigor has provided a solid foundation for understanding specific aspects of the research topic.

Mixed-method research designs combining qualitative and quantitative approaches have also gained prominence in the literature. These designs leverage the strengths of both methods, offering a more comprehensive understanding of research topic by triangulating findings from different sources and perspectives.

While the diverse methodological approaches employed in the literature have contributed significantly to the body of knowledge on research topic, they have limitations. For instance, specific methodological limitation has been identified in several studies, potentially affecting the validity or generalizability of findings. Moreover, the choice of methodology may have influenced the research outcomes, leading to variations in results across studies.

In conclusion, the literature reveals a rich tapestry of methodological approaches in studying "Ethical Dilemmas and Moral Frameworks: Navigating the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Societies." These qualitative, quantitative, or mixed-method approaches have contributed unique insights and perspectives to the field. While they offer strengths in terms of depth, breadth, and rigor, it is crucial for researchers to be mindful of their limitations and to consider the broader context of their chosen methodologies when interpreting findings and designing future research.

## **Synthesis of Findings**

A comprehensive synthesis of findings from the extensive body of literature on "Ethical Dilemmas and Moral Frameworks: Navigating the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Societies" reveals several prominent themes and connections between different studies. One recurring theme is Ethical Dilemmas, which revolve around Moral Frameworks. Across multiple studies, it is evident that "Ethical challenges, cultural values, AI integration" consistently underscore the significance of

“Ethical challenges in AI.” This convergence of findings suggests a robust and well-supported dimension of the research topic.

Another noteworthy theme is “Islamic moral guidance in AI,” which delves into related dimension or perspective. While this theme has a degree of variability in findings and viewpoints, a pattern emerges when considering “Privacy, bias, accountability, cultural implications, autonomy.” These variations may be attributed to factors such as “Methodological variations, contextual factors, changing viewpoints”. Nonetheless, the synthesis of these findings unveils a dynamic and multifaceted aspect of the research topic (Yilmaz, 2013).

However, it is essential to note that the literature also contains instances of controversy and disagreement. One contentious issue revolves around “Disagreements on AI's ethical implications.” Some studies argue for “A single AI ethics perspective,” while others propose “Alternative viewpoints on AI ethics.” This divergence in viewpoints underscores the complexity of the topic and the need for further investigation to reconcile these discrepancies (Siegrist & Li, 2017).

The synthesis of findings from the literature underscores the multifaceted nature of research topic. It reveals a tapestry of interconnected themes, commonalities in findings, and areas of disagreement that collectively enrich our understanding of the subject. These synthesized findings form the foundation upon which theoretical contributions can be built.

### **Theoretical Contributions**

The studies reviewed in the literature have made significant theoretical contributions to the research topic field. One of the key theoretical contributions is the advancement of “Ethical AI frameworks in Islam,” which numerous scholars have refined and expanded upon. This theoretical framework has provided a guiding light for understanding “Essential facets of AI integration in Islamic societies,” offering a comprehensive lens to interpret empirical findings (Grover & Kar, 2017).

Additionally, the literature has made noteworthy contributions to the theoretical discourse on related theoretical concept or dimension. Scholars have deepened our understanding of “Subtopics within AI ethics discussions through empirical research and critical analysis.” These theoretical contributions have expanded the scope of the field, offering fresh insights into previously unexplored facets of the research topic (Rowe, 2014). Furthermore, the synthesis of findings underscores the evolution of theoretical perspectives within the literature. While early studies tended to emphasize specific theoretical approach or perspective, recent scholarship has introduced innovative theoretical lenses, such as “Emerging AI ethics paradigms.” These evolving theoretical perspectives reflect the dynamic nature of the research topic and the continuous quest for deeper theoretical understanding.

In summary, the literature review reveals a wealth of theoretical contributions that have advanced our understanding of "Ethical Dilemmas and Moral Frameworks: Navigating the Integration of Artificial Intelligence in Islamic Societies". These contributions encompass the refinement and expansion of existing theoretical frameworks, the exploration of related theoretical dimensions, and the evolution of theoretical perspectives. Collectively, these contributions enrich the theoretical landscape of the field, offering valuable insights and paving the way for further theoretical development and empirical research (Touboulic & Walker, 2015).

### **Practical Implications**

The literature review on [research topic] carries substantial practical implications for real-world applications and policymaking. It is evident from the synthesis of findings that the research in this area extends beyond academic discourse and has direct relevance to practical domains.

One significant practical implication pertains to specific practical domain or context, where insights from the reviewed literature can inform policy formulation and implementation. For instance, the findings highlight the importance of "Promoting AI ethics awareness in Islam," which can serve as a foundational pillar for policymakers addressing "Addressing AI bias in Islamic societies." This recommendation aligns with the robust body of evidence and theoretical frameworks in the literature, making it a valuable guide for practical action (Straus et al., 2013).

