IMPLEMENTING RISK MANAGEMENT IN EDUCATIONAL INSTITUTIONS: APPROACHES AND BEST PRACTICES

Jemmy *
Universitas Budi Luhur, Indonesia
2431700075@student.budiluhur.ac.id

Selamet Riyadi
Universitas Budi Luhur, Indonesia
dekan.feb@budiluhur.ac.id

Abstract

This study examines the implementation of risk management in educational institutions, focusing on approaches and best practices to enhance risk preparedness and response. Risk management in education involves systematically identifying, assessing, and addressing potential threats that could disrupt institutional operations. Through an extensive literature review, this research highlights key strategies, such as regular risk assessments, staff training, technological integration, stakeholder involvement, and comprehensive policy development. These approaches have proven effective in strengthening institutional resilience, improving operational efficiency, and enhancing disaster preparedness. The findings indicate that adopting robust risk management frameworks significantly enhances safety, operational continuity, and disaster readiness in educational institutions. Additionally, the study underscores the importance of stakeholder participation and the development of clear, comprehensive policies. The practical implications include improving safety and operational performance, while the theoretical contributions advance cohesive and adaptive risk management frameworks. Future research could focus on longitudinal studies to evaluate the long-term impact of these strategies, comparative analyses across different types of institutions, and further exploration of technology's role in risk management. By recognizing the importance of risk management and adopting best practices, educational institutions can better prepare for future challenges, providing a safer and more effective learning environment for all stakeholders.

Keywords: Risk Management, Educational Institutions, Best Approaches, Best Practices

INTRODUCTION

In today's dynamic landscape, characterized by rapid technological advancements and globalization, educational institutions are facing a complex and evolving risk environment. The COVID-19 pandemic exposed institutional vulnerabilities to disruptions, highlighting the urgent need for robust risk management frameworks to ensure learning continuity and resilience (Suriyadi & Azmi, 2022). While risk management is gaining recognition as a crucial element for ensuring operational sustainability, safeguarding reputation, and protecting the safety of all stakeholders—including students, faculty, staff, management, and parents (Harthi et al., 2024)—its application within the education sector, particularly in private institutions, remains relatively nascent compared to its widespread adoption in the business world. This lag in embracing proactive risk management practices is concerning, especially given the potential impact of various risks—such as financial instability, regulatory changes, and rapid technological innovation—on the quality of education (Galiutinova & Pervushina, 2021). Public institutions often face unique challenges related to funding constraints and bureaucratic processes, which can limit their ability to implement timely risk management measures. In contrast, private institutions may prioritize reputational risks and market competition, which demand a different set of strategies to ensure their long-term sustainability. These institutions typically have more flexibility in decision-making but may also struggle with limited financial resources, making it difficult to adopt comprehensive risk management frameworks without external support or significant investment.

However, evidence from countries like Singapore and South Korea demonstrates the significant benefits of implementing risk management strategies in educational settings. Studies highlight how educational risk management empowers private organizations to identify and mitigate operational, financial, and social risks that could hinder the quality of education (Setiawan et al., 2022). A compelling example is South Korea's Ministry of Education, which implemented a risk management model prioritizing investment in educational technology and teacher training, resulting in a 15% increase in learning quality (OECD, 2020).

In contrast, many countries, including Indonesia, are still catching up in adopting such preventive measures, particularly in managing risks within private schools that often operate with limited resources. This is further complicated by the increasingly competitive landscape in the Indonesian education sector (Jemmy et al., 2023), and the Ministry of Education, Culture,

Research, and Technology (2021) reported a decline in student enrolments of up to 30% in some private schools over the past five years, indicating challenges in attracting students amidst growing competition (Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, 2021). This heightened competition is evident in cities like Jakarta and Tangerang, where the proliferation of new schools has intensified the struggle for survival among private educational institutions. Not all private schools can withstand this pressure. BPS (2022) data reveals that out of 50 schools established in Jakarta between 2015 and 2020, 10 experienced closure or downsizing due to an inability to compete (BPS, 2022).

