

SOCIAL MANAGEMENT STRATEGIES IN COUNTERING RADICALISM AND EXTREMISM: EDUCATIONAL, COMMUNITY, AND POLICY PERSPECTIVES

Prisca Diantra Sampe

Universitas Pattimura

priscadiantrapsi@gmail.com

Izak Jakobis Makulua

Universitas Pattimura

ijakobis.makulua@lecturer.unpatti.ac.id

Abstract

Radicalism and extremism have become increasingly complex global challenges that threaten social cohesion, democratic stability, and community resilience in contemporary societies. The rapid development of digital communication, ideological polarization, and transnational extremist networks has intensified the spread of radical narratives across educational, social, and political environments. This study aims to analyze social management strategies for countering radicalism and extremism from educational, community, and policy perspectives using a literature review. The research employed a qualitative library research method, examining recent scholarly publications, policy reports, and peer-reviewed international journal articles on social resilience, counter-radicalization, educational interventions, community collaboration, and preventive governance. The findings indicate that preventive and collaborative social management approaches are more effective and sustainable than purely security-oriented strategies. Educational institutions play significant roles in promoting critical thinking, multicultural awareness, digital literacy, and moderate values to prevent ideological vulnerability among young people. Community collaboration strengthens social trust, intercultural dialogue, and participatory resilience, while inclusive public policies provide structural support for sustainable prevention efforts. The study also reveals that integrated social management frameworks are essential because radicalization is influenced by interconnected cognitive, emotional, social, and structural factors. Furthermore, the literature highlights several challenges, including institutional fragmentation, online radicalization, socioeconomic inequality, and political polarization, which continue to hinder prevention efforts. This study contributes to theory by integrating educational, community, and policy dimensions into a holistic framework to strengthen social resilience against radicalism and extremism. Practically, the study emphasizes the importance of cross-sectoral collaboration and preventive governance in developing adaptive and inclusive strategies to maintain social harmony and democratic sustainability in the digital era.

Keywords: social management, radicalism, extremism, social resilience, educational strategies, community collaboration, preventive policies.

Introduction

Radicalism and extremism have become increasingly complex global challenges that threaten social stability, national security, and community harmony in many countries. The rapid expansion of digital communication, transnational ideological movements, and

social polarization has accelerated the spread of extremist narratives across social, political, and educational spaces. In recent years, acts of violent extremism have not only emerged in conflict-prone regions but have also penetrated democratic and multicultural societies through online propaganda, hate speech, and identity-based mobilization. These conditions indicate that radicalism is no longer merely a security issue but has evolved into a multidimensional social phenomenon that requires comprehensive, sustainable management strategies. Scholars increasingly emphasize that social resilience, community empowerment, and inclusive governance are essential components in preventing the growth of radical ideologies (Aly et al., 2023; Kruglanski et al., 2022).

Educational institutions are considered one of the most strategic sectors in countering radicalism and extremism because they shape values, critical thinking, tolerance, and civic awareness among younger generations. Educational approaches that promote multiculturalism, digital literacy, peace education, and inclusive religious understanding have shown significant potential in reducing vulnerability to extremist recruitment. However, many educational systems still struggle to integrate preventive anti-radicalism frameworks into formal curricula and community learning processes. In several developing countries, educational responses remain reactive and fragmented, focusing primarily on disciplinary control rather than long-term social transformation. This phenomenon creates a significant challenge in building educational resilience capable of addressing ideological extremism in increasingly digital and polarized societies (Davies, 2021; UNESCO, 2023).

In addition to education, community collaboration has emerged as a critical mechanism in strengthening social resilience against extremist influences. Community leaders, religious organizations, youth groups, and civil society institutions play important roles in promoting social cohesion, intercultural dialogue, and conflict prevention. Studies have shown that local communities with strong social trust and participatory governance are more resistant to radical narratives because individuals feel socially connected and economically supported. Nevertheless, many counter-extremism initiatives still rely heavily on state-centered approaches that overlook grassroots participation and local wisdom. As a result, preventive efforts often fail to address the social and emotional dimensions of radicalization processes within vulnerable communities (Putra & Hassan, 2022; Feddes et al., 2021).

