International Journal of Teaching and Learning (INJOTEL) e-ISSN: 3025-8308
Vol. 1 No. 7, 2024, pages: 651~667

INTEGRATION OF COMPUTER-SUPPORTED COLLABORATIVE LEARNING IN ONLINE MEDICAL SKILLS TRAINING: PERSPECTIVES FROM WOMEN'S ONLINE UNIVERSITY

Nargis Hakimi
Balkh, Afghanistan
Correspondence author email: nargis.hakimi03@gmail.com

Tamanna Quraishi
Herat, Afghanistan

Musawer Hakimi
Samangan, Afghanistan

Fazila Akrami
Kabul, Afghanistan

Mursal Akrami
Kabul, Afghanistan

Khatera Akrami
Kabul, Afghanistan

Asemah Hasab
Farah, Afghanistan

FarhDiba Nabezada
Balkh, Afghanistan

Maleena Safi
Kapisa, Afghanistan

Abstract

This study investigates the effectiveness of integrating Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) in online medical training, particularly within the context of a women's university. The research addresses a notable gap in the literature by examining the impact of CSCL on student engagement, faculty perceptions, and learning outcomes in medical education settings. The objective is to assess the integration process, evaluate engagement levels, and analyze the effects of CSCL on clinical competency development among female medical students. A quasi-experimental design was employed, involving 133 participants from various departments at the university, including students aged 18-25 and teachers aged 25-35. Data collection was primarily conducted through online questionnaires, focusing on perceptions of CSCL tools, clarity of instructions, engagement levels, and confidence in utilizing CSCL tools. Quantitative data

analysis, utilizing SPSS 26, included descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, t-tests, ANOVA, regression analysis, and factor analysis. Key findings reveal positive perceptions of CSCL tools, particularly virtual reality simulations and online quizzes, among participants. There is a significant correlation between the efficacy ratings of CSCL tools and collaboration outcomes, indicating the effectiveness of CSCL in promoting interaction and collaboration among students. Regression analysis identifies predictors of faculty confidence in utilizing CSCL tools, including prior training, years of experience, and frequency of tool usage. The study concludes by highlighting the implications of the findings for medical education and recommending strategies for optimizing CSCL integration in online medical training. The research contributes to enhancing understanding of the role of CSCL in medical education, providing actionable insights for educators, policymakers, and institutions.

Keywords: Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning, Online Medical Training, Women's University, Student Engagement, Faculty Perceptions, Learning Outcomes, Faculty Perceptions

INTRODUCTION

Computer-supported collaborative learning (CSCL) has emerged as a pivotal approach in modern education, facilitating interactive and cooperative learning experiences among students in online settings. Particularly in fields such as medical education, where hands-on training and practical skills development are crucial, the integration of CSCL holds significant promise for enhancing learning outcomes and preparing students for real-world clinical practice. This introduction provides an overview of the importance of CSCL in online medical education and introduces the specific focus of the case study: the integration of CSCL in online medical skills training (Deschênes, 2023).

The evolution of technology has revolutionized the landscape of medical education, offering innovative solutions to traditional challenges in teaching and learning. With the rise of online learning platforms and virtual simulations, educators in the medical field have increasingly turned to CSCL as a means to foster collaborative learning environments that mimic real-world clinical scenarios. CSCL leverages digital tools and interactive platforms to facilitate communication, collaboration, and knowledge sharing among students, regardless of geographical constraints or time limitations (Stahl, 2015).

In the context of medical education, where practical skills acquisition and clinical reasoning are paramount, CSCL offers unique advantages. By simulating clinical scenarios and encouraging students to work together in virtual environments, CSCL enables learners to apply theoretical knowledge to practical situations, develop problem-solving skills, and enhance critical thinking abilities (Berman & Fall, 2019). Moreover, collaborative learning experiences in online settings allow students to exchange perspectives, share best practices, and learn from each other's experiences,

fostering a sense of community and mutual support (Khalil & Ebner, 2020; Baker et al., 2011; Rienties et al., 2013).

The specific focus of this case study is the integration of CSCL in online medical skills training. Medical skills training encompasses a wide range of practical competencies, including clinical procedures, patient assessments, and diagnostic techniques. Traditionally, these skills have been taught through hands-on training in clinical settings or simulated environments. However, with the advent of online education platforms and virtual simulations, there is a growing opportunity to supplement traditional training methods with CSCL approaches (Rogers et al., 2020; Kim et al., 2011).

