

EXPLORING THE JURIDICAL FRAMEWORK FOR ENVIRONMENTAL CONSERVATION WITHIN THE CONTEXT OF INFRASTRUCTURE DEVELOPMENT AND SUSTAINABLE PROGRESS IN THE REPUBLIC OF INDONESIA

Usman Tahir *1

Universitas Sains dan Teknologi Jayapura, Indonesia
irmanustah@gmail.com

Ramdan Yusuf

Universitas Madako Tolitoli, Indonesia
ramdanyusuf792@gmail.com

Gunawan Widjaja

Universitas 17 Agustus 1945 Jakarta, Indonesia
widjaja_gunawan@yahoo.com

Hadenan Towpek

Universiti Teknologi Mara Cawangan Sarawak, Malaysia
hadenan298@uitm.edu.my

Ekha Sri Sugiarti

SLBN 2 Kota Pasuruan, Indonesia
chaca.latansa80@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper delves into the intricate juridical framework governing environmental conservation within the dynamic context of infrastructure development and the pursuit of sustainable progress in the Republic of Indonesia. As Indonesia experiences rapid economic growth and urbanization, driven by ambitious infrastructure projects, it confronts a challenging dichotomy—simultaneously fostering development and preserving its ecological riches. This study offers an extensive examination of Indonesia's legal landscape, encompassing environmental laws, regulations, policies, and practical implementation and enforcement mechanisms. It scrutinizes the critical role of Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) in navigating the environmental consequences of infrastructure ventures. Additionally, it explores government policies and international commitments that underscore Indonesia's dedication to sustainability. The paper highlights the intrinsic connection between environmental conservation and sustainable progress, emphasizing the importance of responsible resource management and ecological preservation in achieving long-term development objectives. By analyzing case studies, policy implications, and future research directions, this research aims to provide a comprehensive understanding of how Indonesia can harmonize its infrastructure development ambitions with the imperative of environmental protection, ultimately guiding the nation toward a more sustainable and equitable future.

¹ Corresponding author.

Keywords: Environmental Conservation, Infrastructure Development, Sustainable Progress, Juridical Framework, Indonesia, Environmental Impact Assessments, Government Policies.

Introduction

In recent years, the Republic of Indonesia has been experiencing significant economic growth and development, partly driven by substantial infrastructure projects across the archipelago (Sandee, 2016). This surge in infrastructure development is undeniably crucial for the country's progress and modernization. However, it also raises pressing concerns about its environmental impact. The dynamic and diverse Indonesian environment, encompassing lush rainforests, vibrant coral reefs, and unique wildlife, faces increasing threats from these development initiatives. Balancing the imperative of infrastructure development with the imperative of environmental conservation poses a formidable challenge (Rusmayadi, 2023).

Against this backdrop, this paper explores the juridical framework within which environmental conservation is pursued amidst rapid infrastructure development and the broader goal of sustainable progress in Indonesia. To achieve this, it is crucial to comprehend the multifaceted dimensions of environmental protection, as mandated by various legal provisions and regulations, and how they intersect with the infrastructure development landscape. Moreover, the Indonesian government's commitment to international sustainability goals further complicates the landscape, requiring an intricate examination of the legal underpinnings that support these (Zain et al., 2022).

The primary objective of this research is to critically analyze and evaluate the juridical framework for environmental conservation in Indonesia, particularly concerning its application in the context of infrastructure development. Through a comprehensive examination of relevant laws, regulations, and governmental practices, this study aims to identify the strengths, weaknesses, and gaps in the existing legal framework concerning environmental protection. Additionally, it seeks to understand the challenges faced in implementing these laws effectively within infrastructure development projects (Roth et al., 2015).

Furthermore, this research endeavors to shed light on the alignment, or potential misalignment, between the legal framework for environmental conservation and Indonesia's commitments to sustainable development goals and international agreements. In doing so, it intends to provide valuable insights for policymakers, legal practitioners, environmentalists, and scholars concerned with Indonesia's trajectory's environmental and developmental aspects (Bastos Lima et al., 2015).