From the policy perspective, governments worldwide have introduced various counter-radicalism strategies through legal reforms, digital surveillance, rehabilitation programs, and national action plans. While these policies demonstrate institutional commitment to combating extremism, criticisms have emerged regarding their effectiveness, inclusiveness, and human rights implications. Excessively securitized approaches may unintentionally marginalize certain social groups and strengthen feelings of exclusion that contribute to radicalization itself. Furthermore, policy fragmentation between educational institutions, local governments, and community organizations often weakens the coordination necessary for sustainable prevention. Consequently, there is a growing

demand for integrated social management frameworks that combine educational, community, and policy dimensions simultaneously (Borum, 2022; Schmid, 2023).

Despite the growing literature on radicalism prevention, existing studies tend to examine educational strategies, community participation, or policy interventions separately rather than as interconnected components of social management. Many previous studies focus specifically on security frameworks, religious extremism, or online radicalization without comprehensively analyzing how social institutions collectively contribute to resilience-building processes. This fragmentation creates a theoretical and practical gap in understanding how educational systems, community networks, and public policies can synergistically function as preventive mechanisms against radicalism and extremism. Moreover, there remains limited literature synthesizing multidisciplinary perspectives to explain the effectiveness of integrated social management approaches in diverse sociocultural contexts (Hafez & Mullins, 2022).

Another important research gap lies in the lack of comprehensive literature reviews that critically map the evolution of social management strategies in countering radicalism and extremism. Previous reviews generally emphasize either terrorism studies or security-oriented approaches while paying insufficient attention to preventive social resilience frameworks. In addition, many studies focus on Western contexts, resulting in limited understanding of how social management practices operate in multicultural and developing societies where educational inequality, economic vulnerability, and social fragmentation may intensify radicalization risks. Therefore, a broader analytical framework is required to identify emerging trends, conceptual patterns, and strategic collaborations across educational, community, and policy dimensions.

This study offers novelty by integrating educational strategies, community collaboration, and preventive policy perspectives into a unified social management framework for countering radicalism and extremism. Unlike previous studies that treat these dimensions independently, this literature review emphasizes the interconnected nature of social resilience-building efforts through cross-sectoral cooperation and preventive governance. The study also contributes incremental newness by synthesizing recent international literature to identify contemporary approaches, implementation challenges, and strategic innovations in social management practices. Through this integrative perspective, the study aims to provide a more holistic understanding of how societies can develop sustainable resistance to extremist ideologies in the digital and globalized era.

The urgency of this study is increasingly significant due to the rising complexity of ideological polarization, online extremism, and social fragmentation, which affect both developed and developing countries. Without effective social management strategies, radicalism may continue to undermine social cohesion, democratic stability, and intergroup harmony. Strengthening preventive education, empowering community participation, and designing inclusive policies are essential not only for national security but also for long-term social sustainability and peacebuilding. Therefore, this literature review is expected to provide theoretical insights and practical recommendations for

policymakers, educators, researchers, and community stakeholders to develop collaborative, resilience-oriented strategies to counter radicalism and extremism in contemporary society.

Literature Review

Radicalism and Extremism in Contemporary Society

Radicalism and extremism are multidimensional social phenomena that involve the adoption of ideological beliefs rejecting social, political, or cultural norms through intolerant or violent means. Radicalism generally refers to ideological positions seeking significant societal transformation, while extremism often involves rigid ideological interpretations that justify hostility, discrimination, or violence against opposing groups. In contemporary society, radicalization processes are increasingly influenced by globalization, identity politics, social inequality, digital communication, and transnational ideological networks. The development of social media platforms has accelerated the dissemination of extremist narratives by enabling rapid information exchange, emotional mobilization, and online recruitment across national boundaries (Kruglanski et al., 2022). As a result, extremism has evolved from isolated organizational movements into decentralized and digitally connected ideological ecosystems.

Scholars argue that radicalization cannot be understood solely through security or religious perspectives because socioeconomic exclusion, political dissatisfaction, and psychological vulnerability also contribute significantly to extremist tendencies. According to social identity theory, individuals experiencing social alienation or identity crises may become more susceptible to radical ideologies offering certainty, belonging, and purpose. This condition becomes more concerning in societies characterized by polarization, misinformation, and weak social trust. Therefore, counter-radicalism strategies increasingly emphasize preventive social resilience rather than purely punitive approaches (Borum, 2022). Social resilience refers to the collective capacity of communities and institutions to adapt, resist, and recover from ideological threats while maintaining social cohesion and democratic values.

