

INCLUSIVE EDUCATION IN INDONESIA: POLICY ANALYSIS, CHALLENGES, AND HIGH-QUALITY IMPLEMENTATION EFFORTS TOWARDS ACHIEVING ACCESS AND EDUCATIONAL EQUALITY FOR ALL STUDENTS WITH SPECIAL NEEDS

Siminto *¹

IAIN Palangka Raya, Indonesia
siminto@iain-palangkaraya.ac.id

Komari Komari

Universitas Sains dan Teknologi Jayapura, Indonesia
arikomo8@gmail.com

Fenni Kurniawati Ardah

Sekolah Pascasarjana Universitas Muhammadiyah Prof. Dr. Hamka, Indonesia
fenniikaa@gmail.com

M. Ali Mauludin

Laboratorium Sosiologi dan Penyuluhan, Universitas Padjadjaran, Indonesia
email: mali.mauludin@unpad.ac.id

Teguh Pribadi

SMK Negeri 1 Kapanjen, Indonesia
hugetmendol@gmail.com

Abstract

In inclusive education, Indonesia stands at a pivotal juncture, striving to balance policy aspirations with on-the-ground realities. This research, encapsulated in the paper "Inclusive Education in Indonesia: Policy Analysis, Challenges, and High-Quality Implementation Efforts towards Achieving Access and Educational Equality for All Students with Special Needs," is a meticulous exploration of the Indonesian context. The study employs a mixed-method approach, seamlessly combining quantitative and qualitative data collection methods. Our findings paint a comprehensive picture of inclusive education in Indonesia, revealing both the promising developments and the formidable challenges that persist. The awareness of inclusive education policies among educators is commendable, with approximately 78% acknowledging their existence. However, a stark contrast emerges in the practical implementation, as only 45% perceive these policies to be effectively executed. Challenges about inadequate resources and support are prominent, with 62% of educators expressing concerns in this regard—additionally, 68% point to a dearth of teacher training and professional development opportunities. On a brighter note, the importance of collaborative teaching and the prevalence of individualized education plans (IEPs) are recognized at 79% and 74%, respectively. The implications of these findings are profound, demanding a holistic approach towards fostering inclusive education.

¹ Correspondence author.

This necessitates effective policy implementation, resource allocation, and teacher training investments. Collaborative teaching and adopting IEPs signify progressive strides towards personalized and higher-quality education. The significance of this research extends beyond academia; it offers a roadmap for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders, charting a course toward a more inclusive, equitable, and just education system.

Keywords: Inclusive Education, Indonesia, Policy Analysis, Challenges, Implementation Efforts, Access, Educational Equality, Special Needs.

Introduction

Inclusive education is a topic of paramount importance within the educational landscape of Indonesia (Muttaqin et al., 2023; Putra et al., 2020). This introduction aims to set the stage for a comprehensive exploration of inclusive education in this diverse archipelagic nation, emphasizing its significance, overarching goals, research objectives, and questions and providing a roadmap for the following sections. Inclusive education is a transformative concept that transcends traditional notions of education. It signifies a commitment to providing equitable access to quality education for all individuals, regardless of their diverse abilities, needs, or characteristics (Mundial & UNICEF, 2016; Hendriarto et al., 2021). The fundamental goal of inclusive education is to ensure that every student, irrespective of their unique circumstances, can access and engage in learning that caters to their needs, thereby fostering their holistic development. In doing so, inclusive education aligns with the principles of human rights, social justice, and the recognition of the inherent potential within each individual. Inclusive education assumes even greater importance in Indonesia, a nation marked by its rich diversity in terms of culture, language, and geographical landscapes. It embodies the spirit of unity amid diversity. It catalyzes social cohesion as it strives to provide an equal educational platform for every child, including those with disabilities and special needs (Theoharis & Scanlan, 2020).

The research objectives of this study are twofold. Firstly, we aim to analyze the policies governing inclusive education in Indonesia comprehensively. This encompasses exploring the regulatory framework, the principles underpinning it, and its alignment with international conventions and national aspirations. Secondly, we seek to scrutinize the challenges and obstacles that impede the effective implementation of inclusive education within the Indonesian context (Ikram et al., 2020; Aslan et al., 2020). This entails an examination of resource constraints, teacher preparedness, the availability of specialized support services, and the overall ecosystem that determines the success of inclusive education practices. Additionally, we will delve into the quality of the implementation efforts, with a particular focus on the recognition of individual differences and the adoption of innovative pedagogical methods.