By leveraging CSCL tools and platforms, educators can create immersive learning experiences that engage students in collaborative problem-solving activities, virtual patient case studies, and interactive simulations (Hmelo-Silver et al., 2007). Through these experiences, students can develop clinical skills, enhance decision-making abilities, and build confidence in their clinical practice. Additionally, the integration of CSCL in medical skills training can foster a sense of teamwork and camaraderie among students, mirroring the collaborative nature of healthcare delivery (Berkovich & Eyal,2017; Boyle et al., 2003; Lee & Choi, 2011).

In summary, the integration of CSCL in online medical education holds immense potential for enhancing learning outcomes and preparing future healthcare professionals for the challenges of clinical practice. This case study aims to explore the effectiveness of CSCL in medical skills training and its impact on student learning and collaboration. Through a comprehensive analysis of CSCL approaches in online medical education, this study seeks to provide insights and recommendations for educators seeking to integrate collaborative learning strategies into their teaching practices.

Problem statement

The integration of computer-supported collaborative learning (CSCL) into online medical skills training presents a promising avenue for enhancing educational outcomes in the healthcare domain. However, despite its potential benefits, this integration poses several challenges that need to be addressed to maximize its effectiveness within the context of a women's university.

One key challenge is ensuring that the CSCL approach aligns with the specific needs and learning styles of medical students, particularly those enrolled in a women's university. Medical education typically involves rigorous training in clinical skills and knowledge acquisition, often requiring hands-on practice and interactive learning experiences. Adapting CSCL methodologies to meet these requirements while also catering to the unique needs and preferences of female learners is essential for successful implementation.

Additionally, the nature of medical education demands a high degree of collaboration and communication among students, faculty members, and healthcare

professionals. Integrating CSCL into online medical skills training requires careful consideration of how to facilitate effective communication and collaboration in virtual environments, especially within the context of a women's university where social dynamics and interaction patterns may differ.

Furthermore, issues related to access to technology, digital literacy levels, and technological infrastructure may impact the successful implementation of CSCL in online medical skills training, particularly among female students. Addressing these challenges is crucial to ensure equitable access to educational resources and opportunities for all learners.

Therefore, the problem statement emphasizes the need to explore how CSCL can be effectively integrated into online medical skills training at a women's university, taking into account the specific challenges and opportunities inherent in this educational context. By addressing these challenges, educators and policymakers can unlock the full potential of CSCL to enhance learning outcomes and prepare future healthcare professionals for success in their careers.

RESEARCH METHOD

Research Design: The study adopts a quasi-experimental design to assess the effectiveness of integrating CSCL in online medical education. Data collection primarily relies on online questionnaires to gather insights into participants' perceptions and experiences. Ethical considerations and logistical constraints are carefully addressed to ensure the validity and reliability of findings.

Sampling Methodology: The study population comprises students and teachers from various departments at a women's university. A total of 133 participants were included, with 120 students aged 18-25 and 13 teachers aged 25-35, ensuring representation across different academic fields and age groups.

Data Collection: Data collection was primarily conducted through online questionnaires distributed to participants. These questionnaires gathered information on demographics, perceptions of CSCL tools, clarity of instructions, engagement levels, satisfaction, and confidence in utilizing CSCL tools. Validated instruments were used to ensure data reliability and validity.

Data Analysis: Quantitative data analysis was performed using SPSS 26, employing various statistical techniques such as descriptive statistics, correlation analysis, t-tests, ANOVA, and regression analysis. Descriptive statistics were used to analyze the mean ratings and standard deviations of CSCL tools (Table 3), clarity of instructions (Table 3), and engagement levels (Table 5). Independent samples t-tests were conducted to compare the effectiveness of technical support (Table 4), while one-way ANOVA assessed satisfaction levels (Table 7). Regression analysis identified predictors of confidence in utilizing CSCL tools (Table 8). Additionally, factor analysis examined the contribution of CSCL tools to understanding medical concepts (Table 9).

Paired samples t-tests evaluated the improvement in applying medical knowledge before and after CSCL activities (Table 10).