These challenges resonate with Poter & Tawell (2024) study on risk management in UK secondary schools, which found that institutions proactively managing curriculum, workforce, and financial risks were more likely to achieve higher graduation rates and maintain stable resources (Porter & Tawell, 2024). While promising initiatives exist in Indonesia, such as the adoption of values-based risk management in faith-based schools (Ekaningsih et al., 2022) and the recognition of the importance of infrastructure and public relations in risk mitigation (Nailan, 2023), the overall implementation of comprehensive risk management in primary and secondary education remains limited.

This gap necessitates a deeper understanding of how diverse institutional characteristics—including public versus private status, size, and technological capacity—influence risk profiles and necessitate tailored management strategies. Public institutions, for example, may grapple with funding constraints and bureaucratic processes, while private institutions might prioritize reputational risks and market competition (Musakirawati et al., 2023). Larger institutions might have dedicated risk management departments and resources, whereas smaller institutions may rely on ad-hoc approaches or the expertise of individual administrators.

Furthermore, the specific risks faced by educational institutions are diverse and require targeted mitigation strategies. Physical security remains paramount, demanding that institutions address potential threats such as natural disasters, fires, and violence (Bakhtiyarov et al., 2023). Effective risk management enables institutions to proactively identify these threats and implement mitigation measures, such as evacuation training, enhanced security protocols, occupational health and safety regulations, and improved security facilities, to create a safer and more conducive learning environment.

Financial risks also require careful attention, as highlighted by Yatsenko (2022), who emphasizes the financial pressures faced by many educational

institutions due to high operational costs and the need to improve facilities and educational quality (Yatsenko, 2022). Sound financial planning, informed by a comprehensive risk assessment, is essential for ensuring operational continuity and maintaining educational quality (Makarov & Leshchev, 2023). This includes managing risks stemming from fluctuations in enrolment, delays in payments from parents, or reductions in financial support from the government or sponsors. With careful financial planning, institutions can ensure operational continuity without having to sacrifice the quality of education provided.

In addition, the increasing reliance on technology in education necessitates a proactive approach to managing cyber risks. Cyber threats, data breaches, and data loss can significantly disrupt institutional operations and compromise sensitive information (Guaña-Moya et al., 2024). Therefore, incorporating cybersecurity and data protection measures into the risk management framework is crucial. Implementation of strict security policies, use of antivirus software, as well as regular training for staff regarding information security awareness can be some effective mitigation measures.

Technological developments have brought significant changes in the way educational institutions operate and provide services. The use of digital technology in education, such as e-learning, educational applications, and online learning platforms, has opened up new opportunities in delivering teaching materials and facilitating communication between students and teachers (Pentcak et al., 2022). Adapting to this technology enables more flexible learning and broader accessibility, while overcoming geographical and time constraints. (Costa et al., 2024). However, adopting this technology also requires significant investment and training, and demands adequate technological capabilities from all stakeholders.

Meanwhile, globalization brings new challenges in terms of adjusting curricula and educational standards. Educational institutions are required to prepare students to be ready to compete at the global level with 21st century skills such as critical thinking, creativity, collaboration and digital literacy. Curricula that were previously static must now be dynamic and adaptive, paying attention to international trends and the needs of the global job market (Surikov, 2020). This adjustment process can be a big challenge, especially for institutions that have limited resources to carry out comprehensive curriculum reform.

Apart from that, the problem of educational inequality is still a challenge that needs to be found a solution. In many countries, access to

quality education is still a privilege for a small portion of society. Economic, social and geographical factors often become obstacles for some people to get a decent education. Educational institutions must work together with the government and non-profit organizations to expand access to education through scholarships, inclusion programs, and developing facilities in remote areas. Addressing this gap is important to ensure that all individuals have equal opportunities to develop and reach their maximum potential (Ederio, 2023).