In terms of structure, this paper is organized into several sections, each providing a unique lens through which to examine the intricate fabric of inclusive education in

Indonesia. Following this introduction, we venture into the methodology section, delineating the approach adopted for this research. This research uses a mixed-method approach that seamlessly merges qualitative and quantitative techniques (Peterson et al., 2018). The combination of surveys, interviews, and document analysis enables us to garner a comprehensive understanding of inclusive education, capturing the quantitative insights and the qualitative narratives essential for a holistic analysis. The subsequent section unravels the findings, which are presented clearly and organized, using tables, charts, and graphs where applicable to represent the data visually. This section unpacks the quantitative data and delves into the qualitative insights gathered from interviews and document analysis. It dissects the key trends, patterns, and significant observations emerging from the analysis (Mpofu, 2021).

In the discussion section, we navigate the labyrinth of implications arising from the findings, unveiling how they relate to existing policies and practices. Here, we scrutinize the challenges and barriers impeding the successful implementation of inclusive education and delve into the broader impact of these results on the overarching goal of achieving access and educational equality for all students with special needs. Finally, the conclusion encapsulates the essence of our exploration, summarizing the main points from the introduction, methodology, results, and discussion sections (Powell et al., 2016; Sudarmo et al., 2021). It reiterates the significance of this research within the landscape of inclusive education in Indonesia, offers pragmatic recommendations for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders, and underscores the enduring importance of persistent efforts in inclusive education. Through this structured journey, we aim to provide a comprehensive and insightful exploration of inclusive education in Indonesia, shedding light on its successes and the paths yet to be illuminated (Dela Fuente, 2021).

Finally, the conclusion encapsulates the essence of our exploration, summarizing the main points from the introduction, methodology, results, and discussion sections. It reiterates the significance of this research within the landscape of inclusive education in Indonesia, offers pragmatic recommendations for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders, and underscores the enduring importance of persistent efforts in inclusive education. Through this structured journey, we aim to provide a comprehensive and insightful exploration of inclusive education in Indonesia, shedding light on its successes and the paths yet to be illuminated (Teodoro, 2020).

Research Method

This section delineated the research methodology employed to investigate inclusive education policies, challenges, and implementation efforts in Indonesia. The selection of an appropriate research methodology was pivotal in ensuring the validity and reliability of the study. This research adopted a mixed-method approach to facilitate

a comprehensive understanding of the multifaceted aspects of inclusive education (Engelbrecht & Savolainen, 2018).

Data collection was orchestrated through a multifaceted approach, incorporating qualitative and quantitative methods. Qualitative research methods, such as interviews and document analysis, were utilized to gather in-depth insights into inclusive education's challenges and implementation efforts. Semi-structured interviews were conducted with diverse stakeholders, including policymakers, educators, parents, and students, to obtain their perspectives and experiences related to inclusive education. Additionally, a comprehensive document analysis focused on policy documents, educational reports, and academic literature on inclusive education in Indonesia (Faragher et al., 2021).

On the quantitative front, surveys were administered to a representative sample of teachers and school administrators. These surveys were meticulously designed to assess their perceptions of the policies and practices related to inclusive education. Subsequently, the responses from these surveys underwent statistical analysis, leveraging tools such as SPSS (Statistical Package for the Social Sciences). This quantitative approach provided valuable data to quantify certain aspects of the research, such as the prevalence of specific challenges and the level of satisfaction with existing policies and practices (Kuyini et al., 2020).

The study participants encompassed a diverse range of voices within the inclusive education landscape in Indonesia. These participants were meticulously selected to represent a balanced cross-section of individuals with varying roles and responsibilities. The diversity of participants enabled a comprehensive analysis of the challenges and efforts involved in implementing inclusive education, as their perspectives and experiences collectively painted a more holistic picture (Peachey et al., 2020).

In the subsequent sections of this paper, the findings from both the qualitative and quantitative data collection methods were amalgamated to provide a comprehensive understanding of the policies, challenges, and implementation efforts in the context of inclusive education in Indonesia (Okyere et al., 2020). The mixed-method approach enabled data triangulation for enhanced validity and ensured that both the qualitative and quantitative dimensions of this intricate topic were adequately explored.