Ethical Considerations: Ethical principles were strictly followed throughout the research process to ensure participant confidentiality, informed consent, and protection from harm. Participants were provided with clear information about the study's purpose and their rights, and their voluntary participation was emphasized.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION

The comprehensive results derived from this investigation can be outlined as follows:

Table 1: Student Demographics

Field of Study	Number of Students	Age Range 18-25	Number of Teachers (Age 25-35)
Medical Faculty	40	40	4
Pharmacy	40	40	3
Midwifery	20	20	3
Stomatology	20	20	3
Total	133	120	13

The demographics analysis of the data in Table 1 highlights a balanced distribution of students and teachers across age ranges and academic fields. Specifically, there are 120 students aged 18-25, evenly spread among Medical Faculty, Pharmacy, Midwifery, and Stomatology. Additionally, 13 teachers aged 25-35 contribute to the educational workforce, with Medical Faculty having the highest number. This suggests a well-rounded educational environment conducive to both learning and teaching, fostering a dynamic and supportive academic community.

Table 2: Assessment of Data Validity, Reliability, and Normality

Test	Result	Conclusion
Validity Test	Pearson Correlation value > 0.07	Valid
Reliability Test	Cronbach Alpha value > 0.6	Reliable
Normality Test	The Plots follow a diagonal line	Normal

The validity test affirms the presence of robust correlations, with Pearson correlation values exceeding 0.07, validating the data's reliability. Reliability testing indicates satisfactory reliability, with Cronbach Alpha values surpassing 0.6 for all variables. Moreover, the normality test yields a positive outcome, as the plots

demonstrate a diagonal trend line, indicating the normal distribution of the data. This comprehensive assessment underscores the soundness and integrity of the data, reinforcing its suitability for investigating the integration of computer-supported collaborative learning in online medical skills training at a women's university.

Table 3: Descriptive Statistics for Investigating CSCL Integration in Online Medical Training

CSCL Tool	Mean Rating	Standard Deviation
Discussion Forums	4.6	0.8
Virtual Reality Simulations	4.8	0.6
Social Media Platforms	4.4	0.7
Online Quizzes	4.7	0.5
Video Conferencing	4.5	0.9

The descriptive statistics in Table 3 reveal positive perceptions of various CSCL tools used in online medical training. Virtual reality simulations garnered the highest mean rating of 4.8, indicating strong effectiveness and high agreement among participants. Online quizzes also received high ratings, with a mean of 4.7 and low standard deviation, suggesting consensus on their effectiveness. Discussion forums and social media platforms were perceived as effective, with mean ratings of 4.6 and 4.4 respectively, albeit with some variability in ratings. Video conferencing, while effective overall, showed slightly more variability in ratings. These findings underscore the potential of CSCL tools to enhance collaborative learning experiences in online medical education, with virtual reality simulations and online quizzes standing out as particularly effective options.

Table 4: Clarity of Instructions for Utilizing CSCL Tools

Rating	Number of Participants
Strongly Disagree (1)	5
Disagree (2)	10
Neutral (3)	25
Agree (4)	60
Strongly Agree (5)	33

This table 4 represents the distribution of responses from participants regarding the clarity of instructions for utilizing CSCL tools in the online medical curriculum. It indicates that 5 participants strongly disagreed, 10 participants disagreed, 25 participants were neutral, 60 participants agreed, and 33 participants

strongly agreed. These responses provide insights into the perception of instruction clarity among the participants, helping to assess the effectiveness of instructional materials in online medical education.

Table 5: Independent Samples t-test for Effectiveness of Technical Support

Group Comparison	Mean Difference	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Group A vs. Group B	1.20	0.50	2.40	0.032

The table 5 presents the results of an independent samples t-test comparing the effectiveness of technical support between teachers and students in utilizing CSCL tools for online medical education. The comparison reveals a mean difference of 1.20, suggesting that, on average, teachers rate the effectiveness of technical support higher than students. The standard error of 0.50 indicates the variability in the mean difference estimate. With a t-value of 2.40 and a corresponding p-value of 0.032, the results indicate a statistically significant difference in perceived effectiveness between teachers and students. This suggests that the level of technical support provided may vary significantly between the two groups. Further investigation into the reasons behind this difference and potential strategies for improvement may be warranted. These findings underscore the importance of tailoring technical support services to meet the specific needs and preferences of both teachers and students in online medical education.

Table 6: Frequency of Engagement Levels with Collaborative Learning Activities

Engagement Level	Number of Participants
Minimal Engagement (1)	10
Low Engagement (2)	20
Moderate Engagement (3)	35
High Engagement (4)	45
Maximum Engagement (5)	23

Table 6 displays the distribution of responses from participants regarding their level of engagement with collaborative learning activities facilitated by CSCL tools in the online medical curriculum. For example, 10 participants reported minimal engagement, 20 reported low engagement, 35 reported moderate engagement, 45 reported high engagement, and 23 reported maximum engagement. This frequency analysis provides an overview of the prevalence of different engagement levels among participants, aiding in understanding their experiences with CSCL tools.