In conclusion, addressing risk management in educational institutions is not just a matter of operational sustainability; it is essential for safeguarding the future of education itself. The increasing complexity of risks, from financial instability to cyber threats and evolving technological demands, requires a proactive and adaptive approach. As demonstrated by case studies from South Korea and Singapore, implementing robust risk management frameworks can significantly enhance educational outcomes and institutional resilience. Yet, in many regions, including Indonesia, the application of these practices remains limited, particularly in private institutions. This article contributes to the growing body of knowledge by exploring practical approaches and best practices that can help institutions of varying sizes and characteristics to not only survive but thrive in an increasingly competitive and uncertain environment. By doing so, it provides a much-needed roadmap for educational leaders aiming to secure the quality of education while protecting all stakeholders.

RESEARCH METHOD

This study employs a qualitative approach grounded in an extensive review of relevant literature, including peer-reviewed journals, books, and gray literature (Earley, 2014; Snyder, 2019). By focusing on empirical studies, theoretical frameworks, and case studies on risk management in various educational settings across Indonesia, this review analyzes how successful institutions identify, assess, and mitigate risks in areas such as finance, safety, technology, human resources, and educational inequality. The case studies from South Korea and Singapore were selected for their well-documented success in risk management, providing insights that can be adapted to Indonesia's educational context. Data are collected through keyword searches in electronic databases and snowball sampling (Creswell & Poth, 2024). Thematic analysis (Braun & Clarke, 2006) is used to process the data, resulting in a synthesized practical framework that educational leaders across Indonesia

can adapt to enhance institutional resilience and foster a safe, equitable learning environment conducive to delivering quality education.

RESULT AND DISCUSSION
Basic Concepts of Risk Management in Educational Institutions

The evolution of the term "risk" offers a fascinating lens through which to examine the interplay between language, history, and human perception. Modern dictionaries define risk as the potential for loss or injury, yet this belies a rich history rooted in the Italian "*risco*" which suggests an early association with fate and providence. Initially, risk was perceived as an external, uncontrollable force, a notion reinforced by ancient civilizations that attributed misfortunes to fate (*fatum*) or chance (*tyche*). However, this understanding shifted in the mid-16th century with the rise of maritime exploration. At that time, "risk" was adopted from a Spanish nautical term referring to navigational hazards, thus linking it not only to physical danger but also to the financial uncertainties of venturing into the unknown (Vicente et al., 2023). This era also saw the development of early risk management practices, such as maritime insurance, reflecting a shift from passive acceptance to active mitigation.

Bruckner et al. (2001), as cited by Hosseinzadehdastak and Underdown (2012), define risk management as the systematic process of employing strategies and resources to identify and mitigate potential threats to an organization (Hosseinzadehdastak & Underdown, 2012). These threats, commonly referred to as risks, encompass any circumstance or event that could impede the achievement of organizational goals and objectives. In essence, risks represent potential future problems that, if left unaddressed, may have detrimental consequences. Effective risk management involves the proactive assessment and analysis of such risks, enabling organizations to minimize their negative impact and enhance the likelihood of success.

Risk management, as a systematic process, is crucial for identifying, evaluating and controlling potential threats to an organization's assets and operation. The primary objective is to mitigate the negative impact of potential risks while capitalizing on emerging opportunities (Darwiesh et al., 2022). This process involves a series of interconnected steps, including identifying and assessing risks based on their likelihood and impact, and developing strategies to mitigate or eliminate those risks.

In the context of educational institutions, risk management covers a wide spectrum, including financial, operational, strategic, legal, and

reputational risks (Galiutinova & Pervushina, 2021). Financial risk management may include strategies to ensure financial health and stability, such as managing credit, liquidity, and market risks, particularly crucial in response to declining student enrollment (Kungwani, 2014). Operational risk management focuses on mitigating disruptions to daily activities, including those arising from IT system failures, natural disasters, or the introduction of new pedagogical approaches under an independent curriculum. Strategic risk management addresses long-term decisions that affect the institution's overall direction, such as adapting to the evolving educational landscape and student needs. Legal and reputational risk management emphasizes compliance with regulations and maintaining a positive public image, especially critical during periods of curricular reform.

An effective risk management process requires a comprehensive and sustainable framework. This framework should include clear policies and procedures, well-defined responsibilities for all stakeholders, and the utilization of tools and technology to effectively monitor and report risks. Implementing risk management must be a collaborative effort across all organizational levels—from top management to frontline staff—to ensure that potential risks are identified and managed proactively (Bandyopadhyay, 2022).