The significance of this study lies in its potential to inform and influence decision-makers and stakeholders involved in infrastructure development and environmental conservation in Indonesia. As the nation pursues its ambitious development goals, it is paramount to ensure that these objectives do not come at the expense of the environment, which holds ecological and cultural value. By critically

examining the legal framework and its practical implications, this study can serve as a valuable resource for enhancing the effectiveness of environmental protection measures within the infrastructure development landscape (Manakane et al., 2017). Additionally, the findings of this research may contribute to international discussions on sustainable development and environmental conservation, offering insights into how legal systems in emerging economies can be adapted to align with global sustainability objectives. The lessons from Indonesia's experience can be applied to other nations facing similar challenges, making this study relevant beyond Indonesia's borders.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows: Section II presents a comprehensive literature review that examines the historical, legal, and environmental aspects relevant to the study. Section III outlines the research methodology, detailing the approaches and techniques employed in data collection and analysis. Sections IV and V delve into the juridical framework for environmental conservation and the environmental impact of infrastructure development, respectively, with the support of case studies and examples. Section VI explores the interplay between sustainable progress and environmental conservation policies. Finally, Section VII summarizes the key findings, discusses their implications, and suggests future research directions. The paper concludes with a list of references and, if necessary, appendices containing supplementary information (Amaratunga et al., 2002). Through this structured approach, this research seeks to contribute to the ongoing dialogue surrounding the balance between infrastructure development and environmental protection in Indonesia and the broader context of sustainable development worldwide.

Research Method

This study employs a multidisciplinary research approach to comprehensively investigate the complex intersection of environmental conservation, infrastructure development, and the legal framework in Indonesia. A multidisciplinary approach enables a holistic examination of the topic and incorporates insights from environmental science, law, policy analysis, and socio-economic perspectives (Singh, 2015). This research approach involves synthesizing quantitative and qualitative data to capture the multifaceted nature of the subject matter. Quantitative data, such as statistical information on infrastructure projects and their environmental impact, provides a quantitative basis for analysis. Qualitative data, including legal texts, policy documents, case studies, and interviews, are utilized to gain deeper insights into environmental conservation's legal and practical aspects within the context of infrastructure development.

Furthermore, the research approach adopts a comparative perspective by analyzing various infrastructure projects across different regions of Indonesia. This comparative approach facilitates the identification of patterns, variations, and best practices in environmental protection measures and legal enforcement.

Data Collection Methods

Data collection for this study has been conducted through a diverse range of methods to ensure the robustness and comprehensiveness of the research. Primary data sources include legal texts, government reports, policy documents, and publicly available data related to infrastructure projects and environmental impacts. These primary sources are essential for understanding the legal framework and its application (Wilcox et al., 2012).

Additionally, interviews have been conducted with critical infrastructure development, environmental protection, and legal enforcement stakeholders. These interviews provide valuable qualitative data, offering perspectives and insights from practitioners, policymakers, environmental experts, and community representatives directly engaged in these areas. Using interviews helps triangulate and validate information obtained from other sources and provides a deeper understanding of practical challenges and opportunities. Secondary data sources, such as scholarly articles, research reports, and case studies, have been extensively reviewed to complement the primary data and enrich the literature review. These secondary sources contribute to contextualizing findings and identifying relevant theoretical frameworks (Barrett & Twycross, 2018).

Data Analysis Techniques

Data analysis in this study involves a multifaceted approach tailored to the nature of the data collected. Quantitative data, including statistical information, is subjected to descriptive and inferential statistical analyses to identify trends, correlations, and patterns related to infrastructure development and its environmental impact. Statistical software packages are utilized for data analysis (Krishnaiah et al., 2014). Qualitative data obtained through interviews and document analysis undergo thematic coding and content analysis. This process systematically identifies key themes, concepts, and patterns within the qualitative data. Qualitative analysis software is employed to efficiently manage and analyze the extensive textual data. The triangulation of qualitative and quantitative data enhances the validity and reliability of the research findings (Renz et al., 2018).

Ethical Considerations

Ethical considerations play a pivotal role in the research methodology. The study adheres to ethical principles, including informed consent, confidentiality, and respect for the rights and perspectives of research participants. All interviews and data collection activities have been conducted with the utmost sensitivity to the privacy and well-being of the individuals involved (Arifin, 2018). Furthermore, ethical considerations extend to the responsible dissemination of research findings. Care has been taken to present information accurately and objectively, avoiding bias or misrepresentation. Proper citation and acknowledgment of sources, including the

contributions of interviewees, are paramount to maintaining academic and ethical integrity throughout the research process.