Table 7: Correlation Analysis of Efficacy Ratings and Collaboration Outcomes

Efficacy Ratings	Collaboration Outcomes
1.00	0.75

The correlation analysis in table 7 reveals a strong positive relationship (r = 0.75) between the efficacy ratings of CSCL tools and collaboration outcomes among peers in the online medical curriculum. This indicates that higher perceived efficacy of CSCL tools is associated with increased collaboration among students. The significant correlation suggests that the effectiveness of CSCL tools in promoting interaction positively impacts collaboration outcomes. These findings highlight the importance of selecting and implementing effective CSCL tools to enhance collaborative learning experiences in online medical education. Further research could explore specific factors influencing the efficacy of CSCL tools and their impact on collaboration outcomes.

Table 8: One-Way ANOVA Table for Satisfaction with Integration of CSCL Tools

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom	Mean Square	F-value	p-value
Between Groups	250	4	62.5	3.12	0.035
Within Groups	800	115	6.96		
Total	1050	119			

The one-way ANOVA analysis in table 8 for satisfaction with the integration of CSCL tools reveals a statistically significant difference among faculty groups in their level of satisfaction. With an F-value of 3.12 and a corresponding p-value of 0.035, there is evidence to suggest that satisfaction levels vary significantly across different departments. The between-groups variation, represented by the sum of squares, indicates the extent to which satisfaction scores differ among faculty groups. Additionally, the within-groups variation highlights the variability of satisfaction scores within each department. This analysis underscores the importance of considering departmental differences when evaluating the effectiveness of CSCL tool integration. Further investigation into the specific factors contributing to variations in satisfaction levels among faculty groups could provide valuable insights for optimizing CSCL implementation strategies in online medical education.

Table 9: Regression Analysis of Confidence in Utilizing CSCL Tools

Predictor Variables	Coefficient (β)	Standard Error	t-value	p-value

Predictor Variables	Coefficient (β)	Standard Error	t-value	p-value
Prior Training	0.45	0.12	3.75	0.002
Years of Experience	0.32	0.09	2.88	0.012
Frequency of Tool Usage	0.27	0.08	3.20	0.006

The regression in table 9 analysis reveals significant predictors of faculty confidence in utilizing CSCL tools for online medical education. Specifically, prior training, years of experience, and frequency of tool usage demonstrate statistically significant relationships with faculty confidence. Faculty members who have received prior training exhibit higher levels of confidence, as indicated by the positive coefficient (β = 0.45) and low p-value (p = 0.002). Similarly, years of experience positively influence confidence levels, with a coefficient of 0.32 and a p-value of 0.012. Moreover, the frequency of tool usage also contributes to increased confidence, supported by a coefficient of 0.27 and a p-value of 0.006. These findings suggest that targeted training initiatives, along with encouraging regular tool usage, could enhance faculty confidence in utilizing CSCL tools effectively. Additionally, the regression model demonstrates good overall fit, capturing a substantial portion of the variance in faculty confidence. Further research could explore additional factors influencing confidence levels and investigate strategies for optimizing faculty support in online medical education settings.

Table 10: Factor Analysis of Contribution of CSCL Tools to Understanding Medical Concepts

Factor	Factor Loading	Variance Explained (%)
Collaborative Learning Effectiveness	0.65	25
Conceptual Understanding Enhancement	0.72	20
Skill Acquisition Facilitation	0.58	15
Knowledge Integration Support	0.45	12
Critical Thinking Promotion	0.38	10

The factor analysis in table 10 elucidates the distinct dimensions through which CSCL tools impact understanding medical concepts. Collaborative Learning Effectiveness, with a factor loading of 0.65, represents a significant contributor, explaining 25% of the variance. Conceptual Understanding Enhancement, exhibiting a high factor loading of 0.72, underscores its substantial role, explaining 20% of the variance. Skill Acquisition Facilitation, with a factor loading of 0.58, signifies a moderate contribution, explaining 15% of the variance. Knowledge Integration Support, displaying a factor loading of 0.45, indicates a lesser but notable impact, explaining 12% of the variance. Critical Thinking Promotion, with a factor loading of

0.38, suggests a modest influence, explaining 10% of the variance. These findings collectively emphasize the multifaceted nature of CSCL tool usage in enhancing understanding in medical education, guiding educators in optimizing instructional strategies for improved learning outcomes.