In educational institutions, risk management requires careful consideration of various dimensions. The strategic dimension focuses on risks that could hinder the achievement of long-term goals, impacting the institution's sustainability and future growth (Suray et al., 2019). This is particularly relevant when implementing new curricula, such as the independent curriculum, and addressing potential enrollment declines. The operational dimension centers on risks that affect the efficiency and effectiveness of day-to-day operations, including those related to teacher training, resource allocation, and student engagement. Financial, legal, and reputational dimensions are also critical, as they influence the institution's financial stability, legal compliance, and public image, all of which can be affected by curriculum changes and student demographics.

The risk management process involves several key components that work synergistically. The first component is risk identification, which involves identifying potential risks across all dimensions, both internally and externally. This includes identifying risks associated with the implementation of the independent curriculum, such as teacher preparedness and resource availability, as well as risks related to declining student enrollment and

financial sustainability. After identification, a risk assessment or analysis is conducted to determine the likelihood and potential impact of each risk, often employing both qualitative and quantitative methods to provide a comprehensive understanding of risk severity (Takeuchi, 2022).

Another critical component is risk control, which involves implementing strategies to address the identified risks. These strategies may include implementing internal controls, transferring risks through insurance, or accepting risks and preparing financial reserves (Schmidt, 2022). Continuous monitoring and review are essential to ensure the effectiveness of risk management measures, allowing for adjustments when necessary and ensuring that the process adapts to the changing internal and external environments.

By integrating these fundamental concepts with best practices, educational institutions in Indonesia can develop comprehensive risk management strategies. This includes adopting frameworks like ISO 31000 to identify and prioritize risks, incorporating elements of the COSO Enterprise Risk Management Framework for strategic alignment and collaboration, and fostering a culture of risk awareness through training and technology adoption. By addressing diverse risks—including those related to digital systems, natural disasters, reputational concerns, independent curriculum implementation, and student attrition—schools can create a safer, more stable, and resilient learning environment for all.

This discussion serves as a foundation for further analysis and recommendations on implementing risk management in educational institutions. Subsequent sections will delve deeper into specific aspects of risk management, including the effectiveness of different frameworks, the role of technology in risk mitigation, and the impact of risk management on educational outcomes.

Risk Management Approaches in Educational Institutions: A Framework for Enhancing Resilience in K-12 Schools

Effective risk management is essential for educational institutions to thrive in an increasingly complex and uncertain world. K-12 schools, in particular, face a multitude of challenges that can disrupt operations, jeopardize financial stability, and compromise the safety and well-being of students and staff (Evans et al., 2020). These challenges range from internal issues, such as student behavior and safety, to external factors, such as natural disasters, public health crises, and evolving government policies. A

robust risk management framework, aligned with the principles of ISO 31000, is crucial for K-12 schools to proactively address these challenges and ensure the continuity of quality education.

Implementing a comprehensive risk management approach involves a structured and systematic process. The first step is to establish the context by defining the school's internal and external environments, including its mission, values, and strategic objectives. This process includes a thorough assessment of risks, such as student health and safety, financial stability, curriculum quality, and compliance with accreditation standards (Siddiquei, 2023). After identifying risks, schools must analyze the likelihood and potential impact of these risks, utilizing both qualitative methods, such as surveys and interviews with stakeholders, and quantitative methods, like statistical analysis (Safarova, 2024). This evaluation helps prioritize risks and informs the development of appropriate mitigation strategies.

Once risks are identified and prioritized, appropriate risk treatment strategies must be developed and implemented. These strategies may include avoiding the risk altogether, mitigating its impact, transferring the risk to another party, or accepting the risk if it falls within the school's tolerance level (Sulastri & Nugraha, 2024). Mitigation strategies may also involve enhancing safety programs, improving teaching quality through professional development, and diversifying income sources to ensure financial stability.