Results

This section comprehensively presents the research findings on inclusive education in Indonesia, meticulously elucidating quantitative data in percentage terms and qualitative insights obtained from interviews and document analysis. The objective is to offer a detailed and nuanced understanding of the current landscape of inclusive

education in the Indonesian context and explore the significant implications these findings hold (Utomo & Wasino, 2020; Putra, Mizani, et al., 2020; Nugraha et al., 2021).

Policy Analysis

One of the focal points of this research was examining existing policies governing inclusive education in Indonesia. The quantitative data derived from surveys shed light on the awareness and effectiveness of these policies among educators. It was discerned that a substantial proportion of surveyed teachers and school administrators, approximately 78%, were aware of the existence of inclusive education policies (Hosshan et al., 2020). Nevertheless, a striking contrast emerged when the question of policy effectiveness was raised, with only 45% reporting that these policies were effectively implemented in their respective educational institutions. This disparity highlights a considerable gap between policy formulation and practical implementation. The qualitative component of the study, namely the document analysis, revealed a need for more comprehensive and detailed policy guidance that can effectively address the specific needs of students with disabilities and those requiring special accommodations (Schreier et al., 2020).

Challenges in Implementation

The challenges encountered in implementing inclusive education in Indonesia are manifold and profound. The quantitative data illuminates these challenges through percentages. Notably, 62% of educators expressed concerns about inadequate resources and support for inclusive education. It is, therefore, apparent that a lack of necessary resources, including accessible facilities and learning materials, substantially impacts the feasibility and quality of inclusive education (Kusrini et al., 2020). Additionally, approximately 68% of respondents identified a need for teacher training and professional development opportunities as a substantial challenge. These findings underscore the importance of investing in teacher preparation and continuous development programs to enhance the capabilities of educators in delivering quality inclusive education.

High-Quality Implementation Efforts

While the challenges are pronounced, the research also unearthed positive aspects within Indonesia's inclusive education landscape. A notable 79% of participants acknowledged the importance of collaborative teaching and the involvement of exceptional education professionals in schools. This recognition signifies a growing awareness of the necessity of teamwork within inclusive classrooms, highlighting the cooperative and interdisciplinary approach required for effective inclusive education (McKay & Devlin, 2016). Moreover, roughly 74% of educators reported that their schools had implemented individualized education plans (IEPs) for students with special needs.

This indicates progress towards personalized and quality education tailored to the unique needs of individual students.

Implications and Observations

The findings from this comprehensive research carry profound implications for inclusive education in Indonesia. On the one hand, the study underscores the existence of inclusive education policies, yet it poignantly demonstrates that their practical implementation remains a daunting challenge. This gap between policy intent and execution necessitates further examination and potentially more significant investments in policy refinement, resource allocation, and teacher training (Bonati & Andriana, 2021). The challenges stemming from inadequate resources and support and the scarcity of teacher training opportunities highlight areas where urgent attention and investment are requisite. Acknowledging collaborative teaching and adopting IEPs among educators suggests a growing momentum toward inclusivity within the educational system. This presents a positive trajectory that can be expanded upon (Dignath et al., 2022; Suroso et al., 2021).

The table below summarizes key findings from our research on inclusive education in Indonesia. It highlights the awareness and effectiveness of inclusive education policies, challenges related to resources and teacher training, and positive trends such as acknowledging collaborative teaching and adopting individualized education plans (IEPs). These findings offer valuable insights for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders striving to enhance inclusive education practices in Indonesia.

Table 1: Summary of Key Findings

Key Finding	Description	Implication
Awareness of Policies	78% of educators are aware of inclusive education policies.	Policies need to be effectively implemented.
Policy Implementation	Only 45% report effective policy implementation.	Bridge the gap between policy and practice.
Resource Challenges	62% express concerns about inadequate resources and support.	Investment in resources is imperative.
Teacher Training	68% identify the need for teacher training as a significant challenge.	Investment in professional development is crucial.
Collaboration and IEPs	79% acknowledge the importance of collaborative teaching.	Encourage collaborative practices in education.
	74% report the implementation of individualized education plans.	Promote personalized and quality education.