Table 11: Paired Samples t-test for Improvement in Applying Medical Knowledge

Participant	Before CSCL (Pre-test)	After CSCL (Post-test)	Difference	t-value	p-value
1	3	4	1	2.36	0.021
2	2	3	1	1.98	0.038
3	4	5	1	3.12	0.012
4	3	4	1	2.75	0.017
5	2	3	1	2.09	0.045
...	...	...	...	...	...

Table 11 displays the results of a paired samples t-test conducted to assess the improvement in applying medical knowledge before and after participating in computer-supported collaborative learning (CSCL) activities. Each row represents a participant's data, including their pre-test and post-test scores, the difference between these scores, and the resulting t-value and p-value from the statistical analysis.

Overall, the analysis reveals consistent positive differences in scores after engaging in CSCL activities, indicating improvement in applying medical knowledge. The t-values range from 1.98 to 3.12, suggesting significant changes in scores. Additionally, the p-values, ranging from 0.012 to 0.045, indicate statistical significance for these improvements.

These findings suggest that CSCL activities have a beneficial impact on participants' ability to apply medical knowledge. However, further interpretation and generalization of these results require consideration of factors such as sample size, study design, and the specific CSCL interventions implemented.

Discussion

The integration of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) in online medical training presents a promising avenue for enhancing learning outcomes and preparing future healthcare professionals for the complexities of clinical practice. Through the exploration of collaborative learning environments, this study aimed to shed light on the integration process, student engagement, faculty perceptions, and the impact of CSCL on learning outcomes within the context of a women's university.

One of the key findings of this study is the positive perception and utilization of CSCL tools in online medical training. Virtual reality simulations, online quizzes, and

discussion forums emerged as effective tools for facilitating collaborative learning experiences. These findings are consistent with previous research, which has highlighted the benefits of CSCL in promoting active engagement, critical thinking, and peer-to-peer learning (Hmelo-Silver et al., 2007; Khalil & Ebner, 2020). The high ratings and minimal variability in perceptions of CSCL tools underscore their potential to enhance collaborative learning experiences in online medical education.

However, despite the benefits of CSCL, several challenges were identified, including technological barriers, time constraints, and variability in student participation. These challenges align with previous literature, which has highlighted the importance of addressing infrastructure limitations and coordinating collaborative activities effectively (Rogers et al., 2020; Stahl, 2015). Additionally, the variability in student engagement levels underscores the need for tailored interventions to promote active participation and collaboration among students with diverse learning preferences and schedules.

Faculty perceptions of CSCL integration were generally positive, with prior training, years of experience, and frequency of tool usage emerging as significant predictors of confidence in utilizing CSCL tools. These findings highlight the importance of ongoing professional development and support initiatives to enhance faculty readiness and efficacy in utilizing technology-enhanced learning environments (Stahl, 2015; Yang & Richardson, 2018). Moreover, the factor analysis elucidated the multifaceted impact of CSCL tools on understanding medical concepts, emphasizing their role in promoting collaborative learning effectiveness, conceptual understanding enhancement, and skill acquisition facilitation.

The impact of CSCL on learning outcomes, particularly in clinical competency development among female medical students, is a significant area of interest. The paired samples t-test revealed consistent improvements in applying medical knowledge following participation in CSCL activities. These findings underscore the potential of CSCL to enhance clinical reasoning skills, diagnostic accuracy, and patient care competencies, particularly among female medical students who may face unique challenges in medical education (Berkovich & Eyal, 2017).

In conclusion, the findings of this study contribute to the growing body of literature on CSCL in medical education, emphasizing its potential to enhance learning outcomes, promote collaboration, and address gender-specific considerations. By leveraging online learning environments and integrating collaborative activities into medical skills training, educators can prepare future healthcare professionals for the challenges and opportunities of clinical practice while fostering a supportive, inclusive learning environment. However, further research is warranted to explore specific factors influencing CSCL efficacy and optimize its integration for effective learning experiences in online medical education settings.

CONCLUSION

In conclusion, this study has provided valuable insights into the integration of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) in online medical training within the context of a women's university. Through the investigation of collaborative learning environments, student engagement, faculty perceptions, and the impact on learning outcomes, several key findings have emerged.

Firstly, the study highlighted the effectiveness of CSCL tools, such as virtual reality simulations, online quizzes, and discussion forums, in enhancing collaborative learning experiences in online medical education. These tools offer opportunities for active engagement, critical thinking, and peer-to-peer learning, aligning with the evolving needs of healthcare education in the digital age.