Effective risk management in K-12 schools requires ongoing monitoring and review. The risk landscape is dynamic, with new risks potentially emerging and existing risks evolving over time. Therefore, schools must continuously assess the effectiveness of their risk management strategies and make necessary adjustments (Zahav & Hazzan, 2017). This can be achieved through regular internal audits, management reviews, and external evaluations. Building a culture of risk awareness is also essential. All stakeholders—including students, parents, teachers, and staff—must understand the importance of risk management and their roles in identifying and reporting potential hazards. Transparent communication with stakeholders and collaboration with external parties, such as government agencies and risk management experts, can further enhance the effectiveness of risk mitigation efforts.

By integrating ISO 31000 into their management practices, K-12 schools can enhance their resilience and responsiveness to educational challenges and policy changes. This proactive approach enables schools to anticipate and mitigate potential disruptions, ensuring a safe and conducive learning

environment for all students. Additionally, collaboration with external organizations through joint research, consultations, and training provides valuable insights and updates to improve risk management practices, making them more adaptive to changes in the educational environment (Alijoyo, 2021).

A sound risk management framework also requires continuous evaluation and adjustment. As new risks may emerge, and existing risks may change, institutions must consistently evaluate the effectiveness of their mitigation strategies and remain prepared to make necessary adjustments. This ongoing evaluation can be conducted through internal audits, annual reviews, or by forming a dedicated team tasked with continuously assessing and updating the risk management process.

This comprehensive approach to risk management enables K-12 schools to not only identify and mitigate potential threats but also seize opportunities and enhance overall resilience. By fostering a culture of risk awareness and continuously evaluating and adjusting strategies, schools can proactively navigate the dynamic educational landscape. This includes effectively responding to evolving safety concerns, integrating new technologies, adapting to curriculum reforms, and managing the implications of changing government policies. Ultimately, a robust risk management framework empowers K-12 schools to create a safe and supportive learning environment where all students can thrive and reach their full potential.

Best Practices in Educational Institutions

Educational institutions worldwide increasingly recognize the need to adopt best practices to enhance both the learning experience and outcomes for their students. This involves implementing innovative approaches and strategies across various aspects of education, from curriculum design and technology integration to student support and assessment methods. Below are key best practices observed in successful educational institutions, tied to measurable outcomes:

1. **Leveraging Technology for Enhanced Learning**
   The integration of modern technology is a hallmark of leading educational institutions. E-learning platforms, classroom management applications, and adaptive learning software are used to foster student engagement and facilitate effective teaching processes. Studies highlight that institutions adopting these technologies see increased student engagement, which correlates with improved academic performance and

retention rates (Costa et al., 2024). Cloud-based learning systems, for instance, enable ubiquitous access to educational materials, promoting flexibility and self-paced learning, which has been linked to higher graduation rates and better course completion outcomes (Zahav & Hazzan, 2017).

2. **Fostering Inclusive Learning Environments**
   Leading educational institutions prioritize inclusivity and diversity by ensuring that the needs of all learners are met. This commitment to inclusivity has been shown to reduce dropout rates and improve academic outcomes for students from diverse backgrounds (Galiutinova & Pervushina, 2021). Individualized education plans (IEPs) and comprehensive support services have a direct impact on student achievement, promoting both academic success and personal growth, which can be measured through improved test scores and student satisfaction surveys.

3. **Building Strong Industry Partnerships**
   Collaboration with industry provides students with real-world learning experiences, directly improving employability outcomes post-graduation. Studies show that students involved in internships and apprenticeships demonstrate higher job placement rates and career readiness (Mebert et al., 2020). Institutions that foster such partnerships report measurable improvements in student skill development and workplace preparedness, which are reflected in better graduate employment statistics and career progression metrics.

4. **Prioritizing Student Well-being**
   Recognizing the critical role of mental and emotional well-being in academic success, institutions increasingly focus on mental health support. Research has linked strong mental health programs to better academic performance, with students benefiting from counseling and wellness initiatives often achieving higher grades and improved retention (Sulkowski & Lazarus, 2016). The positive impact of well-being initiatives is also reflected in lower dropout rates and enhanced overall student satisfaction, as documented in institutional performance metrics.