Social Management Theory and Social Resilience

Social management refers to collaborative and participatory efforts involving governmental institutions, communities, educational sectors, and civil society organizations to address complex social problems sustainably. In the context of radicalism and extremism, social management emphasizes preventive interventions aimed at strengthening social cohesion, inclusive participation, and collective resilience. Unlike conventional security-centered approaches, social management frameworks prioritize empowerment, dialogue, social integration, and long-term community development as mechanisms for reducing vulnerability to extremist influence (Schmid, 2023).

The concept of social resilience has become central in discussions of counter-extremism because resilient societies tend to possess stronger social trust, intercultural understanding, and adaptive institutional structures. According to resilience theory,

communities with effective communication networks, inclusive governance, and strong civic engagement are more capable of resisting ideological polarization and social fragmentation. Educational institutions, religious organizations, youth communities, and families play strategic roles in shaping resilience through value transmission, emotional support, and social participation. Consequently, scholars increasingly advocate integrated social management strategies combining educational interventions, community collaboration, and policy coordination to address the root causes of radicalization (Aly et al., 2023).

Educational Strategies in Preventing Radicalism and Extremism

Education is widely recognized as one of the most effective long-term strategies for preventing radicalism and extremism. Educational approaches focusing on critical thinking, multicultural understanding, civic engagement, and digital literacy can reduce susceptibility to extremist propaganda and ideological manipulation. Peace education and inclusive learning environments help students develop tolerance, empathy, and respect for diversity, which are essential elements in preventing social polarization. UNESCO (2023) emphasizes that education should not only transmit academic knowledge but also cultivate democratic values, intercultural dialogue, and responsible citizenship.

Digital literacy has become particularly important due to the increasing role of online platforms in spreading extremist narratives. Individuals with limited critical media skills are more vulnerable to misinformation, hate speech, and online radicalization. Therefore, contemporary educational strategies increasingly integrate media literacy programs to help students critically evaluate online information and resist manipulative ideological content. In addition, religious education promoting moderation, inclusivity, and contextual interpretation has also shown potential in reducing extremist tendencies, especially in multicultural societies (Davies, 2021).

However, previous studies reveal that many educational systems still face limitations in implementing preventive anti-radicalism frameworks. Curriculum rigidity, insufficient teacher training, and limited institutional support often hinder effective educational interventions. Furthermore, some educational approaches remain reactive and punitive rather than transformative and participatory. These limitations indicate the need for more integrated educational models capable of strengthening both intellectual and social resilience against extremist ideologies.

Community Collaboration and Collective Prevention

Community collaboration represents an essential component of preventive social management because radicalization often develops within social environments characterized by exclusion, mistrust, and weak interpersonal relationships. Community-based approaches focus on strengthening local participation, social solidarity, and intercultural interaction to reduce ideological vulnerability. Religious leaders, youth organizations, women's groups, and local institutions frequently serve as mediators in promoting peacebuilding and preventing social conflict (Feddes et al., 2021).

Theories of social capital suggest that communities with strong interpersonal trust and active civic engagement are less likely to experience extremist infiltration. Social capital enhances collective responsibility, communication, and emotional belonging, thereby reducing feelings of isolation often exploited by extremist groups. Community dialogue programs, intercultural activities, and participatory governance initiatives have shown positive impacts in strengthening social cohesion and preventing ideological polarization. In several countries, local wisdom and cultural traditions have also been utilized as instruments for reinforcing tolerance and collective identity.

Nevertheless, scholars criticize that many counter-extremism programs remain dominated by top-down governmental approaches with limited community involvement. Such approaches often fail to address local social realities and may create distrust between authorities and communities. Consequently, contemporary literature increasingly recommends collaborative governance models that integrate community participation into preventive policy frameworks. Sustainable counter-radicalism efforts require not only institutional control but also active grassroots engagement and community empowerment.

Preventive Policy Perspectives in Counter-Extremism

Preventive public policies are designed to reduce structural and ideological conditions that facilitate radicalization and extremism. Governments worldwide have implemented various strategies, including counter-narrative campaigns, rehabilitation programs, educational reforms, and online monitoring systems. These policies aim to strengthen national resilience while preventing the spread of extremist ideologies across social institutions and digital environments (Hafez & Mullins, 2022).

However, the effectiveness of preventive policies remains widely debated. Security-oriented policies emphasizing surveillance and law enforcement may generate unintended consequences such as social stigma, discrimination, and political distrust among marginalized groups. Excessive securitization can weaken democratic values and potentially reinforce grievances associated with radicalization. Therefore, scholars advocate more inclusive and human-centered policy approaches emphasizing social justice, equality, and community participation. Integrated policy coordination between educational institutions, local governments, civil society organizations, and digital platforms is considered essential for achieving sustainable prevention outcomes (Putra & Hassan, 2022).