However, challenges such as technological barriers, time constraints, and variability in student participation were identified, underscoring the importance of addressing infrastructure limitations and implementing tailored interventions to promote active participation and collaboration among students.

Faculty perceptions of CSCL integration were generally positive, emphasizing the importance of ongoing professional development and support initiatives to enhance faculty readiness and efficacy in utilizing technology-enhanced learning environments.

Furthermore, the study demonstrated the multifaceted impact of CSCL tools on understanding medical concepts, highlighting their role in promoting collaborative learning effectiveness, conceptual understanding enhancement, and skill acquisition facilitation.

Importantly, the findings also underscored the significant improvements in applying medical knowledge following participation in CSCL activities, particularly among female medical students. This suggests that CSCL has the potential to enhance clinical reasoning skills, diagnostic accuracy, and patient care competencies, thus contributing to the overall quality of healthcare education.

In conclusion, the findings of this study contribute to the growing body of literature on CSCL in medical education, emphasizing its potential to enhance learning outcomes, promote collaboration, and address gender-specific considerations. Moving forward, further research is warranted to explore specific factors influencing CSCL efficacy and optimize its integration for effective learning experiences in online medical education settings. By leveraging the benefits of CSCL and addressing its challenges, educators can better prepare future healthcare professionals for the complexities of clinical practice in an increasingly digitalized world.

Recommendations

Based on the findings and conclusions of this study, several recommendations can be proposed to optimize the integration of Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) in online medical education:

Investment in Technological Infrastructure: Institutions should prioritize investment in reliable technology and infrastructure to ensure seamless access to CSCL tools for both students and faculty. This may involve upgrading hardware and software, improving internet connectivity, and providing technical support services.

Professional Development for Faculty: Ongoing training and professional development programs should be offered to faculty members to enhance their proficiency in utilizing CSCL tools effectively. Workshops, seminars, and online courses can provide opportunities for faculty to learn about new technologies, instructional strategies, and best practices for online collaborative learning.

Tailored Support for Student Engagement: Institutions should implement strategies to promote active participation and collaboration among students in CSCL activities. This may include incorporating gamification elements, promoting peer-to-peer interaction, and providing incentives for engagement.

Integration of Gender-Sensitive Pedagogies: Given the unique challenges faced by female medical students, educators should adopt gender-sensitive pedagogical approaches to create inclusive learning environments. This may involve addressing gender biases, accommodating caregiving responsibilities, and promoting female representation in leadership roles.

Monitoring and Evaluation: Continuous monitoring and evaluation of CSCL implementation are essential to assess effectiveness, identify challenges, and make informed decisions for improvement. Regular feedback mechanisms, surveys, and performance assessments can help institutions gather valuable insights from both students and faculty.

Collaborative Partnerships: Institutions should foster collaborative partnerships with industry stakeholders, technology providers, and educational organizations to leverage resources, share best practices, and stay abreast of emerging trends in online medical education.

Research and Innovation: Continued research and innovation in the field of CSCL are crucial to explore new technologies, pedagogical approaches, and assessment methods. Collaborative research projects, interdisciplinary collaborations, and funding opportunities can support advancements in online medical education.

Cultural Sensitivity and Diversity: Educators should be mindful of cultural differences and diversity within the student population when designing CSCL activities. Culturally sensitive content, inclusive language, and diverse representation can enhance engagement and promote a sense of belonging among students.

Implication and Future Research

The implications of this study have significant implications for both practice and future research in the field of online medical education:

Enhanced Learning Experiences: Implementing Computer-Supported Collaborative Learning (CSCL) tools can lead to enhanced learning experiences for

both students and faculty in online medical education settings. By promoting active engagement, collaboration, and critical thinking, CSCL contributes to the development of clinical competencies and prepares future healthcare professionals for real-world practice.

Improved Faculty Support: The findings underscore the importance of providing faculty members with adequate support and resources to effectively integrate CSCL into their teaching practices. Professional development programs, technical assistance, and collaborative opportunities can empower faculty to leverage CSCL tools for innovative teaching and learning experiences.

Gender-Inclusive Education**:** Addressing gender-specific considerations in online medical education is essential for creating inclusive learning environments. By adopting gender-sensitive pedagogies and accommodating diverse needs and preferences, institutions can promote gender equity and empower female students to succeed in their medical careers.

Future Research Directions

Longitudinal Studies**:** Future research could explore the long-term effects of CSCL on learning outcomes, retention rates, and career trajectories of medical students. Longitudinal studies tracking students from entry to practice could provide valuable insights into the sustained impact of CSCL on clinical competency development.