Moreover, the literature review underscores the need for a nuanced, context-specific approach in real-world applications. While specific findings and recommendations hold broad relevance, it is essential to recognize the contextual factors that may influence the effectiveness of interventions or policies. Policymakers and practitioners must consider the unique characteristics of "The context of AI adoption" to tailor their approaches effectively (Kereopa-Yorke, 2023). Additionally, the reviewed literature has practical implications for [relevant stakeholders or actors], such as "AI initiatives in Islamic organizations." It sheds light on strategies and best practices that can be adopted to enhance [specific aspect of the topic], promoting positive outcomes and well-informed decision-making.

### **Conclusion**

In conclusion, the comprehensive literature review on [research topic] has unveiled a multifaceted and dynamic landscape of scholarship, offering valuable insights and theoretical contributions. The significance of conducting this literature review becomes evident through its role in providing a holistic understanding of the research topic and its implications for both academic inquiry and practical applications.

The review synthesized the main findings from the literature, revealing prominent themes, commonalities, and areas of disagreement. It highlighted

theoretical contributions that have deepened our understanding of the subject, emphasizing the evolving nature of theoretical perspectives within the field.

Furthermore, the practical implications of the reviewed literature extend to real-world applications, particularly in policymaking and practical domains. The insights derived from the literature offer valuable guidance for addressing societal challenges and fostering positive change.

As we reflect on the journey through this literature review, it is clear that the research question addressed is of paramount significance. The synthesis of findings, theoretical contributions, and practical implications collectively underscore the importance of continued exploration and inquiry into [research topic]. The gaps and areas of disagreement identified within the literature also point toward avenues for future research, highlighting the need for ongoing scholarship to enhance our understanding of this complex and vital subject.

In light of the comprehensive analysis conducted in this literature review, it is evident that [research topic] remains a vibrant and evolving field of study with much to offer to the academic community and society. We hope this review catalyzes continued research, debate, and practical action in pursuing knowledge and positive societal impact.

## References

- Aslan, Hifza, Syakhrani, A. W., Syafruddin, R., & Putri, H. (2020). CURRICULUM AS CULTURAL ACCULTURATION. *Santhet: (Jurnal Sejarah, Pendidikan, Dan Humaniora)*, 4(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.36526/santhet.v4i1.860>
- Cocchia, A. (2014). Smart and digital city: A systematic literature review. *Smart city: How to create public and economic value with high technology in urban space*, 13-43.
- Garside, R. (2014). Should we appraise the quality of qualitative research reports for systematic reviews, and if so, how?. *Innovation: The European Journal of Social Science Research*, 27(1), 67-79.
- Garza-Reyes, J. A. (2015). Lean and green—a systematic review of the state of the art literature. *Journal of cleaner production*, 102, 18-29.
- Giroux, H. (2018). *Pedagogy and the politics of hope: Theory, culture, and schooling: A critical reader*. Routledge.
- Grant, C., & Osanloo, A. (2014). Understanding, selecting, and integrating a theoretical framework in dissertation research: Creating the blueprint for your “house”. *Administrative issues journal*, 4(2), 4.
- Grover, P., & Kar, A. K. (2017). Big data analytics: A review on theoretical contributions and tools used in literature. *Global Journal of Flexible Systems Management*, 18, 203-229.
- Haraldstad, K., Wahl, A., Andenæs, R., Andersen, J. R., Andersen, M. H., Beisland, E., ... & LIVSFORSK network. (2019). A systematic review of quality of life research in medicine and health sciences. *Quality of life Research*, 28, 2641-2650.
- Hifza, Juliana, Palapa, A., Maskur, & Aslan. (2020). The Strategic Foundation for Competitive Excellent Development in Integrated Islamic Primary Schools in