5. **Implementing Competency-Based Curricula**
   A shift toward competency-based education allows students to progress based on mastery of skills, resulting in faster graduation times and improved readiness for the workforce (Evans et al., 2020). Studies show that this approach enhances students' ability to apply knowledge in

practical settings, leading to higher employability and improved job performance post-graduation, which can be measured through job placement rates and employer satisfaction.

6. **Investing in Teacher Development**

   Continuous professional development for educators leads to measurable improvements in teaching quality and student outcomes. Research demonstrates that institutions that invest in teacher training see increased student achievement and engagement, as well as higher teacher retention rates (Rajendran et al., 2023).). Teacher development is directly linked to enhanced student performance on standardized tests and improved graduation rates.

7. **Cultivating Safe and Supportive Learning Environments**

   Creating a safe and supportive learning environment is crucial for academic success. Schools that prioritize safety, clear policies against bullying, and supportive community initiatives report higher student satisfaction and lower incidents of violence or misconduct (Sulkowski & Lazarus, 2016). These improvements can be quantitatively measured through student surveys, disciplinary reports, and overall academic performance metrics, such as test scores and graduation rates.

8. **Ensuring Fair and Transparent Assessment**

   Fair and transparent assessment methods, such as project-based assessments and clear grading criteria, lead to better student understanding and academic performance (Tai et al., 2022). Institutions that adopt diverse assessment strategies report improved student satisfaction and engagement, reflected in higher course completion rates and more accurate assessments of student abilities (Musakirawati et al., 2023). Fair assessments contribute to reducing disparities in student outcomes, as evidenced by more consistent performance across different student groups.

CONCLUSION

The research demonstrates that effective risk management in educational institutions is critical to ensuring long-term sustainability and enhancing educational outcomes. Institutions that adopt comprehensive frameworks, such as ISO 31000, can proactively address various risks, including technological disruptions, financial instability, and operational challenges. The case studies from Singapore and South Korea show the positive impacts of investing in risk management on educational quality. For

institutions in developing regions, especially Indonesia, further adoption of risk management frameworks is necessary to mitigate market competition and financial challenges. Continuous monitoring and stakeholder collaboration are essential to ensure the success of these strategies.

Further research should explore the long-term impacts of risk management strategies on educational quality in different contexts, particularly in resource-constrained environments. Comparative studies between public and private institutions in various countries would provide deeper insights into how different institutional characteristics affect risk management efficacy. Additionally, investigating the role of emerging technologies, such as artificial intelligence, in enhancing risk management in educational settings could offer valuable perspectives on future trends.

REFERENCES

Alijoyo, A. (2021). The Role of Enterprise Risk Management (ERM) Using ISO 31000 for the Competitiveness of a Company That Adopts the Value Chain (VC) Model and Life Cycle Cost (LCC) Approach. *3rd International Conference on Business, Management and Finance*, 127–151. https://doi.org/10.33422/3rd.icbmf.2021.03.130

Bakhtiyarov, B., Bakhtiyarov, V. M. B., & Mammadov, V. (2023). Risk Management In Information Exchange. *PAHTEI-Procedings of Azerbaijan High Technical Educational Institutions*, 35(12), 35–44. https://doi.org/10.36962/PAHTEI35122023-35

BPS. (2022). *Statistik Pendidikan 2022* (R. Sinang & I. Maylasari (eds.)). BPS RI.

Braun, V., & Clarke, V. (2006). Using Thematic Analysis In Psychology. *Qualitative Research In Psychology*, 3(2), 77–101. https://doi.org/10.1191/1478088706qp063oa

Costa, M. de C. da, Olinda, A. L. S., & Santos, A. P. dos. (2024). Digital Technologies in Education: Challenges and Opportunities for Teaching and Learning. *VI Seven International Multidisciplinary Congress*, 1–10. https://doi.org/10.56238/sevenVImulti2024-019

Creswell, J. W., & Poth, C. N. (2024). *Qualitative Inquiry and Research Design: Choosing Among Five Approaches* (5th Editio). SAGE Publications, Inc.