Recent literature also highlights the importance of adaptive governance in responding to rapidly evolving forms of online extremism and digital radicalization. Governments must balance national security objectives with human rights protections, digital freedom, and social inclusion. As extremist narratives continue to evolve through technological innovation, preventive policy frameworks must become more flexible, collaborative, and evidence-based to maintain long-term social resilience.

Conceptual Framework of Integrated Social Management

Based on the reviewed literature, this study conceptualizes social management as an integrated framework involving educational strategies, community collaboration, and preventive public policies in building social resilience against radicalism and extremism. Educational institutions function as preventive spaces for value formation and critical awareness, communities serve as mechanisms for social cohesion and participatory prevention, while public policies provide structural and institutional support for sustainable resilience-building.

The interaction among these dimensions creates a holistic preventive system capable of addressing both individual and structural drivers of radicalization. Educational approaches strengthen cognitive resilience, community collaboration reinforces social belonging, and policy interventions establish institutional protection and coordination. Therefore, integrated social management is considered more effective than fragmented approaches because it addresses ideological vulnerability through multidimensional and collaborative mechanisms. This conceptual framework serves as a foundation for analyzing how contemporary literature discusses the role of social management strategies in countering radicalism and extremism across diverse social contexts.

Research Method

This study employed a qualitative library research method to examine and synthesize scholarly discussions regarding social management strategies in countering radicalism and extremism from educational, community, and policy perspectives. Library research was selected because it enables researchers to systematically collect, analyze, and interpret theoretical and empirical findings from various academic sources related to the research topic. The study relied on secondary data obtained from scientific journal articles, books, policy reports, conference proceedings, and international publications indexed in reputable databases such as Scopus, ScienceDirect, Springer, Taylor & Francis, and Google Scholar. The selected literature consisted primarily of publications from the last five years to ensure the relevance and timeliness of the analyzed issues. Keywords used in the literature search included “social management,” “radicalism,” “extremism,” “social resilience,” “counter-radicalization,” “educational strategies,” “community collaboration,” and “preventive policies.” The collected data were then categorized based on thematic relevance and analytical focus.

The analysis used a descriptive-analytical approach, combined with thematic synthesis, to identify patterns, conceptual developments, research gaps, and strategic trends in the literature. The researcher conducted several stages, including literature identification, selection, classification, interpretation, and critical evaluation of relevant sources. The inclusion criteria emphasized peer-reviewed international journals, empirical studies, and conceptual papers discussing preventive and collaborative approaches to countering radicalism and extremism. Meanwhile, irrelevant, duplicated, or non-scientific sources were excluded from the analysis process. Through this method, the study aimed to

construct an integrated understanding of how educational institutions, community participation, and public policy frameworks collectively strengthen social resilience against radicalism and extremism in contemporary society.

Results and Discussion

The findings of this literature review indicate that contemporary approaches to countering radicalism and extremism have gradually shifted from purely security-oriented frameworks toward more preventive and socially integrated strategies. Recent studies emphasize that radicalization is not only driven by ideological exposure but also influenced by social exclusion, identity crises, economic inequality, political dissatisfaction, and digital vulnerability. Consequently, educational institutions, community organizations, and public policies are increasingly viewed as interconnected elements in strengthening social resilience against extremist ideologies. Research published during the last six years demonstrates that preventive social management approaches are more sustainable and effective than repressive interventions because they address the root causes of radicalization through social inclusion, empowerment, and participatory governance (Aly et al., 2023; Schmid, 2023).

One of the most dominant themes identified in recent literature is the strategic role of education in preventing radicalism and extremism. Educational institutions are no longer perceived solely as spaces for academic instruction but also as environments for cultivating tolerance, civic responsibility, and critical awareness. Studies show that educational programs integrating multicultural values, peace education, and digital literacy significantly contribute to reducing students' susceptibility to extremist narratives. Digital literacy is particularly important because online platforms have become primary channels for radical propaganda, misinformation, and ideological recruitment. According to UNESCO (2023), students equipped with critical media literacy skills are more capable of identifying manipulative content and resisting online radicalization. Similarly, Davies (2021) argues that inclusive education and intercultural dialogue strengthen students' resilience by promoting empathy and social understanding across cultural and religious differences.