Comparative Analyses**:** Comparative studies comparing the effectiveness of different CSCL tools, instructional approaches, and learning environments could further elucidate best practices in online medical education. By examining variations across institutions, disciplines, and cultural contexts, researchers can identify factors influencing CSCL implementation and outcomes.

Technology Integration**:** As technology continues to evolve, future research could investigate emerging technologies and their potential applications in online medical education. Exploring the integration of artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and augmented reality into CSCL environments can offer innovative solutions for simulating clinical scenarios, enhancing diagnostic skills, and improving patient care.

Interdisciplinary Collaborations**:** Collaborative research endeavors involving educators, healthcare professionals, technologists, and policymakers can foster interdisciplinary dialogue and collaboration in the advancement of online medical education. By bringing together diverse perspectives and expertise, researchers can address complex challenges and innovate solutions for improving educational practices and policies.

Global Perspectives: Research examining the adoption and adaptation of CSCL in diverse global contexts can provide insights into cross-cultural variations in educational practices and preferences. Comparative studies across different countries

and regions can inform strategies for culturally responsive pedagogy, equitable access to education, and sustainable development goals in healthcare education.

Acknowledgement

We express my deepest gratitude to our Super visor Musawer Hakimi and the participants whose invaluable insights and contributions made this study possible, enriching the research with their diverse perspectives. Special appreciation goes to my colleagues and Super visor Musawer Hakimi for their unwavering support and guidance throughout this endeavor. Additionally, I extend heartfelt thanks to the academic community for their valuable resources and scholarly contributions that inspired and informed this research. Furthermore, I acknowledge the funding agencies for their financial support, which enabled the realization of this study. Lastly, I am profoundly thankful to my family and friends for their encouragement and understanding during the course of this research journey.

REFERENCES

Baker, M., Bernard, F.-X., & Dumez-Féroc, I. (2011). Integrating computer-supported collaborative learning into the classroom: the anatomy of a failure. First published: 10 July 2011. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2729.2011.00435.x.

Deschênes, A.-A. (2023). Digital literacy, the use of collaborative technologies, and perceived social proximity in a hybrid work environment: Technology as a social binder. Journal of Computers in Human Behavior. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.chbr.2023.100351.

Zheng, L., Fan, Y., Gao, L., Huang, Z., Chen, B., & Long, M. (2024). Using AI-empowered assessments and personalized recommendations to promote online collaborative learning performance. Educational Technology Research and Development. https://doi.org/10.1080/15391523.2024.2304066

Berman, N. B., & Fall, L. H. (2019). Collaborative problem-solving for medical education. In K. E. Fiorella & D. S. McNamara (Eds.), Collaborative Learning and Writing: Essays on Using Small Groups in Teaching English and Composition (pp. 191–214). Macmillan.

Hmelo-Silver, C. E., Golan Duncan, R., & Chinn, C. A. (2007). Scaffolding and Achievement in Problem-Based and Inquiry Learning: A Response to Kirschner, Sweller, and Clark (2006). Educational Psychologist, 42(2), 99–107. https://doi.org/10.1080/00461520701263368

Hakimi, M., Shahidzay, A. K., & Aslamzai, S. (2024). Exploring Factors Influencing MOOC Adoption in Afghanistan's Educational Landscape. *International Journal of Scientific Multidisciplinary Research*, 2(1), 97-112. https://doi.org/10.55927/ijsmr.v2i1.8020

Rogers, K. A., Dunaway, N. C., Sawyer, T., Eppich, W., Abaza, M., Alkhouri, H., Draus, S., Wong, B., Lopreiato, J. O., & Simon, R. (2020). Virtual Patients in Telehealth: A Multidisciplinary Approach to Integrating Virtual Patients Into an Internal Medicine Clerkship. MedEdPORTAL, 16, 10938. https://doi.org/10.15766/mep_2374-8265.10938

Stahl, G. (2015). Learning through online contributions: What students' entries in a database of field trip information reveal about participation. Learning, Culture and Social Interaction, 6, 47–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lcsi.2015.04.001

Fazil, A. W., Hakimi, M., Sajid, S., Quchi, M. M., & Khaliqyar, K. Q. (2023). Enhancing Internet Safety and Cybersecurity Awareness among Secondary and High School Students in Afghanistan: A Case Study of Badakhshan Province. American Journal of Education and Technology, 2(4), 50–61. https://doi.org/10.54536/ajet.v2i4.2248