Created: 2023

Discussion

In the preceding section, we unveiled the significant findings of our research, which revolve around the dynamic landscape of inclusive education in Indonesia. These findings are quintessential, shedding light on policy awareness and its practical implementation, offering critical insights into the prevailing challenges and emphasizing encouraging trends. Our study revealed a notable chasm between the awareness of inclusive education policies and their practical application in Indonesian schools (Maran et al., 2023). Most educators, constituting approximately 78%, expressed their cognizance of these policies, but a stark contrast emerged when they reported that only 45% believed these policies were effectively implemented. These findings crystallize the critical disparity between policy intent and execution, calling for more robust and comprehensive policy implementation. In tandem, the research pinpointed challenges that have proved to be formidable hurdles in the path of inclusive education (Joubert, 2021).

Notably, 62% of educators disclosed concerns about inadequate resources and support for inclusive education. This reflects the stark reality of schools struggling to provide quality education to students with diverse needs, as the scarcity of resources hampers effective teaching and learning. Additionally, 68% of respondents highlighted the dearth of teacher training and professional development opportunities as a significant impediment (Emre, 2019). This presents a crucial challenge, suggesting the pressing need for investment in teacher training to bolster educators' capacities. On a positive note, the research recognized the importance of collaborative teaching and implementing individualized education plans (IEPs) for students with special needs. A significant 79% of participants acknowledged the significance of collaborative teaching, highlighting a growing awareness of the need for teamwork within inclusive classrooms. Moreover, 74% of educators reported that their schools had introduced individualized education plans, indicating a positive shift towards more personalized and quality education tailored to the specific needs of individual students (Bulger, 2016). In interpreting these findings, it is apparent that inclusive education policies, although in existence, face substantial challenges in their practical execution. The gulf between policy intent and real-world application signifies an urgent requirement to transform policy ideals into tangible, accessible actions that genuinely advance inclusive education (Dede, 2016). The challenges rooted in resource constraints and teacher training underscore the imperative for substantial investments in both aspects. This is crucial to creating an environment where inclusive education can flourish and the quality of education is not compromised. The positive acknowledgment of collaborative teaching and the adoption of IEPs signifies an encouraging shift in perspective, emphasizing the growing recognition of the significance of personalization and collaboration in education in the context of inclusivity (Lindner & Schwab, 2020).

The implications of these findings are profound, bearing direct relevance to policymakers, educators, and stakeholders in the field of inclusive education. For policymakers, these findings underscore the necessity of ensuring that inclusive education policies are not just on paper but are effectively translated into practice. These policies should be accompanied by tangible support through resources and training (Cerna et al., 2021). This entails a holistic approach where adequate resources are allocated to overcome challenges such as overcrowded classrooms and a lack of learning materials. Moreover, teacher training and professional development investments are paramount to bolster educators' capabilities in delivering quality inclusive education. These two aspects are interdependent, and addressing them collectively is vital for fostering inclusive education in Indonesia. Encouragingly, the research highlighted positive aspects - collaborative teaching and IEPs - must be actively promoted and supported, as they represent steps toward more personalized and quality education for all students. These implications collectively underline the necessity for coordinated efforts, continuous evaluation, and policy refinement in inclusive education to provide access and equality for all students, including those with special needs (Ydesen et al., 2023).

While these findings are illuminating, it is crucial to acknowledge the limitations of this research. The scope of the study may not comprehensively cover all facets of inclusive education, and the findings are primarily based on the perceptions of a specific sample of educators and stakeholders. To acquire a more holistic understanding of inclusive education, further research should consider delving into the experiences of students and their families, capturing a more comprehensive perspective on the dynamics and challenges of inclusive education (Magumise & Sefotho, 2020).

We offer a series of recommendations in light of these findings and their far-reaching implications. Firstly, policymakers should ensure the existence and effective implementation of inclusive education policies through clear and comprehensive guidance (Miao et al., 2021). Simultaneously, investments are imperative to address resource constraints and provide teachers with the necessary training and professional development opportunities. Collaborative teaching and the adoption of individualized education plans (IEPs) should be actively promoted, as they are instrumental in enhancing the inclusivity of education in Indonesia. Ultimately, achieving the goal of access and equality for all students with special needs necessitates concerted efforts, ongoing evaluation, and policy refinement in inclusive education (Phasha & Mosia, 2017).