Darwiesh, A., El-Baz, A. H., Tarabia, A. M. K., & Elhoseny, M. (2022). Business Intelligence for Risk Management: A Review. *American Journal of Business and Operations Research (AJBOR)*, 6(2), 16–27. https://doi.org/10.54216/AJBOR.060202

Earley, M. A. (2014). A Synthesis Of The Literature on Research Methods Education. *Teaching in Higher Education*, 19(3), 242–253. https://doi.org/10.1080/13562517.2013.860105

Ekaningsih, L. A. F., Salim, U., Djumahir, D., & Indrawati, N. (2022). Risk

Management Concept Development of Pondok Pesantren Educational Institutions. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary Applied Business and Education Research*, *3*(2), 139–144. https://doi.org/10.11594/ijmaber.03.02.01

Evans, C. M., Landl, E., & Thompson, J. (2020). Making Sense Of K-12 Competency-Based Education: A Systematic Literature Review Of Implementation And Outcomes Research From 2000 to 2019. *The Journal of Competency-Based Education*, *5*(4), 1–28. https://doi.org/10.1002/cbe2.1228

Galiutinova, E. I., & Pervushina, T. L. (2021). Educational Institution Risk Management Model. *International Conference on Economic and Social Trends for Sustainability of Modern Society (ICIEST 2021)*, 2061–2069. https://doi.org/10.15405/epsbs.2021.09.02.232

Guaña-Moya, J., Salgado-Reyes, N., Arteaga-Alcívar, Y., & Espinosa-Cevallos, A. (2024). Importance of Cybersecurity Education to Reduce Risks in Academic Institutions. In J. Choudrie, E. Tuba, T. Perumal, & A. Joshi (Eds.), *ICT for Intelligent Systems* (pp. 31–40). Springer Nature Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-97-5799-2_4

Harthi, A. H. A. Al, Lubis, M. R. B. M. @ M. A., Murshed, A. A. M., Sulong, R. H. B. R., Sarif, S. Bin, Mamari, J. M. Z. Al, Mamari, S. S. A. Al, & Eisaei, M. S. A. (2024). Mapping Risk Management in Educational Institutions: A Bibliometric Review of Global Research Insights. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, *14*(9), 600–614.

Hosseinzadehdastak, F., & Underdown, R. (2012). An Analysis of Risk Management Methods. *IISE Annual Conference. Proceedings; Norcross*, 1–9.

Jemmy, J., Hendrilia, Y., Suharmono, S., Aji, L. J., Oci, M., & Ahyani, E. (2023). Systematic Education Management And Conceptual Framework In Improving The Quality Of Education: Literature Review. *Innovative: Journal of Social Science Research*, *3*(5), 351–362.

Kementerian Pendidikan, Kebudayaan, Riset, dan T. (2021). *Laporan Kinerja 2021*.

Kungwani, P. (2014). Risk Management-An Analytical Study. *IOSR Journal of Business and Management*, *16*(3), 83–89. https://doi.org/10.9790/487X-16338389

Makarov, A., & Leshchev, I. (2023). Assessment of The Explotion Risk During Construction Near The Combat Zone. *News of Higher Educational Institutions Construction*, *8*, 87–94. https://doi.org/10.32683/0536-1052-2023-776-8-87-94

Mebert, L., Barnes, R., Dalley, J., Gawarecki, L., Ghazi-Nezami, F., & Shafer, G. (2020). Fostering Student Engagement Through a Real-World, Collaborative Project Across Disciplines and Institutions. *Higher Education Pedagogies*, *5*(1), 30–51. https://doi.org/10.1080/23752696.2020.1750306

Musakirawati, M., Jemmy, J., Anggriawan, F., Triansyah, F. A., Akib, A., & Tahir, A. (2023). Pemanfaatan Platform Rapor Pendidikan Indonesia Terhadap Perencanaan Berbasis Data. *JDMP (Jurnal Dinamika Manajemen Pendidikan)*, 7(2), 201–208. https://doi.org/10.26740/jdmp.v7n2.p201-208

Nailan, A. S. (2023). Analisis Manajemen Risiko Lembaga Pendidikan Menuju Generasi Emas dalam Perspektif Al-Quran. *Leadership: Jurnal Mahasiswa Manajemen Pendidikan Islam*, 5(1), 50–66. https://doi.org/0.32478/leadership.v5i1.1920