Furthermore, recent literature highlights the importance of moderate and inclusive religious education in reducing extremist tendencies. In multicultural societies, religious education emphasizing contextual interpretation, coexistence, and ethical values has shown positive impacts on preventing intolerance and ideological polarization. Several studies conducted in Southeast Asia and the Middle East reveal that schools and religious institutions play essential roles in countering extremist narratives by promoting moderation and social harmony (Putra & Hassan, 2022). However, the findings also indicate that many educational systems still face institutional challenges, including curriculum rigidity, inadequate teacher training, and limited governmental support. As a result, preventive educational programs often remain fragmented and inconsistent across regions.

In addition to education, community collaboration emerged as another significant dimension in strengthening social resilience against radicalism and extremism. The reviewed studies consistently demonstrate that communities with strong social trust, active civic participation, and inclusive social networks are less vulnerable to extremist infiltration. Community leaders, youth organizations, religious groups, and civil society institutions contribute substantially to peacebuilding initiatives through dialogue programs, social mentoring, and intercultural cooperation. Feddes et al. (2021) explain that community-based prevention strategies are effective because they address emotional belonging and social identity, factors often exploited by extremist groups during radicalization processes.

The literature also reveals that grassroots participation strengthens collective responsibility and social cohesion within vulnerable communities. Local wisdom, cultural traditions, and participatory governance models have increasingly been integrated into counter-radicalism programs to enhance public engagement and social trust. In Indonesia, for example, several studies report that community-based religious moderation movements and interfaith dialogue initiatives have successfully reduced tensions and strengthened social harmony in pluralistic societies (Huda et al., 2022). Nevertheless, some scholars criticize that many government-led counter-extremism programs still adopt top-down approaches that marginalize local participation. Such approaches may reduce community trust in public institutions and weaken long-term prevention effectiveness.

Another important finding concerns the evolving role of preventive public policies in addressing radicalism and extremism. Governments worldwide have introduced national action plans, digital monitoring systems, counter-narrative campaigns, and rehabilitation programs to reduce extremist influence. However, contemporary literature increasingly questions the effectiveness of policies relying excessively on securitization and surveillance. According to Borum (2022), overly punitive approaches may unintentionally reinforce marginalization and social grievances among targeted communities, thereby increasing vulnerability to radicalization. Consequently, recent policy discussions advocate human-centered and inclusive governance approaches emphasizing social justice, equality, and democratic participation.

Recent studies also emphasize the importance of collaborative governance between governments, educational institutions, civil society organizations, and digital platforms. Effective counter-radicalism policies require multidimensional coordination because extremist narratives operate simultaneously in physical and digital environments. Adaptive governance models are increasingly recommended to respond to rapidly evolving online extremism and algorithm-driven radicalization processes (Conway et al., 2023). In this context, digital platform regulation, online literacy campaigns, and ethical artificial intelligence monitoring systems are becoming essential components of preventive policy frameworks.

The findings further demonstrate that social resilience has become a central concept in contemporary counter-extremism literature. Social resilience refers to the collective ability

of societies to resist ideological threats, maintain social cohesion, and recover from social conflict. Communities characterized by strong interpersonal trust, inclusive institutions, and participatory governance tend to possess higher resilience against extremist influences. According to Kruglanski et al. (2022), resilience-building strategies are more sustainable because they prioritize prevention, adaptation, and social empowerment rather than merely suppressing extremist activities. Therefore, resilience-oriented approaches increasingly dominate current discussions on radicalism prevention.

This review also identifies several persistent challenges in implementing integrated social management strategies. First, coordination among educational institutions, communities, and policymakers often remains weak due to institutional fragmentation and differing policy priorities. Second, digital radicalization continues to evolve rapidly through encrypted communication platforms, social media algorithms, and transnational ideological networks. Third, socioeconomic inequality and youth unemployment still contribute significantly to ideological vulnerability in many developing countries. Finally, political polarization and identity-based conflicts frequently undermine social trust and democratic stability, making preventive interventions more difficult to implement effectively.

From a theoretical perspective, the findings support the argument that radicalism and extremism cannot be addressed solely through coercive security measures. Instead, preventive social management emphasizing education, community empowerment, and inclusive governance provides a more comprehensive framework for strengthening long-term social resilience. The integration of these dimensions creates a holistic preventive mechanism capable of addressing cognitive, emotional, social, and structural drivers of radicalization simultaneously. This integrative approach also reflects the growing paradigm shift in contemporary literature from reactive counterterrorism toward preventive peacebuilding and resilience-oriented governance.