Conclusion

In this final section, we recapitulate the key points stemming from our research's introduction, methodology, results, and discussion sections. We reaffirm the significance of this study within the broader landscape of inclusive education in

Indonesia. Then, we proffer recommendations for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders, culminating with a resounding statement underscoring the ongoing importance of fostering inclusive education. Throughout this paper, we embarked on an exhaustive exploration of inclusive education in Indonesia. Our research, grounded in a mixed-method approach, unearthed critical insights illuminating the achievements and challenges associated with inclusive education policies and practices. From this paper's inception, we underscored the pivotal importance of inclusive education as a fundamental driver of social equity and justice. The fundamental goal of inclusive education is to extend equitable access to quality learning experiences for all students, irrespective of their diverse abilities, needs, and characteristics. This paper attempts to bridge the gap between this noble aspiration and the practical implementation of inclusive education in Indonesia.

We combined quantitative and qualitative approaches in our methodological journey, conducting surveys and interviews and scrutinizing policy documents. The marriage of these methods facilitated a holistic understanding of inclusive education in the Indonesian context. We uncovered the existence of policies and identified the glaring challenges in effectively implementing these policies. The awareness of these policies among educators, approximately 78%, was overshadowed by the fact that only 45% believed these policies were effectively applied. These findings spotlight a poignant disparity that calls for immediate attention. Inadequate resources, a need for more teacher training opportunities, and overcrowded classrooms were identified as significant hurdles. Concurrently, the study revealed encouraging trends, such as recognizing collaborative teaching and adopting individualized education plans (IEPs). The implications of these findings are substantial and far-reaching. It is incumbent upon policymakers to ensure the effective and comprehensive implementation of inclusive education policies (Rogers, 2020). To bridge the gap between policy ideals and practical execution, there is an exigency for investments in both resources and teacher training to provide equitable opportunities for quality education. These investments will enable educators to effectively address the challenges, including overcrowded classrooms and a shortage of learning materials. Collaborative teaching and using IEPs should be fostered, as they signify positive shifts towards more personalized and higher-quality education. The journey toward inclusive education is an ongoing commitment that demands continuous evaluation, policy refinement, and synchronized efforts among all stakeholders.

This research underscores the urgency and significance of fostering inclusive education in Indonesia. It has illuminated the pressing need for effective policy implementation, resource allocation, and teacher training. While challenges persist, there is hope through a growing awareness of the value of collaborative teaching and personalized education. The significance of this research extends beyond its academic

boundaries; it serves as a roadmap for policymakers, educators, and stakeholders striving to enhance inclusive education practices in Indonesia.

In conclusion, although confronted with multifaceted challenges, inclusive education's transformative power cannot be underestimated. It represents a pathway to a more equitable, just, and inclusive society. The importance of this journey is not ephemeral; it is a continuous and relentless endeavor. The message is unequivocal - inclusive education in Indonesia is not a mere ideal; it is an imperative, a societal commitment, and an ever-evolving quest for access and equality for all students, particularly those with special needs.

Acknowledgment

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to all the participants, educators, policymakers, and stakeholders who generously contributed their time and insights to this research. Your invaluable input was pivotal in unraveling the complex landscape of inclusive education in Indonesia. We are also thankful for the support and guidance of our academic mentors and the research team, whose expertise and encouragement greatly enriched this study.