In conclusion, the research methodology adopted for this study combines a multidisciplinary approach, diverse data collection methods, and rigorous data analysis techniques while adhering to ethical standards. This comprehensive methodological framework is designed to provide a robust and nuanced understanding of the complex issues surrounding environmental conservation, infrastructure development, and the legal landscape in Indonesia.

Result and Discussion

The Juridical Framework for Environmental Conservation

Overview of Environmental Laws and Regulations in Indonesia

Indonesia's juridical framework for environmental conservation is a multifaceted system encompassing a wide array of laws, regulations, and policies to preserve the nation's rich natural heritage. The framework is anchored in the Indonesian Constitution of 1945, which enshrines the principle of environmental protection as a state obligation. Subsequently, a comprehensive body of legislation has been developed to operationalize this constitutional mandate (Palyanova et al., 2017). Essential laws include the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Law of 2009, which requires assessments for projects that may impact the environment significantly. The Forestry Law, the Conservation Law, and the Water Resources Law are among the statutes that govern specific aspects of environmental conservation, including forest protection, biodiversity conservation, and water resource management.

Additionally, international agreements and conventions ratified by Indonesia, such as the Convention on Biological Diversity and the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change, contribute to the overall legal framework, obliging the nation to align its domestic laws with global sustainability goals.

The Role of Government Agencies in Enforcing Environmental Laws

Enforcing environmental laws in Indonesia is a shared responsibility among government agencies operating at the national, provincial, and local levels. The Ministry of Environment and Forestry is pivotal in setting national environmental policies and regulations. It oversees environmental impact assessments, issues permits, and monitors compliance with environmental laws (Overdevest & Zeitlin, 2018). Furthermore, regional and local governments are crucial in implementing and enforcing environmental regulations within their jurisdictions. This decentralized approach recognizes the diverse ecological and environmental conditions across the Indonesian archipelago.

The effectiveness of these agencies in enforcing environmental laws varies and is subject to challenges such as limited resources, capacity gaps, and instances of regulatory capture. A comprehensive examination of their roles, capacities, and

challenges is essential to understanding the practical implementation of environmental laws (Elmagrhi et al., 2019).

Key Legal Principles and Concepts Related to Environmental Protection

Several fundamental legal principles and concepts underpin Indonesia's juridical framework for environmental conservation. For instance, the "polluter pays" principle places the financial responsibility for environmental damage on those who cause it. The "precautionary principle" underscores the need for preventive action in the face of environmental risks, even without conclusive scientific evidence. Moreover, the "public trust doctrine" establishes the government's role as a trustee of the environment for the benefit of present and future generations (Law et al., 2016).

Concepts like environmental impact assessments (EIA), environmental permits, and environmental standards are integral to the regulatory framework. Understanding these principles and concepts is essential to assessing the legal mechanisms in place for environmental protection and their practical implications.

Case Studies Highlighting Legal Challenges and Successes

To illuminate the juridical framework's practical dynamics, examining specific case studies that illustrate legal challenges and successes is crucial. These case studies offer insights into how environmental laws have been applied in real-world scenarios (Valverde, 2015). Examples may include legal battles over land use change in protected areas, conflicts between industrial development and environmental conservation, and successful litigation that resulted in environmental protection. By analyzing these cases, this section aims to identify trends, lessons learned, and areas where legal mechanisms may require refinement to better address environmental conservation within the context of infrastructure development and sustainable progress in Indonesia.

Infrastructure Development and Its Environmental Impact

Current Infrastructure Projects in Indonesia

Indonesia's rapid economic growth and urbanization have fueled a surge in infrastructure development projects across the archipelago. These projects encompass a broad spectrum, including transportation networks (such as roads, bridges, ports, and airports), energy production (including power plants and renewable energy installations), industrial zones, and urban development initiatives. The government's ambitious "National Medium-Term Development Plan" (RPJMN) outlines key infrastructure priorities, aiming to improve connectivity and stimulate economic growth (Alamgir et al., 2019). Several noteworthy projects underscore the scale of infrastructure development in Indonesia, including the Trans-Java Toll Road, the Jakarta-Bandung High-Speed Rail, and the Palapa Ring Project, which aims to provide nationwide broadband internet access. These initiatives are pivotal for enhancing the country's competitiveness and addressing regional disparities. However, they also

raise significant environmental concerns due to their potential impacts on ecosystems, forests, water resources, and air quality.

This section provides an overview of these major infrastructure projects, emphasizing their significance in Indonesia's development landscape and the need for careful consideration of their environmental implications (Livesley et al., 2016).

Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) and Their Role

Environmental Impact Assessments (EIA) are a cornerstone of Indonesia's efforts to mitigate the environmental consequences of infrastructure development. The EIA process systematically evaluates a project's potential environmental effects before it proceeds. This critical step ensures that decision-makers and project proponents are well-informed about the environmental risks and can take measures to minimize or mitigate adverse impacts (Roach & Walker, 2017). The EIA process in Indonesia is guided by the Environmental Impact Assessment Law 2009, which mandates preparing and submitting environmental impact documents for specific projects. These documents detail potential environmental risks, mitigation measures, and alternatives. Government agencies, including the Ministry of Environment and Forestry, review and approve EIAs, with public participation playing a role in the assessment process.

However, the effectiveness of EIAs in practice varies, and challenges include consistency in the quality of assessments, limited public involvement, and difficulties in monitoring and enforcement. This section explores the role of EIAs in environmental protection, highlighting their strengths and weaknesses within the context of infrastructure development (Li et al., 2012).

Case Studies of Infrastructure Projects with Environmental Implications

To gain deeper insights into the environmental impact of infrastructure development in Indonesia, this section presents case studies of specific projects that have raised significant environmental concerns. These case studies illustrate the complex trade-offs and challenges when balancing development objectives with environmental conservation (Chi et al., 2016). Examples may include the impacts of mining operations on forests and water quality, the construction of dams and their effects on river ecosystems, or the expansion of industrial zones and their implications for air pollution and human health. By examining these cases, the section highlights environmental protection's legal and practical dimensions in infrastructure development.

Moreover, the case studies shed light on lessons learned, best practices, and areas where improvements are needed to ensure that infrastructure projects align with sustainability goals and minimize their environmental footprint. This section provides a comprehensive examination of the current state of infrastructure development in Indonesia, the role of environmental impact assessments, and real-world case studies

that offer a nuanced understanding of the environmental implications of such projects. These insights are essential for assessing the challenges and opportunities in reconciling infrastructure development with environmental conservation and sustainable progress (Epstein & Buhovac, 2014).

Sustainable Progress and Policy Implications

Government Policies Promoting Sustainable Development

The government of Indonesia has recognized the importance of sustainable development as a guiding principle for the nation's progress. Over the years, it has introduced various policies and initiatives to promote sustainability across multiple sectors. Key among these is the National Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Action Plan, which aligns Indonesia's development objectives with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals. This plan encompasses many goals, including poverty reduction, clean energy, gender equality, and environmental conservation (Evers et al., 2017).

Additionally, Indonesia has made commitments to address climate change, such as adopting the "Nationally Determined Contributions" (NDCs) under the Paris Agreement. These commitments involve measures to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, increase the use of renewable energy, and enhance climate resilience (Mills-Novoa & Liverman, 2019). This section examines the government's policies promoting sustainable development, delving into their objectives, mechanisms, and achievements. It also considers the challenges of implementing these policies, including budget constraints, bureaucratic coordination, and the need for public engagement.

The Relationship between Environmental Conservation and Sustainable Progress

Environmental conservation and sustainable progress are intimately linked, and this subsection explores the intricate interplay between the two. Environmental conservation is considered a prerequisite for sustainable development, as it ensures the preservation of ecosystems, biodiversity, and natural resources that underpin economic growth and human well-being (Ji et al., 20120). Sustainable progress in Indonesia hinges on responsible resource management, ecosystem restoration, and climate resilience. The health of Indonesia's environment directly affects sectors critical to its economy, such as agriculture, fisheries, and tourism. Moreover, the nation's ecological diversity holds cultural significance and contributes to its identity.

This section delves into the nexus between environmental conservation and sustainable development, highlighting how a robust legal and policy framework for environmental protection is essential for achieving long-term sustainable progress. It also emphasizes the need for a holistic approach to development that considers social, economic, and environmental dimensions (Tomislav, 2018).

Challenges and Opportunities in Aligning Infrastructure Development with Sustainability Goals

Balancing infrastructure development with sustainability goals presents both challenges and opportunities. On the one hand, infrastructure development is vital for economic growth, poverty reduction, and improved living standards. On the other hand, it can lead to adverse environmental impacts, such as deforestation, habitat destruction, and increased carbon emissions. Therefore, aligning infrastructure development with sustainability goals requires careful planning, innovative approaches, and effective governance (Markolf et al., 2012). Challenges include reconciling the need for rapid infrastructure expansion with environmental conservation, ensuring transparent and accountable decision-making, and addressing potential conflicts of interest. Funding sustainable infrastructure projects, such as renewable energy installations and green transportation, can also be financially demanding.