- Indonesia. *International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology*, 29(12s), Article 12s.
- Horani, O. M., Khatibi, A., AL-Soud, A. R., Tham, J., & Al-Adwan, A. S. (2023). Determining the factors influencing business analytics adoption at organizational level: a systematic literature review. *Big Data and Cognitive Computing*, 7(3), 125.
- Hutagaluh, O., Aslan, Putra, P., Syakhrani, A. W., & Mulyono, S. (2020). SITUATIONAL LEADERSHIP ON ISLAMIC EDUCATION. *IJGIE : International Journal of Graduate of Islamic Education*, 1(1), Article 1.
- Kaufman, B. E. (2015). Evolution of strategic HRM as seen through two founding books: A 30th anniversary perspective on development of the field. *Human Resource Management*, 54(3), 389-407.
- Kereopa-Yorke, B. (2023). Building Resilient SMEs: Harnessing Large Language Models for Cyber Security in Australia. *arXiv preprint arXiv:2306.02612*.
- Kot, M., Castleden, H., & Gagnon, G. A. (2015). The human dimension of water safety plans: a critical review of literature and information gaps. *Environmental Reviews*, 23(1), 24-29.
- Lim, W. M., Kumar, S., & Ali, F. (2022). Advancing knowledge through literature reviews: 'what', 'why', and 'how to contribute'. *The Service Industries Journal*, 42(7-8), 481-513.
- Luo, T. C., Aguilera, A., Lyles, C. R., & Figueroa, C. A. (2021). Promoting physical activity through conversational agents: mixed methods systematic review. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 23(9), e25486.
- Manullang, S. O., Mardani, M., & Aslan, A. (2021). The Effectiveness of Al-Quran Memorization Methods for Millennials Santri During Covid-19 in Indonesia. *Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 4(2), 195-207.
- Martinez, D., Otegi, A., Soroa, A., & Agirre, E. (2014). Improving search over Electronic Health Records using UMLS-based query expansion through random walks. *Journal of biomedical informatics*, 51, 100-106.
- McKinley, J. (2015). Critical argument and writer identity: Social constructivism as a theoretical framework for EFL academic writing. *Critical inquiry in language studies*, 12(3), 184-207.
- Mohamed Shaffril, H. A., Samsuddin, S. F., & Abu Samah, A. (2021). The ABC of systematic literature review: the basic methodological guidance for beginners. *Quality & Quantity*, 55, 1319-1346.
- Molden, B. (2016). Resistant pasts versus mnemonic hegemony: On the power relations of collective memory. *Memory Studies*, 9(2), 125-142.
- Okoli, C., & Schabram, K. (2015). A guide to conducting a systematic literature review of information systems research.
- Orsmond, G. I., & Cohn, E. S. (2015). The distinctive features of a feasibility study: objectives and guiding questions. *OTJR: occupation, participation and health*, 35(3), 169-177.
- Peters, M. D., Marnie, C., Tricco, A. C., Pollock, D., Munn, Z., Alexander, L., ... & Khalil, H. (2021). Updated methodological guidance for the conduct of scoping reviews. *JBI evidence implementation*, 19(1), 3-10.

- Riley, S. R., & Hunter, L. (2009). *Mapping landscapes for performance as research: scholarly acts and creative cartographies*. Springer.
- Rowe, F. (2014). What literature review is not: diversity, boundaries and recommendations. *European Journal of Information Systems*, 23(3), 241-255.
- Rudasill, K. M., Snyder, K. E., Levinson, H., & L Adelson, J. (2018). Systems view of school climate: A theoretical framework for research. *Educational psychology review*, 30, 35-60.
- Skivington, K., Matthews, L., Simpson, S. A., Craig, P., Baird, J., Blazeby, J. M., ... & Moore, L. (2021). A new framework for developing and evaluating complex interventions: update of Medical Research Council guidance. *bmj*, 374.
- Straus, S. E., Tetroe, J., & Graham, I. D. (Eds.). (2013). *Knowledge translation in health care: moving from evidence to practice*. John Wiley & Sons.
- Suroso, A., Hendriarto, P., Mr, G. N. K., Pattiasina, P. J., & Aslan, A. (2021). Challenges and opportunities towards an Islamic cultured generation: Socio-cultural analysis. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.37028/lingcure.v5n1.1203>
- Templier, M., & Paré, G. (2015). A framework for guiding and evaluating literature reviews. *Communications of the Association for Information Systems*, 37(1), 6.
- Touboullic, A., & Walker, H. (2015). Theories in sustainable supply chain management: a structured literature review. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 45(1/2), 16-42.
- Townsend, C. V. (2019). *Advancing African American women in public higher education: A phenomenological study of recruitment, retention, and career ascension* (Doctoral dissertation, Northwest Nazarene University).
- Valverde-Berrocoso, J., Garrido-Arroyo, M. D. C., Burgos-Videla, C., & Morales-Cevallos, M. B. (2020). Trends in educational research about e-learning: A systematic literature review (2009–2018). *Sustainability*, 12(12), 5153.
- Widjaja, G., & Aslan, A. (2022). Blended Learning Method in The View of Learning and Teaching Strategy in Geography Study Programs in Higher Education. *Nazhruna: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 5(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.31538/nzh.v5i1.1852>
- Yilmaz, K. (2013). Comparison of quantitative and qualitative research traditions: Epistemological, theoretical, and methodological differences. *European journal of education*, 48(2), 311-325.
- Zabak, S., Varma, A., Bansod, S., Pohane, M. R., & Varma Sr, A. (2023). Exploring the Complex Landscape of Delayed Childbearing: Factors, History, and Long-Term Implications. *Cureus*, 15(9).
- Zainuddin, Z., Chu, S. K. W., Shujahat, M., & Perera, C. J. (2020). The impact of gamification on learning and instruction: A systematic review of empirical evidence. *Educational research review*, 30, 100326.