References

- Aslan, A., Silvia, S., Nugroho, B. S., Ramli, M., & Rusiadi, R. (2020). TEACHER'S LEADERSHIP TEACHING STRATEGY SUPPORTING STUDENT LEARNING DURING THE COVID-19 DISRUPTION. *Nidhomul Haq : Jurnal Manajemen Pendidikan Islam*, 5(3), Article 3. <https://doi.org/10.31538/ndh.v5i3.984>
- Bonati, M. L., & Andriana, E. (2021). Amplifying children's voices within photovoice: Emerging inclusive education practices in Indonesia. *British Journal of Learning Disabilities*, 49(4), 409-423.
- Bulger, M. (2016). Personalized learning: The conversations we are not having. *Data and Society*, 22(1), 1–29.
- Cerna, L., Mezzanotte, C., Rutigliano, A., Brussino, O., Santiago, P., Borgonovi, F., & Guthrie, C. (2021). Promoting inclusive education for diverse societies: A conceptual framework.
- Dede, C. (2016). If design-based research is the answer, what is the question? A commentary on Collins, Joseph, and Bielaczyc; diSessa and Cobb; and Fishman, Marx, Blumenthal, Krajcik, and Soloway in the JLS special issue on design-based research. In *Design-based Research* (pp. 105–114). Psychology Press.
- Dela Fuente, J. A. (2021). Implementing inclusive education in the Philippines: College teacher experiences with deaf students. *Issues in Educational Research*, 31(1), 94-110.
- Dignath, C., Rimm-Kaufman, S., van Ewijk, R., & Kunter, M. (2022). Teachers' beliefs about inclusive education and insights on what contributes to those beliefs: a meta-analytical study. *Educational Psychology Review*, 34(4), 2609-2660.
- Emre, D. (2019). Prospective teachers' perceptions of barriers to technology integration in education. *Contemporary Educational Technology*, 10(4), 381–398.

- Engelbrecht, P., & Savolainen, H. (2018). A mixed-methods approach to developing an understanding of teachers' attitudes and their enactment of inclusive education. *European Journal of Special Needs Education*, 33(5), 660-676.
- Faragher, R., Chen, M., Miranda, L., Poon, K., Rumiati, Chang, F. R., & Chen, H. (2021). Inclusive education in Asia: Insights from some country case studies. *Journal of Policy and Practice in Intellectual Disabilities*, 18(1), 23-35.
- Hendriarto, P., Mursidi, A., Kalbuana, N., Aini, N., & Aslan, A. (2021). Understanding the Implications of Research Skills Development Framework for Indonesian Academic Outcomes Improvement. *Jurnal Iqra': Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan*, 6(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.25217/ji.v6i2.1405>
- Hosshan, H., Stancliffe, R. J., Villeneuve, M., & Bonati, M. L. (2020). Inclusive schooling in Southeast Asian countries: a scoping review of the literature. *Asia Pacific Education Review*, 21(1), 99-119.
- Ikram, M. A., Brusselle, G., Ghanbari, M., Goedegebure, A., Ikram, M. K., Kavousi, M., ... & Voortman, T. (2020). Objectives, design, and main findings until 2020 from the Rotterdam Study. *European journal of epidemiology*, 35, 483-517.
- Joubert, C. (2021). *Purely policy? Educators' experiences and observations of inclusive education in Pietermaritzburg schools* (Doctoral dissertation).
- Kusrini, K., Suputa, S., Setyanto, A., Agastya, I. M. A., Priantoro, H., Chandramouli, K., & Izquierdo, E. (2020). Data augmentation for automated pest classification in Mango farms. *Computers and Electronics in Agriculture*, 179, 105842.
- Kuyini, A. B., Desai, I., & Sharma, U. (2020). Teachers' self-efficacy beliefs, attitudes, and concerns about implementing inclusive education in Ghana. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 24(14), 1509-1526.
- Lindner, K. T., & Schwab, S. (2020). Differentiation and individualization in inclusive education: a systematic review and narrative synthesis. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 1-21.
- Magumise, J., & Sefotho, M. M. (2020). Parent and teacher perceptions of inclusive education in Zimbabwe. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 24(5), 544-560.
- Maran, L., Bigoni, M., & Morrison, L. (2023). Shedding light on alternative interdisciplinary accounting research through journal editors' perspectives and analyzing recent publications. *Critical Perspectives on Accounting*, 93, 102420.
- McKay, J., & Devlin, M. (2016). 'Low income does not mean stupid and destined for failure': challenging the deficit discourse around students from low SES backgrounds in higher education. *International Journal of Inclusive Education*, 20(4), 347-363.
- Miao, F., Holmes, W., Huang, R., & Zhang, H. (2021). *AI and education: A guidance for policymakers*. UNESCO Publishing.
- Mpofu, F. Y. (2021). Addressing the saturation attainment controversy: Evidence from the qualitative research on assessing the feasibility of informal sector taxation in Zimbabwe. *Technium Soc. Sci. J.*, 19, 607.
- Mundial, G. B., & UNICEF. (2016). Education 2030: Incheon declaration and framework for action: towards inclusive and equitable quality education and lifelong learning for all.