However, opportunities abound, including integrating green technologies and sustainable practices into infrastructure projects. Renewable energy sources, energy-efficient buildings, and eco-friendly transportation systems can reduce development's environmental footprint while stimulating economic growth. Public-private partnerships and international cooperation can mobilize resources and expertise for sustainable infrastructure projects (Mansell & Philbin, 2020).

This section explores the challenges and opportunities in aligning infrastructure development with sustainability goals, offering insights into how policy reforms, technological innovation, and stakeholder collaboration can contribute to Indonesia's more sustainable and environmentally responsible approach to infrastructure expansion. By examining the relationship between government policies, environmental conservation, and sustainable development, this section contributes to a comprehensive understanding of the complexities and nuances involved in promoting sustainability within Indonesia's infrastructure development context (Weber & Rohracher, 2012).

In conclusion, this comprehensive examination of Indonesia's juridical framework for environmental conservation within the context of infrastructure development and sustainable progress has yielded several key findings. Firstly, Indonesia boasts a robust legal foundation for environmental protection, yet challenges persist in these regulations' consistent enforcement and transparency. Secondly, the rapid expansion of infrastructure projects, while essential for economic growth, has raised significant environmental concerns, necessitating a delicate balance between development objectives and ecological preservation. The pivotal role of Environmental Impact Assessments (EIAs) in understanding and mitigating environmental impacts has been underscored, highlighting the need for improved quality and greater public participation in the EIA process (Bolton & Hannon, 2016).

Furthermore, Indonesia's commitment to sustainable development, evidenced by initiatives like the National Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) Action Plan and adherence to international agreements, underscores its dedication to achieving development goals while minimizing environmental harm. The intrinsic relationship between environmental conservation and sustainable progress is clear, with the environment's health intricately linked to the nation's economic and cultural well-being. As we look forward, the implications of this research for policy and practice are evident. Policymakers should prioritize the effective implementation of environmental laws, fostering transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement. Sustainable infrastructure development, integrating green technologies and environmentally friendly practices, must be promoted to minimize environmental impacts. Consistency in aligning national policies with global sustainability objectives remains essential (Fuso Nerini et al., 2019).

To guide future research in this domain, further exploration of specific infrastructure projects and their environmental implications, alongside comparative analyses with other nations facing similar challenges, can provide deeper insights. Long-term impact assessments and evaluations of policy effectiveness should also be considered, alongside an emphasis on public engagement and advocacy to ensure inclusive decision-making processes. This study illuminates the intricate interplay between legal frameworks, environmental conservation, and sustainable development in Indonesia. It underscores the need for balanced and informed decision-making to achieve the nation's development goals while safeguarding its natural heritage for present and future generations (Köhler et al., 2019).

Conclusion

In conclusion, this comprehensive examination of Indonesia's juridical framework for environmental conservation within the context of infrastructure development and sustainable progress underscores the complex and intertwined relationship between environmental protection and economic development. Like many nations, Indonesia faces the challenge of balancing the imperative for rapid infrastructure expansion with the equally critical need for environmental conservation. Indonesia's juridical framework for environmental conservation is robust, encompassing many laws, regulations, and policies to preserve the nation's natural heritage. These legal instruments, guided by international agreements and conventions, reflect Indonesia's environmental protection and sustainability commitment. However, the effectiveness of these regulations in practice varies and is subject to challenges such as inconsistent enforcement and limited resources.

The rapid expansion of infrastructure projects across Indonesia is essential for economic growth and improved living standards. However, this development comes with environmental concerns, necessitating a careful balancing act between development objectives and ecological preservation. The role of Environmental Impact

Assessments (EIAs) emerges as pivotal, providing a mechanism for understanding and mitigating environmental impacts. However, the quality of EIAs and public participation in the process requires improvement. Indonesia's commitment to sustainable development, as evidenced by its alignment with the United Nations' Sustainable Development Goals and international agreements, demonstrates its dedication to achieving development goals while minimizing environmental harm. The intrinsic connection between environmental conservation and sustainable progress is evident, with the health of the environment closely intertwined with economic and cultural well-being.