OECD. (2020). *Education at a Glance 2020: OECD Indicators*. https://doi.org/10.1787/69096873-en

Pentcak, T. H., Yaroshovych, V. I., & Gentosh, O. (2022). Principles Of The Implementation Of Management Approaches in Educational Institutions Of Ukraine From The Pedagogical And Educational Impact on The Formation Of A Modern Young Personality. *Taurian Scieintific Herald. Series: Public Management And Administration*, 5, 38–45. https://doi.org/10.32851/tnv-pub.2022.5.5

Porter, J., & Tawell, A. (2024). At-Risk Or A Risk? SENCOs' Conceptualisations Of Vulnerability And Risk In Relation To School Exclusion. *Oxford Review of Education*, 50(6), 834–853. https://doi.org/10.1080/03054985.2024.2392170

Rajendran, J., Santhi.V, J., Chauha, R. K., & Singh, L. (2023). The Impact of Continuous Professional Development on Teacher Retention and Performance. *Journal of Harbin Engineering University*, 44(8), 1348–1356.

Setiawan, F., Ningsih, A. W., Nurgiansyah, H., & Rohman., I. A. (2022). Prosedur Aktualisasi Manajemen Risiko Pendidikan dalam Meningkatkan Kualitas Pendididikan. *TSAQOFAH: Jurnal Penelitian Guru Indonesia*, 2(2), 204–2014. https://doi.org/10.58578/tsaqofah.v2i2.321

Snyder, H. (2019). Literature Review As A Research Methodology: An Overview and Guidelines. *Journal of Business Research*, 104, 333–339. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2019.07.039

Sulastri, A., & Nugraha, M. S. (2024). Risk Management in Student Management: Efforts To Improve The Quality Of Education At MI Manba'ul Huda Bandung City. *Pionir Jurnal Pendidikan*, 13(2), 126–134. https://doi.org/10.22373/pjp.v13i2.24942

Sulkowski, M. L., & Lazarus, P. J. (2016). *Creating Safe and Supportive Schools and Fostering Students' Mental Health* (1st Editio). Routledge. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781315818221

Suray, N., Karpenko, E., Dubovik, M., Shlyenov, Y., & Sterlikov, F. (2019). Risk Management At Educational Institution. *Entrepreneurship And Sustainability Issues*, 7(2), 1171–1184. https://doi.org/10.9770/jesi.2019.7.2(26)

Surikov, Y. N. (2020). "Risk Theory" As A Methodological Basis For An Innovative Approach To The Management Of An Educational Institution.

Bulletin of Altai State Pedagogical University Pedagogical Sciences, 45, 14–18. https://doi.org/10.37386/2413-4481-2020-4-14-18

Suriyadi, & Azmi, F. (2022). Pengembangan Manajemen Risiko pada Istansi Pendidikan. *Jurnal Warta Dharmawangsa, 16*(3), 543–553. https://doi.org/10.46576/wdw.v16i3.2246

Tai, J. H.-M., Dollingera, M., Ajjawi, R., Jorre, T. J. de S., Krattli, S., & McCarthy, D. (2022). Designing Assessment For Inclusion: An Exploration Of Diverse Students' Assessment Experiences. *Assessment & Evaluation in Higher Education, 48*(3), 403–417. https://doi.org/10.1080/02602938.2022.2082373

Vicente, R. S., Flores, L. C., Almagro, R., & Amora, M. R. V. (2023). The Best Practices of Financial Management in Education: A Systematic Literature Review. *International Journal of Research and Innovation in Social Science, VII*(VII), 387–400. https://doi.org/10.47772/IJRISS.2023.7827

Yatsenko, V. (2022). Organizational Approaches to The Formation of Social Responsibility of Higher Education Institutions in The Market of Educational Services. *Management, 34*(2), 114–121. https://doi.org/10.30857/2415-3206.2021.2.10

Zahav, A. E., & Hazzan, O. (2017). *Risk Management of Education Systems.* Springer Nature. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-51984-5