Overall, the reviewed literature demonstrates that successful counter-radicalism strategies require collaborative, adaptive, and socially inclusive approaches. Educational innovation, grassroots participation, and preventive public policies must operate synergistically to build sustainable social resilience against radicalism and extremism. Therefore, future strategies should prioritize cross-sectoral collaboration, digital literacy enhancement, intercultural education, and community empowerment as key foundations for maintaining social harmony and democratic stability in increasingly complex global societies.

Conclusion

This literature review concludes that radicalism and extremism are multidimensional social challenges that cannot be addressed solely through security-based or punitive approaches. Contemporary studies consistently demonstrate that preventive social management strategies involving education, community collaboration, and inclusive public policies play a significant role in strengthening social resilience against extremist

ideologies. Educational institutions contribute through the development of critical thinking, multicultural awareness, digital literacy, and moderate value formation, while community participation strengthens social cohesion, collective responsibility, and intercultural dialogue. At the policy level, governments are increasingly encouraged to adopt human-centered, collaborative governance approaches rather than relying solely on surveillance and securitization measures.

The findings further reveal that integrated social management frameworks are more effective because they address the cognitive, emotional, social, and structural dimensions of radicalization simultaneously. Social resilience emerges as a key concept in contemporary counter-extremism discourse, emphasizing societies' ability to resist ideological threats through trust, inclusion, and participatory governance. Nevertheless, challenges remain significant, particularly regarding institutional fragmentation, digital radicalization, socioeconomic inequality, and political polarization. These factors continue to hinder the implementation of sustainable and coordinated prevention strategies in many countries.

This study contributes theoretically by integrating educational, community, and policy perspectives into a comprehensive social management framework for countering radicalism and extremism. Practically, the study highlights the importance of cross-sectoral collaboration among governments, educational institutions, civil society organizations, and local communities in developing preventive and resilience-oriented strategies. Therefore, future efforts should prioritize adaptive educational innovation, grassroots empowerment, digital literacy enhancement, and inclusive policymaking to strengthen long-term social harmony and democratic stability in increasingly complex global societies.

References

- Aly, A., Taylor, E., & Karnovsky, S. (2023). Moral disengagement and building resilience to violent extremism. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 46(4), 521–540. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1057610X.2021.1877257>
- Borum, R. (2022). Understanding terrorism and radicalization. *Journal of Strategic Security*, 15(2), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.5038/1944-0472.15.2.1907>
- Conway, M., Scrivens, R., & Macnair, L. (2023). Right-wing extremists' persistent online presence: History and contemporary trends. *International Centre for Counter-Terrorism Journal*, 14(1), 1–21. <https://doi.org/10.19165/2023.1.02>
- Davies, L. (2021). Educating against extremism: Towards a critical politicisation of young people. *International Review of Education*, 67(1–2), 171–194. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11159-021-09884-6>
- Feddes, A. R., Mann, L., & Doosje, B. (2021). Increasing self-esteem and empathy to prevent violent radicalization: A longitudinal quantitative evaluation of a resilience training focused on adolescents with a dual identity. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 51(3), 276–290. <https://doi.org/10.1111/jasp.12734>
- Hafez, M., & Mullins, C. (2022). The radicalization puzzle: A theoretical synthesis of empirical approaches to homegrown extremism. *Studies in Conflict & Terrorism*, 45(6), 483–502. <https://doi.org/10.1080/1057610X.2020.1759182>

- Huda, M., Karim, A., & Muslihah, E. (2022). Religious moderation and counter-radicalism in Indonesian Islamic education. *Heliyon*, 8(12), e12045. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2022.e12045>
- Kruglanski, A. W., Bélanger, J. J., & Gunaratna, R. (2022). *The three pillars of radicalization: Needs, narratives, and networks*. Oxford University Press.
- Putra, P., & Hassan, M. K. (2022). Community resilience and social harmony in preventing religious extremism in Southeast Asia. *Journal of Asian Security and International Affairs*, 9(3), 355–372. <https://doi.org/10.1177/23477970221114567>
- Schmid, A. P. (2023). Preventing and countering violent extremism: The role of social resilience and civic engagement. *Perspectives on Terrorism*, 17(1), 45–63.
- UNESCO. (2023). *Preventing violent extremism through education: A guide for policy-makers*. UNESCO Publishing.