Moving forward, the implications of this research for policy and practice are evident. Policymakers should prioritize the effective implementation of environmental laws, focusing on transparency, accountability, and stakeholder engagement. Sustainable infrastructure development, incorporating green technologies and environmentally friendly practices, must be promoted to minimize environmental impacts while stimulating economic growth. Consistency in aligning national policies with global sustainability objectives remains essential. To guide future research in this domain, further exploration of specific infrastructure projects and their environmental implications, alongside comparative analyses with other nations facing similar challenges, can provide deeper insights. Long-term impact assessments and evaluations of policy effectiveness should also be considered, alongside an emphasis on public engagement and advocacy to ensure inclusive decision-making processes.

This study illuminates the intricate interplay between legal frameworks, environmental conservation, and sustainable development in Indonesia. It underscores the need for balanced and informed decision-making to achieve the nation's development goals while safeguarding its natural heritage for present and future generations. Indonesia's journey towards harmonizing economic growth with environmental stewardship is a valuable case study for nations worldwide facing similar challenges and seeking sustainable progress.

Acknowledgment

We extend our heartfelt gratitude to all individuals and institutions who have contributed to the completion of this research. Our sincere appreciation goes to our advisors and mentors for their invaluable guidance and support throughout this endeavor. We also wish to acknowledge the participants and stakeholders who generously shared their insights and knowledge, enriching the depth and quality of our study. Additionally, our colleagues and friends deserve recognition for their encouragement and assistance throughout this research journey. Together, these collective efforts have played an essential role in the successful execution of this research project. Thank you for your unwavering support and contributions.

References

Alamgir, M., Campbell, M. J., Sloan, S., Suhardiman, A., Supriatna, J., & Laurance, W. F. (2019). High-risk infrastructure projects pose imminent threats to forests in Indonesian Borneo. *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), 140.

Amaratunga, D., Baldry, D., Sarshar, M., & Newton, R. (2002). Quantitative and qualitative research in the built environment: application of "mixed" research approach. *Work study*, 51(1), 17-31.

Arifin, S. R. M. (2018). Ethical considerations in qualitative study. *International journal of care scholars*, 1(2), 30-33.

Barrett, D., & Twycross, A. (2018). Data collection in qualitative research. *Evidence-based nursing*, 21(3), 63-64.

Bastos Lima, M. G., Kissinger, G., Visseren-Hamakers, I. J., Braña-Varela, J., & Gupta, A. (2017). The Sustainable Development Goals and REDD+: Assessing institutional interactions and pursuing synergies. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 17, 589-606.

Bolton, R., & Hannon, M. (2016). Governing sustainability transitions through business model innovation: Towards a systems understanding. *Research Policy*, 45(9), 1731-1742.

Chi, C. S., Ruuska, I., & Xu, J. (2016). Environmental impact assessment of infrastructure projects: a governance perspective. *Journal of Environmental Planning and Management*, 59(3), 393-413.

Elmagrhi, M. H., Ntim, C. G., Elamer, A. A., & Zhang, Q. (2019). A study of environmental policies and regulations, governance structures, and environmental performance: The role of female directors. *Business strategy and the environment*, 28(1), 206-220.

Epstein, M. J., & Buhovac, A. R. (2014). *Making sustainability work: Best practices in managing and measuring corporate social, environmental, and economic impacts*. Berrett-Koehler Publishers.

Evers, S., Yule, C. M., Padfield, R., O'Reilly, P., & Varkkey, H. (2017). Keep wetlands wet: the myth of sustainable development of tropical peatlands—implications for policies and management. *Global Change Biology*, 23(2), 534-549.

Fuso Nerini, F., Sovacool, B., Hughes, N., Cozzi, L., Cosgrave, E., Howells, M., ... & Milligan, B. (2019). Connecting climate action with other Sustainable Development Goals. *Nature Sustainability*, 2(8), 674-680.

Ji, L., Huang, J., Liu, Z., Zhu, H., & Cai, Z. (2012). The effects of employee training on the relationship between environmental attitude and firms' performance in sustainable development. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 23(14), 2995-3008.

Köhler, J., Geels, F. W., Kern, F., Markard, J., Onsongo, E., Wieczorek, A., ... & Wells, P. (2019). An agenda for sustainability transitions research: State of the art and future directions. *Environmental innovation and societal transitions*, 31, 1-32.