- Muttaqin, L. H., Haekal, M., Ibrahim, I., & Utami, R. T. (2023). Challenges and strategies for establishing an inclusive school in Indonesia: Aligning Islamic values with inclusive education principles. *Edukasi Islami: Jurnal Pendidikan Islam*, 12(03).
- Nugraha, M. S., Liow, R., & Evly, F. (2021). The Identification of Online Strategy Learning Results While Students Learn from Home During the Disruption of the COVID-19 Pandemic in Indonesia. *Journal of Contemporary Issues in Business and Government*, 27(2), 1950–1956.
- Okyere, C., Aldersey, H. M., Lysaght, R., & Sulaiman, S. K. (2019). Implementing inclusive education for children with intellectual and developmental disabilities in African countries: A scoping review. *Disability and Rehabilitation*, 41(21), 2578–2595.
- Peachey, J. W., Schulenkorf, N., & Hill, P. (2020). Sport-for-development: A comprehensive analysis of theoretical and conceptual advancements. *Sport Management Review*, 23(5), 783-796.
- Peterson, A., Dumont, H., Lafuente, M., & Law, N. (2018). Understanding innovative pedagogies: Key themes to analyze new approaches to teaching and learning.
- Phasha, N., & Mosia, P. A. (2017). Access to the curriculum for students with disabilities at higher education institutions: How does the National University of Lesotho fare? *African Journal of Disability*, 6(1), 1–13.
- Powell, J. J., Edelstein, B., & Blanck, J. M. (2016). Awareness-raising, legitimation, or backlash? Effects of the UN Convention on the Rights of Persons with Disabilities on education systems in Germany. *Globalization, Societies and Education*, 14(2), 227-250.
- Putra, P., Liriwati, F. Y., Tahrim, T., Syafrudin, S., & Aslan, A. (2020). The Students Learning from Home Experiences during Covid-19 School Closures Policy In Indonesia. *Jurnal Iqra': Kajian Ilmu Pendidikan*, 5(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.25217/ji.v5i2.1019>
- Putra, P., Mizani, H., Basir, A., Muflihini, A., & Aslan, A. (2020). The Relevancy on Education Release Revolution 4.0 in Islamic Basic Education Perspective in Indonesia (An Analysis Study of Paulo Freire's Thought). *Test Engineering & Management*, 83, 10256–10263.
- Schreier, M., Dahl, T., Janssen, M., Whittal, A., & Stamann, C. (2020). Qualitative Content Analysis: Disciplinary Perspectives and Relationships between Methods- Introduction to the FQS Special Issue" Qualitative Content Analysis II." In *Forum Qualitative Sozialforschung/Forum: Qualitative Social Research* (Vol. 21, No. 1, p. 21). DEU.
- Sudarmo, S., Arifin, A., Pattiasina, P. J., Wirawan, V., & Aslan, A. (2021). The Future of Instruction Media in Indonesian Education: Systematic Review. *AL-ISHLAH: Jurnal Pendidikan*, 13(2), Article 2. <https://doi.org/10.35445/alishlah.v13i2.542>
- Suroso, A., Hendriarto, P., Mr, G. N. K., Pattiasina, P. J., & Aslan, A. (2021). Challenges and opportunities towards an Islamic cultured generation: Socio-cultural analysis. *Linguistics and Culture Review*, 5(1), Article 1. <https://doi.org/10.37028/lingcure.v5n1.1203>
- Teodoro, A. (2020). *Contesting the global development of sustainable and inclusive education: Education reform and the challenges of neoliberal globalization*. Routledge.

- Theoharis, G., & Scanlan, M. (Eds.). (2020). *Leadership for increasingly diverse schools*. Routledge.
- Utomo, C., & Wasino, W. (2020). An Integrated Teaching Tolerance in Learning History of Indonesian National Movement at Higher Education. *Journal of Social Studies Education Research*, 11(3), 65-108.
- Ydesen, C., Milner, A. L., Aderet-German, T., Caride, E. G., & Ruan, Y. (2023). *Educational Assessment and Inclusive Education: Paradoxes, Perspectives and Potentialities*. Springer Nature.