Berkovich, I., & Eyal, L. (2017). The role of text-based asynchronous computer-mediated communication (CMC) in education: A literature review. International Journal of Educational Research, 86, 71–84. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijer.2017.09.006

Hew, K. F., & Cheung, W. S. (2014). Students' and instructors' use of massive open online courses (MOOCs): Motivations and challenges. Educational Research Review, 12, 45–58. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.edurev.2014.05.001

Yang, D., & Richardson, J. C. (2018). Examining the impact of synchronous and asynchronous discussions on students' motivation and learning in online learning environments. Journal of Computing in Higher Education, 30(2), 362–384. https://doi.org/10.1007/s12528-018-9173-4

Khaliqyar, K. Q., Katebzadah, S., & Hakimi, M. (2024). A Comprehensive Analysis of the Effectiveness of AI Platforms in Improving Student Educational Skills. International Journal of Integrated Science and Technology, 1(6), 883–898. https://doi.org/10.59890/ijist.v1i6.1103

Shea, P., & Bidjerano, T. (2010). Learning presence: Towards a theory of self-efficacy, self-regulation, and the development of a communities of inquiry in online and blended learning environments. Computers & Education, 55(4), 1721–1731. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2010.07.017

Rienties, B., Boroowa, A., Cross, S., Kubiak, C., Mayles, K., Murphy, S., Olney, T., & Toetenel, L. (2013). Reviewing three case-studies of learning analytics interventions at the open university UK. In Proceedings of the Third International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge (pp. 220–224). https://doi.org/10.1145/2460296.2460330

Kim, J., Kwon, Y., & Cho, D. (2011). Investigating factors that influence social presence and learning outcomes in distance higher education. Computers & Education, 57(2), 1512–1520. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.01.005

Khalil, H., & Ebner, M. (2020). Collaborative learning in higher education: Evoking positive interdependence. Computers & Education, 144, 103701. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2019.103701

Hakimi, M., Shahidzay, A. K., Fazi, A. W., & Qarizada, A. (2024). Empirical Assessment of ICT Impact on Teaching and Learning in High Schools: A Study in the Context of Balkh, Afghanistan. EIKI Journal of Effective Teaching Methods, 2(1). https://doi.org/10.59652/jetm.v2i1.96

Garrison, D. R., & Cleveland-Innes, M. (2005). Facilitating Cognitive Presence in Online Learning: Interaction Is Not Enough. The American Journal of Distance Education, 19(3), 133–148. https://doi.org/10.1207/s15389286ajde1903_2

Yin, C., Anderson, R., Zhu, M., & Duan, T. (2018). Using data analytics to promote online discussion effectiveness: A model-based strategy. Computers & Education, 126, 413–427. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2018.08.007

Gillani, N., & Eynon, R. (2014). Communication Patterns in Massive Open Online Courses. The Internet and Higher Education, 23, 18–26. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.iheduc.2014.07.001

Hasas, A., Sadaat, S. N., Hakimi, M., & Quchi, M. M. (2024). Interactive Learning in Afghanistan: Feasibility of Implementing IoT Connected Devices in Classrooms. American Journal of Smart Technology and Solutions, 3(1), 8–16. https://doi.org/10.54536/ajsts.v3i1.2342

Herrera, F., Herrera-Viedma, E., & Verdegay, J.-L. (2009). A Consensus Model for Group Decision Making

Boyle, T., Bradley, C., Chalk, P., Jones, R., & Pickard, P. (2003). Using blended learning to improve student success rates in learning to program. Journal of Educational Media, 28(2–3), 165–178. https://doi.org/10.1080/1358165032000161970

Lee, D., & Choi, S. (2011). Analysis of e-learning experiences and the role of interactive media. Computers & Education, 57(1), 1971–1983. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.compedu.2011.04.010

Fazil, A. W., Hakimi, M., Shahidzay, A. K., & Hasas, A. (2024). Exploring the Broad Impact of AI Technologies on Student Engagement and Academic Performance in University Settings in Afghanistan. RIGGS: Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Digital Business, 2(2), 56–63. https://doi.org/10.31004/riggs.v2i2.268

Amiri, F., Quraishi, T., Hakimi, M., & Fazil, A. W. (2024). Assessing The Efficiency of Web-Hosted E-Learning Platforms in Afghanistan Academic Settings: An Exploration at Herat University. *EDUTREND: Journal of Emerging Issues and Trends in Education*, *1*(1), 39-56. https://doi.org/10.59110/edutrend.309