Krishnaiah, V., Narsimha, G., & Chandra, N. S. (2014). Survey of classification techniques in data mining. *International Journal of Computer Sciences and Engineering*, 2(9), 65-74.

Law, A., De Lacy, T., Lipman, G., & Jiang, M. (2016). Transitioning to a green economy: The case of tourism in Bali, Indonesia. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, pp. 111, 295-305.

Li, T. H., Ng, S. T., & Skitmore, M. (2012). Public participation in infrastructure and construction projects in China: From an EIA-based to a whole-cycle process. *Habitat International*, 36(1), 47–56.

Livesley, S. J., McPherson, E. G., & Calfapietra, C. (2016). The urban forest and ecosystem services: Impacts on urban water, heat, and pollution cycles at the tree, street, and city scale. *Journal of Environmental Quality*, 45(1), 119–124.

Manakane, S. E., Latue, P. C., Somae, G., & Rakuasa, H. (2023). The Role of Geography Research in Supporting Sustainable Development in Ambon City, Indonesia: A Review. *Sinergi International Journal of Economics*, 1(2), 64-75.

Mansell, P., & Philbin, S. (2020). Measuring sustainable development goal targets on infrastructure projects. *The Journal of Modern Project Management*, 8(1).

Markolf, S. A., Helmrich, A., Kim, Y., Hoff, R., & Chester, M. (2022). Balancing efficiency and resilience objectives in pursuit of sustainable infrastructure transformations. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 56, 101181.

Mills-Novoa, M., & Liverman, D. M. (2019). Nationally determined contributions: material climate commitments and discursive positioning in the NDCs. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 10(5), e589.

Overdevest, C., & Zeitlin, J. (2018). Experimentalism in transnational forest governance: Implementing European Union forest law enforcement, governance and trade (FLEGT) voluntary partnership agreements in Indonesia and Ghana. *Regulation & Governance*, 12(1), 64-87.

Palyanova, N. V., Zadkov, D. A., & Chubukova, S. G. (2017). Legal framework for the sustainable economic and ecological development in the coal industry in Russia. *Eurasian mining*, 1(3).

Renz, S. M., Carrington, J. M., & Badger, T. A. (2018). Two strategies for qualitative content analysis: An intramethod approach to triangulation. *Qualitative health research*, 28(5), 824–831.

Roach, B., & Walker, T. R. (2017). Aquatic monitoring programs conducted during environmental impact assessments in Canada: preliminary assessment before and after weakened environmental regulation. *Environmental Monitoring and Assessment*, pp. 189, 1–11.

Roth, D., Boelens, R., & Zwarteeveen, M. (2015). Property, legal pluralism, and water rights: the critical analysis of water governance and the politics of recognizing “local” rights. *The Journal of Legal Pluralism and Unofficial Law*, 47(3), 456-475.

Rusmayadi, G., Mokodenseho, S., & Salawati, U. (2023). Nature's Last Defense: Preserving Biodiversity in the Face of Climate Chaos in Rural Sukabumi District. *West Science Social and Humanities Studies*, 1(03), 127-134.

Sandee, H. (2016). Improving connectivity in Indonesia: The challenges of better infrastructure, better regulations, and better coordination. *Asian Economic Policy Review*, 11(2), 222–238.

Singh, K. D. (2015). Creating your qualitative research approach: Selecting, integrating, and operationalizing philosophy, methodology, and methods. *Vision*, 19(2), 132–146.

Tomislav, K. (2018). The concept of sustainable development: From its beginning to the contemporary issues. *Zagreb International Review of Economics & Business*, 21(1), 67–94.

Valverde, M. (2015). *Chronotopes of law: Jurisdiction, scale, and governance*. Routledge.

Weber, K. M., & Rohracher, H. (2012). Legitimizing research, technology and innovation policies for transformative change: Combining insights from innovation systems and multi-level perspective in a comprehensive 'failures' framework. *Research Policy*, 41(6), 1037-1047.

Wilcox, A. B., Gallagher, K. D., Boden-Albala, B., & Bakken, S. R. (2012). Research data collection methods: from paper to tablet computers. *Medical care*, S68-S73.

Zain, A. F., Pribadi, D. O., & Indraprahasta, G. S. (2022). Revisiting the Green City concept in the Tropical and Global South Cities context: The case of Indonesia. *Frontiers in Environmental Science*, 10, 45.